SPECIAL PUBLICATION SJ2007-SP20

ESTIMATING THE SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES IN THE ST JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT



SPECIAL PUBLICATION SJ2007-SP20

Estimating the Spatial Distribution of Stormwater Best Management Practices in the St Johns River Water Management District

by Xiaoqing Huang*, Zhulu Lin and Yanbing Jia

BCI Engineers & Scientists, Inc.



* Corresponding author: <u>xhuang@sjrwmd.com</u>

St. Johns River Water Management District Department of Water Resources Division of Engineering Palatka, Florida 32178 2007

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dale R. Smith for his supervision and guidance of this project and his many constructive suggestions that led to the successful completion of this project and the report. Thanks also are extended to Tom Ziegler and Chris Basci for reviewing the manuscripts, whose efforts had significantly improved the report. The authors would like to give special thanks to Ed Carter and Marc Adkins for manuscript review, and their efforts to follow the appended GIS procedures to reproduce the estimated stormwater best management practices coverage map in the Lake Jesup basin and in the Indian River Lagoon Basin, respectively.

Executive Summary

This report describes and documents the methodology and geographic information system (GIS) procedures used to estimate the stormwater best management practices (BMPs) distribution in the St Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD). Stormwater programs were adopted in Florida since the early 1980s. Under the frameworks of the Clean Water Act, in 1987 US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) designated BMPs as the primary mechanism for offsetting water quality impacts due to the land development in the United States. BMPs play an important role in the rainfall-runoff process, not only do they reduce the runoff volumes and peak flows, but also they can remove sediments and nutrients associated with the runoff. Hence, BMPs need to be incorporated into the watershed hydrologic and water quality models. The objectives of this project are to map the spatial distribution of BMPs in the SJRWMD in a more efficient manner and to document the step-by-step GIS procedures used to complete this mapping effort. The total BMPs-treated area in the Lake Jesup basin estimated by our method was compared with the estimate by a traditional method developed by PBS&J, Inc., and the difference was less than 10%. The comparison between these two methods also demonstrated that over 57% of the BMP-treated area was spatially matched. In conclusion, our method is relatively accurate, detailed, efficient, and reproducible, suitable for our purpose, i.e., to estimate the spatial distribution of BMPs in the SJRWMD in order to facilitate the modeling of hydrology and water quality in the SJRWMD watersheds.

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
LIST OF FIGURES	6
LIST OF FIGURES (CON'T)	7
LIST OF TABLES	
INTRODUCTION	9
DATA SOURCES	
METHODOLOGY	
GIS Approach to estimate BMPs coverage in the SJRWMD Method used to compare our results with the PBS&J method	
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	
MAP PRODUCTION Relationship between urbanization rate and BMPs-treated rate Comparison between this method and PBS&J method Frequency study at sub-watershed level at selected basins	
CONCLUSIONS	
FUTURE DIRECTIONS	
REFERENCES	
APPENDIX I. GIS MAPPING PROCEDURES	
APPENDIX II. HSPF LAND COVER/LAND USE CODES	

List of Figures

FIGURE 1. CROSS-SECTION OF A TYPICAL SWALE	12
FIGURE 2. CROSS-SECTION OF A TYPICAL DRY DETENTION POND.	13
FIGURE 3. CROSS-SECTION OF A TYPICAL WET DETENTION POND.	14
FIGURE 4. SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	S IN
THE ST JOHNS RIVER WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT	35
FIGURE 5. SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	S IN
THE UPPER ST. JOHNS RIVER BASIN	36
FIGURE 6. SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	S IN
THE INDIAN RIVER LAGOON BASIN	37
FIGURE 7. SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	S IN
THE OCKLAWAHA RIVER BASIN	38
FIGURE 8. SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	S IN
THE MIDDLE ST. JOHNS RIVER BASIN.	39
FIGURE 9. SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	S IN
THE LAKE GEORGE BASIN	40
FIGURE 10. SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICI	ES IN
THE NORTHERN COASTAL BASIN.	41
FIGURE 11. SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICI	ES IN
THE LOWER ST. JOHNS RIVER BASIN	42
FIGURE 12. SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICE	ES IN
THE ST MARYS RIVER BASIN	43
FIGURE 13. SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICE	ES IN
THE NASSAU RIVER BASIN	44
FIGURE 14. LAKE JESUP WATERSHED STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	
DISTRIBUTION DEVELOPED BY PBS&J, INC	45
FIGURE 15. LAKE JESUP WATERSHED STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES URI	3AN
DISTRIBUTION DEVELOPED BY PBS&J, INC	46
FIGURE 16. LAKE JESUP WATERSHED STORMWATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	
DISTRIBUTION ESTIMATED BY THE REPORTED METHOD.	47
FIGURE 17. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN URBANIZATION AND BMPS-TREATED RATES OF TH	ſE
NINE MAJOR BASINS IN THE SJRWMD	48
FIGURE 18. DISTRIBUTION OF HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUPS IN THE ST JOHNS RIVER WATE	ER
MANAGEMENT DISTRICT.	49
FIGURE 19. DISTRIBUTION OF THE DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER TABLE IN THE SJRWMD	50
FIGURE 20. LAKE JESUP WATERSHED: URBANIZATION RATE (%)	51
FIGURE 21. LAKE JESUP WATERSHED: BMPS-TREATED AREA TO URBAN AREA (%)	52
FIGURE 22. LAKE JESUP WATERSHED: BMPS-TREATED RATE (%)	53
FIGURE 23. USJRB: URBANIZATION RATE (%).	54
FIGURE 24. USJRB: BMPS-TREATED AREA TO URBAN AREA (%)	55
FIGURE 25. USJRB: BMPs-TREATED RATE (%).	56
FIGURE 26. LSJRB: URBANIZATION RATE (%).	57
FIGURE 27. LSJRB: BMPs-TREATED AREA TO URBAN AREA (%).	58

List of Figures (Con't)

FIGURE 28.LSJRB: BMPs-TREATED RATE (%)	59
FIGURE 29. INDIAN RIVER LAGOON BASIN: URBANIZATION RATE (%)	60
FIGURE 30. INDIAN RIVER LAGOON BASIN: BMPS-TREATED AREA TO URBAN AREA (%)	61
FIGURE 31. INDIAN RIVER LAGOON BASIN: BMPS-TREATED RATE (%)	62

List of Tables

TABLE 1. POLLUTANT REMOVAL EFFICIENCIES (%) FOR STORMWATER TREATMENT BMPS:
DRY DETENTION POND, WET DETENTION POND AND SWALE IN THE SJRWMD
TABLE 2. DISTRIBUTION OF SWALES, DRY AND WET DETENTION PONDS IN THE $\ensuremath{SJRWMD.24}$
TABLE 3. MAJOR BASIN'S URBAN AND BMPS-TREATED AREAS (ACRES) AND THEIR
RESPECTIVE PERCENTAGES
TABLE 4. BMPs distributions in the Lake Jesup watershed estimated by $PBS\&J$
METHOD
TABLE 5. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN 2004 LAND COVER AND BMPS DISTRIBUTION
ESTIMATED BY PBS&J METHOD
TABLE 6. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN 2004 LAND COVER AND BMPS DISTRIBUTION
ESTIMATED BY OUR METHOD
TABLE 7. COMPARISON OF BMPS DISTRIBUTION IN THE LAKE JESUP WATERSHED BETWEEN
OUR METHOD AND ADJUSTED PBS&J METHOD
TABLE 8. BMPs MATCH STUDY BETWEEN OUR METHOD AND ADJUSTED PBS&J METHOD.34

Introduction

Stormwater management programs in Florida have been being enacted since the early 1980s. Stormwater Best management practices (BMPs) have been designated as the primary countermeasures to achieve the post-development water quality standards by US EPA and Floridian Legislature and regulatory agencies. Since then, BMPs have played a critical role in protecting Florida's rivers, coastal areas, and other waterbodies. BMPs are one of the components simulated with the Hydrologic Simulation Program – Fortran (HSPF) models developed by the St Johns River Water Management District's Division of Engineering. However, the available information on BMPs spatial distribution in the St Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD) is either non-existent or only sparsely available. The traditional way to map BMPs distribution is through field investigation and manual digital delineation, which is time-consuming and costly. The objectives of this report are to document an alternative method to estimate this information in an efficient and low-cost way by taking advantage of the extensive available data in the SJRWMD including regulatory permits, land use, soils, and groundwater table depth using our state-of-the-art information technology; and to compare the estimated results with that obtained through traditional method.

Stormwater is generated by runoff from land, pavements, building rooftops and other urban surfaces during rainfall or snow events. It is of concern for two reasons: the first is its volume and peak flow rate; and the other is related to the concentrations of contaminants such as oil and grease, organic or inorganic chemicals, nutrients, metals, bacteria, etc, that accumulate in the stormwater as it travels across land surfaces. This

stormwater runoff can adversely affect the water quality of the receiving waterbodies. Numerous investigations over the last forty years have demonstrated that stormwater could be as great a source of pollution as wastewater (Butler and Davies 2004). In Florida, stormwater is one of the primary sources of pollution, contributing 80-95 percent of heavy metals (lead, copper, cadmium) loadings into Florida's streams and other waterbodies (SJRWMD 2006). Florida has remained the hot state for domestic migration or immigration for the past forty years. Florida's population has increased dramatically since then and the pace has accelerated in the recent years, adding 1,000 people per day from 2000 to 2006 (US Census Bureau 2006). Coupled with the rapid growth of the state's economy, many of Florida's open or natural lands have been developed for residential, commercial, or industrial uses. The State of Florida and Federal Government have implemented strong stormwater programs to control the quantity and quality of runoff through various local, regional, state, and national agencies. These programs not only require controlling runoff from new development but also the reduction of pollutants from existing development.

BMPs are structural or nonstructural control measures taken to mitigate postdevelopment adverse environmental effects at a minimal cost. In this report, BMPs refer to structural measures unless otherwise noted. They are designed to reduce stormwater quantity and quality through evapotranspiration, detention, retention, filtration, infiltration, and other physical, chemical or biological reactions. BMPs play a critical role in the rainfall-runoff process. Not only do they reduce the runoff volumes and peak flows, and but also they can remove sediments and other water quality elements

associated with the runoff. Some of the regulatory programs such as Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) also require the determination of watershed pollution loadings by using computer model as a tool. To better understand the impacts of stormwater runoff and the benefits derived from areas that have stormwater BMPs treatment, BMPs spatial distribution need to be quantified and their effects on water quality need to be simulated using hydrologic and water quality models.

Hence, it is important to incorporate the BMPs into the Hydrologic Simulation Program – Fortran (HSPF) models, used by and for SJRWMD, which model hydrology and water quality in the watershed. The objectives of this project are to efficiently map the spatial distribution of BMPs in the SJRWMD and to provide this information to the HSPF modelers in the SJRWMD's Division of Engineering to facilitate improved modeling of the hydrology and water quality in the SJRWMD. Obviously, the estimated BMPs coverage map is far from being perfect, but is sufficient for watershed-scale modeling. If your projects require precise and detailed BMPs coverage data, certainly this estimated BMPs coverage is not suitable.

Florida's climate, hydrology and geomorphology are well suited for a variety of BMPs such as swales, dry detention and wet detention ponds. They mimic the natural ecosystems well, which can be seen everywhere in Florida. In this report, a method was developed to differentiate these three types of BMPs.

Swales

Swales are one of the most common stormwater BMPs used in Florida. They are usually situated adjacent to highways, major roads, or residential streets. Typically, they are very shallow, have gentle side slopes (Figure 1), and are typically stabilized with suitable local vegetation. This vegetation slows down stormwater flowing through the swale, allows for temporary ponding, and allows the vegetation to filter the stormwater, and to remove sediment, heavy metals and other pollutants. Swales also allow stormwater to infiltrate into the ground hence reducing peak flows.



Figure 1. Cross-section of a typical swale (SJRWMD 2005, used with permission of the St. Johns River Water Management District).

Dry Detention Ponds

As its name implies, dry detention ponds "are normally dry storage areas that are designed to store a defined quantity of runoff and slowly release the collected runoff through an outlet structure to adjacent surface waters. After drawdown of the stored runoff is completed, the storage basin does not hold any water" (Figure 2, SJRWMD 2005). This type of BMPs primarily removes sediments in the stormwater and a fraction of pollutants associated with the sediments. Hence, its pollutant removal efficiencies are very limited. Therefore, SJRWMD limits its application areas where other types of BMPs are not feasible due to soil or hydrologic conditions such as low ground water tables and/or high soil infiltration rates (SJRWMD 2005).



Figure 2. Cross-section of a typical dry detention pond (SJRWMD 2005, used with permission of the St. Johns River Water Management District).

Wet Detention Ponds

Wet detention ponds (Figure 3) are one of the most popular and recognizable stormwater BMPs in the SJRWMD. As their name implies, these systems hold a permanent "wet" pool, which, in SJRWMD, is usually less than 12 feet deep. The extra storage capacities above the permanent pool can attenuate the stormwater peak flow rate and the collected stormwater runoff is then slowly released through the pond's outlet structures. This allows sediments and contaminants to have more time to be involved in the physical, chemical, and biological processes within the pond (CDM 1985), which includes: uptake of nutrients by algae, adsorption of nutrients and heavy metals onto bottom sediments, biological oxidation of organic materials, and sedimentation (CDM 1985). Hence, wet detention systems can efficiently remove both dissolved and suspended pollutants/sediments. Moreover, wet detention ponds are easy to maintain and do not have the complexity of other BMPs, such as under-drains etc (SJRWMD 2005). Furthermore, they can provide flood attenuation and pleasing aesthetics to the nearby properties and hence increase the property values. Overall, wet detention systems are one of the most successful mimics of natural systems. Hence, SJRWMD highly recommends wet detention ponds as the preferred stormwater treatment for sites with a moderate to high ground water table and/or a low soil infiltration rate (SJRWMD 2005).



Figure 3. Cross-section of a typical wet detention pond (SJRWMD 2005, used with permission of the St. Johns River Water Management District).

BMPs' Pollutant Removal Efficiencies

As Table 1 indicates, all these three types of BMPs offer some levels of pollutant removal, including sediments, nutrients as well as heavy metals. All three of these BMPs have similar removal rates for total suspended solids, up to 85%, which is excellent (CDM 2002). They also have similar removal rates of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), around 25%. However, they differ significantly in nutrient removal efficiencies. Wet detention ponds offer the best removal efficiencies for dissolved and total phosphorus (P), 65% and 45%, respectively; swales are second to wet ponds, 15% and 40%, respectively; while dry ponds provide minimal removal rates, 5% to 25%, respectively. On the other hand, all three types of BMPs have similar total heavy metals (Copper, Lead, and Zinc) removal rates, i.e., between 35% and 75%. Therefore, wet detention ponds stand out as the most efficient BMPs among these three types of BMPs. Coupled with the advantage of their processing volume, SJRWMD strongly encourages the use of wet detention ponds where natural conditions permit (SJRWMD 2005).

Pollutants	Dry De	etention	Wet Detention		Swales	
	Range	Average	Range	Average	Range	Average
BOD	20-30	25	20-40	30	20-40	30
TSS	80-90	85	80-90	85	70-90	80
Dissolved P	0-10	5	60-70	65	0-30	15
Total P	20-30	25	40-50	45	30-50	40
NOx	0-10	5	30-40	35	0-30	15
Total N	10-20	15	20-30	25	20-40	30
Total Cu	50-60	55	60-70	65	40-60	50
Total Pb	70-80	75	70-80	75	60-90	75
Total Zn	30-40	35	40-50	45	40-50	45

 Table 1. Pollutant removal efficiencies (%) for stormwater treatment BMPs: dry detention pond, wet detention pond and swale in the SJRWMD.

1. Data source: prep. by CDM for SJRWMD 2002.

2. Abbreviations: BOD: biochemical oxygen demand; NOx: nitrate and nitrite; TSS: total suspended solids.

Data Sources

The following is a list of the data sources used to develop this methodology:

- SJRWMD Environmental Resource Permit (ERP) boundaries data (polygons) from the SJRWMD GIS database: since the most recent land use/ land cover data are from the aerial images taken at the end of 2003, hence we can estimate BMPs coverage only for the period ending in 2003. So, any permit applications received after December 31, 2003 will not be included in the ERP boundaries data;
- ERP stormwater data (points) from the SJRWMD GIS database: similar to ERP boundaries data, we need to include only stormwater points (permits) that were received before December 31, 2003. We also need to convert the points to polygon coverage by drawing circular buffers around each of the points based on its project size;
- Groundwater table depth data (Grid format) from SJRWMD , Dept of Resource Management, Division of Ground Water Programs: the grid resolution is 115 X 115 meters;
- 4. 2004 and 1990 land cover/land use data from the SJRWMD GIS database;
- Hydrologic soil groups data from the SJRWMD GIS database: Soil SSURGO 24K data. The soil scientists at Natural Resources Conservation Services, U.S. Department of Agriculture (NRCS-USDA 1986) have classified soils into hydrologic groups A, B, C, and D based on their infiltration rates:

Group A soils have soil textures of sand, loamy sand, or sandy loam, and have low runoff potential and high infiltration rates even when thoroughly wetted.

They consist chiefly of deep, well to excessively drained sand or gravel and have a high rate of water transmission (greater than 0.30 in/hr).

Group B soils have soil textures of silt loam or loam, and have moderate infiltration rates when thoroughly wetted and consist chiefly of moderately deep to deep, moderately well to well drained soils with moderately fine to moderately coarse textures. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission (0.15-0.30 in/hr).

Group C soils have soil textures of sandy clay loam, and have low infiltration rates when thoroughly wetted and consist chiefly of soils with a layer that impedes downward movement of water and soils with moderately fine-to-fine texture. These soils have a low rate of water transmission (0.05-0.15 in/hr).

Group D soils have soil textures of clay loam, silty clay loam, sandy clay, silty clay, or clay, and have high runoff potential. They have very low infiltration rates when thoroughly wetted and consist chiefly of clay soils with a high swelling potential, soils with a permanent high water table, soils with a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and shallow soils over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very low rate of water transmission (0-0.05 in/hr).

Methodology

The straightforward method to map the stormwater BMPs is to collect information about BMPs implementation from different governmental entities, such as cities, counties, districts, Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Florida Department of Transportation, etc., which all have some levels of authority to issue land development permits. After that, field trips are required to corroborate or correct this information, and then manually delineate the project boundaries on the map. This is possibly the most accurate method; it is, however, very time-consuming and costly. According to Mr. Joe Walker, the project manager from the consulting firm PBS&J who oversaw the BMPs distribution mapping using this method in Lake Jesup watershed, they spent about 5 months of manpower on this project (personal communication). The area of Lake Jesup watershed is only about 1% of the SJRWMD's total area. At this speed, it would take over forty years of manpower to finish mapping the BMPs in all the SJRWMD area using this method. This does not take into account any changes that may occur to previously mapped areas during this effort. The development of an efficient and relatively accurate method is needed to provide input data for the modeling of hydrology and water quality. To expedite the mapping process, GIS information available through the SJRWMD various departments was utilized, including Environmental Resources Permits (ERP) regulatory data, land cover/land use, groundwater table, hydrologic soil groups. As the result, the production of a reasonably accurate BMPs distribution map for all of the SJRWMD's 2,000 square mile area can be accomplished within two days.

GIS Approach to estimate BMPs coverage in the SJRWMD

Three BMPs types, i.e., swales, dry and wet detention ponds, were mapped in this estimated BMPs coverage map since these three types of BMPs are the most common and recognizable practices in Florida. In this project, we do not consider non-structural BMPs such as street sweeping, educational efforts, etc. as these are very difficult to quantify; nor did we consider agricultural BMPs, as they are quite different from residential, industrial or commercial BMPs. However, we could add agricultural BMPs later if there is a need for such information and more information becomes available.

First, we have to identify the locations where BMPs had been installed. This information is based on two sources of data:

 ERP boundaries data (polygons) and ERP stormwater data (points): the SRJWMD Permit Data Service delineated the properties contained in the permit applications and put this information into two GIS files, ERP boundaries (polygons) and ERP stormwater data (points). The former typically contains large projects that are under the regulations of Chapters 40C-4, 40C-40 and 40C-42 F.A.C., which is already in the format of polygons; the latter contains smaller projects, usually under the regulation of Chapter 40C-42 F.A.C.. However, the latter is in point format and had to be converted into polygon format by creating a circular buffer around the point based on its project size. We then combine these two GIS layers into one GIS layer. However, cautions should be exercised: some permit applications may be a primary permit application, which are followed by a series of sub-applications when the developers start to develop various phases of the

property. This means some open lands may have been included in the permits but have not been developed yet. Therefore, we need to limit the ERP permitted area to the urban/industrial/commercial area. This information represents the most reliable information regarding BMPs locations since it comes directly from the permit application;

2. Urban land cover/use changes between 1990 and 2004. Although the SJRWMD Division of Regulatory Information Management has tried very hard to delineate all the proposed development contained in the permits applications, due to the large number of permits some older permits have not yet been delineated. In addition, there might be some areas where the SJRWMD does not have authority to issue permits. Since stormwater programs were adopted in Florida in the early 1980s, it is a reasonable assumption that any urban land use changes will be treated with some types of BMPs. Therefore, we did a GIS comparison between 1990 and 2004 LU/LC layers, any land cover changes of residential densities or changes from rural to urban will be extracted to complement the regulatory source. Later, regulatory data and urban land cover changes data indicating BMPs treatment will be unioned and dissolved into one GIS layer.

After we produced the GIS layer of where BMPs were located, we needed to separate the data into types of BMPs for each location.

Swales: all swales-treated areas come from the permitting data. It is a common phenomenon that major roads, including interstate highways, state, and county roads are

treated by swales, as it is the most appropriate BMPs for road stormwater treatment. Although it is not unusual that some sections of roads may be treated by multiple BMPs or BMPs treatment chain, nevertheless, since swales are the most common BMPs for roads, all the road features from the regulatory data source were assumed to be treated by "swales".

Dry/wet detention ponds: dry/wet detention ponds separation is totally based on the groundwater depth and hydrologic soil group data. Groundwater depth and soil types are the most important factors in designing dry/wet detention ponds. For example, a dry pond constructed at a site with a shallow groundwater table and/or low infiltration rate will not function as a dry pond, but rather as a wet pond. On the other hand, a wet pond constructed in an area with a very deep groundwater table and/or high soil infiltration rate cannot hold a permanent pool, and hence it will function as dry pond instead. Therefore, our separation of dry/wet ponds is based on functional types rather than designed types. Our assumptions are:

- a. If the groundwater depth is less than 5 feet, it will be a wet pond regardless of the soil hydrologic condition;
- b. If the groundwater depth is greater than 10 feet, it will be a dry pond regardless of the soil hydrologic condition;
- c. If the groundwater depth is between 5 and 10 feet, then it depends on the soil hydrologic condition: if it is an "A" soil (high infiltration rate) then this site most likely will be treated by dry detention pond; otherwise, it will be a wet detention pond.

Method used to compare our results with the PBS&J method

There is very limited information regarding BMPs spatial distribution in the SJRWMD. To the best of our knowledge, so far the only information available is the Lake Jesup watershed BMPs coverage developed by PBS&J, Inc in 2004. PBS&J's BMPs coverage also includes agricultural BMPs, nursery BMPs, and conservation wetland areas as well as urban/industrial BMPs, but our results include only urban/industrial BMPs. Hence, it is necessary to adjust PBS&J results to include only urban/industrial BMPs. Furthermore, the PBS&J method had 4 more BMPs types than our method, i.e., swales/dry detention ponds, lake drainage wells, Orlando private BMPs and Orlando 100% detention (Table 4), in addition to swales, dry and wet detention ponds. Orlando private BMPs and Orlando 100% detention was ignored due to their limited usage (39 combined acres) (Table 4). Swales/dry detention ponds were lumped into swales while lake drainage wells were lumped into wet detention ponds due to their similarities. After that, the results of the BMPs coverage in the Lake Jesup watershed estimated from our method (estimated BMPs layer) were compared with those developed by PBS&J (adjusted PBS&J BMPs layer) through GIS operations. The estimated BMPs layer was unioned with adjusted PBS&J BMPs layer within ESRI ArcMap and then were compared on 1) the total BMPstreated area; 2) the percentage of BMPs-treated area where two methods agrees on; and 3) the area where the two methods do not match at all.

Results and Discussions

Map Production

Following the method discussed in this report and the GIS procedures in the Appendix I, the BMPs distribution maps were estimated for the whole District (Figure 4), and for all nine of the major basins within the SJRWMD (Figures 5 through 13). As Table 2 indicates, in the whole SJRWMD area, only 6.5% of the total area receives urban/industrial BMPs treatment. Among the three types of BMPs, the majority of BMPs were wet detention ponds, with their area occupying about 4.55% of the total SJRWMD area, or 70% of the total BMPs-treated area. Swales comprised only a small fraction of the BMPs, about 0.2% of the total SJRWMD area or 3% of the total BMPs-treated area. The rest were occupied by dry detention ponds, about 1.7% of the total SJRWMD area or 26.8% of the BMPs-treated area (Table 2). All of these values were in line with observations that wet detention ponds are the most adopted BMPs in Florida. Although swales are one of the most recognizable BMPs in Florida, due to its nature, however, it cannot treat large-scale developed areas. Hence, the total area treated by swales is limited and mostly distributed along the major roads (Figure 4). As Figure 4 demonstrates, wet detention ponds were distributed across the whole SJRWMD area, especially in the coastal regions, and in the northern and southern portions of the SJRWMD. Dry detention ponds were more concentrated in the middle west of the SJRWMD. These results agreed very well with the distribution of hydrologic soil groups and the depths to groundwater table in the SJRWMD, i.e., the soils in middle west are mostly group "A" with high infiltration rates and the depths to groundwater table are deep (Figures 18 & 19).

BMPs Types	Area (acres)	% of Total SJRWMD Area
Swales	15,808	0.20%
Dry Detention Ponds	135,406	1.74%
Wet Detention Ponds	354,309	4.55%
Total BMPs-treated Area	505,523	6.50%
Total SJRWMD Area	7,782,104	

Table 2. Distribution of swales, dry and wet detention ponds in the SJRWMD.

Relationship between urbanization rate and BMPs-treated rate

In this report, urbanization rate and BMPs-treated rate are defined as total urban area and BMPs-treated area, respectively, divided by the total area of the basin or watershed and then multiplied by 100. The SJRWMD has a total area of 7,782,104 acres with 1,089,123 acres of urban area, around 14% urbanization rate based on 2004 land use/cover data. More than 45% of the urban area was treated by BMPs. However, the BMPs-treated rate was only about 6.5% (Table 3). Out of the nine SJRWMD's major basins, Upper St Johns River Basin (USJRB) and St Mary's River Basin had the lowest urbanization rates; both basins were 4.2% urbanized and both had very low BMPs-treated rates, 2.1% and 1.8%, respectively (Table 3). On the other hand, Middle St. Johns River Basin (MSJRB) was the most urbanized basin with a 25.7% urbanization rate: out of its total area of 753,886 acres, 193,911 acres was urban area, and 45% of the urban area was treated by BMPs-treated rate in the SJRWMD, about 11.6% (Table 3). Therefore, it was clear that there was a relationship between BMPs-treated rate and urbanization rate. This trend was demonstrated in Figure

17 where the BMPs-treated rate was significantly and positively correlated with urbanization rate with a linear relationship: Y (BMPs-treated rate) = 0.483 * X (urbanization rate) - 0.001 (p < 0.001 and R² = 0.95), which means the higher the urbanization rate; the higher the BMPs-treated rate in the basin.

Basins	Total Area	Urban Area	Urban % of Total Area	BMPs- treated Area	BMPs- treated % of Total Area	BMPs- treated % of Urban Area
SJRWMD	7,782,104	1,089,123	14.0%	505,523	6.5%	45.2%
USJRB	1,104,826	46,802	4.2%	22,658	2.1%	48.4%
St Mary's River	609,394	25,837	4.2%	10,952	1.8%	42.4%
Ocklawaha River	1,353,468	162,763	12.0%	82,032	6.1%	50.4%
Northern Coastal	468,875	94,680	20.2%	54,129	11.5%	57.2%
Nassau River	271,458	24,266	8.9%	11,566	4.3%	47.7%
MSJRB	753,886	193,911	25.7%	87,250	11.6%	45.0%
Lake George	522,256	32,411	6.2%	11,634	2.2%	35.9%
LSJRB	1,763,317	284,449	16.1%	129,300	7.3%	66.7%
Indian River Lagoon	743,651	138,973	18.7%	57,884	7.8%	41.7%

Table 3. Major basin's urban and BMPs-treated areas (acres) and their respective percentages.

Comparison between this method and PBS&J method

PBS&J results: the BMPs map developed by PBS&J has a few more BMPs types than our method besides the three common BMPs types, i.e., swales, dry detention ponds and wet detention ponds. In addition, PBS&J picked up some local, specific BMPs types, such as Orlando 100% detention, and Orlando private BMPs, which was specific for the Orlando area. These two local BMPs types covered a combined area of 39 acres, which was less than 0.04% of total area of Lake Jesup watershed (Table 4). In addition, PBS&J had lake drainage wells as special BMPs in Lake Jesup watershed, which was located at the southwest of the watershed draining about 3,074 acres, about 3.15% of the total watershed area (Table 4). Although this was a major BMPs feature in the Lake Jesup watershed that could retain stormwater and pollutants, however, there are concerns over their effects on groundwater quality (Gao 2005). Hence, future application or application outside Lake Jesup watershed is discouraged and will not be permitted any more. PBS&J also included a combination of swale/dry detention ponds as independent BMPs although it only covered 311 acres, or about 0.32% of the watershed area. Hence, swales, dry detention ponds and wet detention ponds were the more universal and common BMPs types. Also, the PBS&J method included agricultural, nursery, pasture BMPs in addition to urban/industrial BMPs (Table 4 & 5).

Our results: on the other hand, our method maps only urban/industrial BMPs, i.e., BMPs in residential, industrial and commercial areas (Table 6). Hence, PBS&J results had to be adjusted to urban/industrial area as well as lumping swales/dry detention ponds and lake drainage wells into swales and wet detention ponds, respectively, as described in the *Methodology* section before being compared with our estimated results. After adjustment, PBS&J recorded 1,200 acres, 6,973 acres and 11,807 acres, in comparison with our results, 1,014 acres, 5,556 acres and 11,531 acres for swales, dry detention ponds, and wet detention ponds, respectively (Table 7). Our results compared favorably with

PBS&J's, especially for wet detention ponds, where the difference was less than 1.6%. The total BMPs-treated area estimated by our method was 18,101 acres, or 18.55% of the total Lake Jesup watershed area in comparison with the adjusted PBS&J total of 19,980 acres, or 20.48% of the total watershed area (Table 7). The difference between the total BMPs-treated areas by these two methods was less than 10%. The match study between these two methods demonstrated that 10,352 acres, out of 18,101 acres total BMPs-treated area matched on the locations, which was roughly 57% (Table 7 & 8). Half of this match, or 5,753 acres, was exact match, i.e., swale to swale, dry detention pond to dry detention pond, and wet detention pond to wet detention pond (Table 8).

Frequency study at sub-watershed level at selected basins

To further study the distribution of BMPs in the SJRWMD, several representative basins were selected for further studies at the sub-watershed level, i.e., Lake Jesup basin, and three other major basins, namely, Lower St. Johns River Basin (LSJRB), Upper St. Johns River Basin (USJRB), and Indian River Lagoon Basin. The Lake Jesup basin is an example of a highly urbanized basin with the highest urbanization rate, 46.8%, while the USJRB is an example for the least developed area with the least urbanization rate, 4.2% (Table 3). For each basin, there are three histograms for the frequency distribution of urbanization rate, BMPs to urban area percentage, and BMPs-treated rate. The X-axis represents the percentage range for urbanization rate, BMPs to urban area, and BMPs-treated rate. For example, 10 represents 0 to 10%, 20 represents 10 to 20%, etc. The left Y-axis is the frequency percentage, which is calculated as the number of sub-watersheds falling into each incremental 10% range on X-axis divided by the total number of sub-

watersheds in that basin. The right Y-axis is the cumulative frequency distribution. The table following each histogram displays the same information as the histogram.

In the highly urbanized Lake Jesup basin, at least 18.6% of the total area was treated by BMPs (Table 7). Out of its 39 sub-watersheds, 64% of the sub-watersheds had below a 20% BMPs-treated rates while 36% of the sub-watersheds had above a 20% BMPs treated rates (Figure 22). On the other hand, the least urbanized USJRB had the least BMPs-treated rate, i.e., 2.1%; out of its total 110 sub-watersheds, 92.7%, or 102 sub-watersheds had less than a 10% BMPs-treated rate; 5 sub-watersheds had a 10% to 20% BMPs-treated rate; and the remaining 3 sub-watersheds had a 20% to 30% BMPs-treated rate (Figure 25). The other two basins had BMPs-treated rates between these two extremes (Figures 26, 27, 28, 29, 30 and 31).

Conclusions

Overall, our method produced reasonably accurate and detailed information regarding BMPs spatial distribution in the SJRWMD. The difference of the total BMPs-treated area in the Lake Jesup watershed between these two methods was less than 10%, which was very good considering that our method can reproduce the spatial distribution of BMPs in the SJRWMD within two days, while it will take 40 man years to finish the same task using the PBS&J method. Our estimated results were also in line with our and other SJRWMD engineers' observations. What is more important, our method's accuracy depends upon the source data's accuracy, especially regulatory data. Once more accurate data becomes available, our method can be used to reproduce more accurate BMPs distribution maps for the whole SJRWMD area within two days.

Future Directions

In the future, we can improve our product (the estimated BMPs spatial distribution in the SJRWMD) as more data become available. The staff at Division of Regulatory Information Management at the SJRWMD is striving to digitize all the most recent or older permits or permit applications and then update the permit GIS database every week. In addition, they are converting the stormwater GIS layer from points feature to polygon feature. Furthermore, at our request, they are adding a new attribute to the GIS layer: stipulating in their coverage data, which BMPs are going to be implemented once the lands have been developed. As a result, more BMPs types will be delineated in the estimated map, including BMPs treatment chains, infiltration trenches, etc, as well as the three BMPs discussed in this report. What is more important, the separation of the BMPs types will not be based on the assumptions described in this report, but based on the real data. This will tremendously improve our result; it will be more accurate and detailed in the future. Furthermore, with our method automatic GIS processes can be enabled by Visual Basic for Application codes. In this case the whole GIS calculation described in Appendix I can be finished within a few hours.

BMPs Types	Area (acre)	% Basin Area
Swales	1,214	1.24%
Swales/Dry Detention Ponds	311	0.32%
Dry Detention Ponds	11,040	11.31%
Wet Detention Ponds	12,156	12.46%
Lake Drainage Wells	3,074	3.15%
Orlando 100% Detention	33	0.03%
Orlando Private BMPs	6	0.01%
Total BMPs-treated Area	27,833	28.53%
Total Lake Jesup Watershed Area	97,569	

Table 4. BMPs distributions in the Lake Jesup watershed estimated by PBS&J method.

BMPs Types	2004 Land Cover Types	Area (acres)
Swales	N/A	22
Swales	Low Residential Density	144
Swales	Medium Residential Density	13
Swales	High Residential Density	49
Swales	Industrial and Commercial	6
Swales	Animal Production	9
Swales	Forest	30
Swales	Water	2
Swales	Wetlands	35
Dry Detention Ponds	N/A	43
Dry Detention Ponds	Low Residential Density	1,417
Dry Detention Ponds	Medium Residential Density	2,487
Dry Detention Ponds	High Residential Density	793
Dry Detention Ponds	Industrial and Commercial	2,268
Dry Detention Ponds	Mining	7
Dry Detention Ponds	Open Land and Barren Land	272
Dry Detention Ponds	Animal Production	344
Dry Detention Ponds	Agricultural General	193
Dry Detention Ponds	Agricultural Tree Crop	42
Dry Detention Ponds	Rangeland	195
Dry Detention Ponds	Forest	518
Dry Detention Ponds	Water	492
Dry Detention Ponds	Wetlands	1,968
Swales/Dry Detention Ponds	N/A	20
Swales/Dry Detention Ponds	Low Residential Density	89
Swales/Dry Detention Ponds	Medium Residential Density	531
Swales/Dry Detention Ponds	High Residential Density	35
Swales/Dry Detention Ponds	Industrial and Commercial	332
Swales/Dry Detention Ponds	Open Land and Barren Land	30
Swales/Dry Detention Ponds	Animal Production	0
Swales/Dry Detention Ponds	Agricultural General	36

Table 5. The relationship between 2004 land cover and BMPs distribution estimated by PBS&J method.

BMPs Types	BMPs Types2004 Land Cover Types		
Swales/Dry Detention Ponds	Agricultural Tree Crop	4	
Swales/Dry Detention Ponds	Rangeland	3	
Swales/Dry Detention Ponds	Forest	45	
Swales/Dry Detention Ponds	Water	26	
Swales/Dry Detention Ponds	Wetlands	63	
Wet Detention Ponds	N/A	70	
Wet Detention Ponds	Low Residential Density	200	
Wet Detention Ponds	Medium Residential Density	5,682	
Wet Detention Ponds	High Residential Density	2,165	
Wet Detention Ponds	Industrial and Commercial	1,529	
Wet Detention Ponds	Mining	6	
Wet Detention Ponds	Open Land and Barren Land	306	
Wet Detention Ponds	Animal Production	8	
Wet Detention Ponds	Agricultural General	214	
Wet Detention Ponds	Agricultural Tree Crop	34	
Wet Detention Ponds	Rangeland	110	
Wet Detention Ponds	Forest	285	
Wet Detention Ponds	Water	595	
Wet Detention Ponds	Wetlands	952	
other BMPs	Medium Residential Density	2	
other BMPs	Industrial and Commercial	36	
other BMPs	Water	2	
Lake Drainage Area	N/A	30	
Lake Drainage Area	Medium Residential Density	1,261	
Lake Drainage Area	High Residential Density	97	
Lake Drainage Area	Industrial and Commercial	868	
Lake Drainage Area	Open Land and Barren Land	21	
Lake Drainage Area	Agricultural General 95		
Lake Drainage Area	Rangeland	36	
Lake Drainage Area	Forest	21	
Lake Drainage Area	Water 623		
Lake Drainage Area	Wetlands	23	

BMPs Types	2004 Land Cover Types	Area (acres)
Swales	Industrial and Commercial	1,014
Dry Detention Ponds	Low Residential Density	265
Dry Detention Ponds	Medium Residential Density	2,584
Dry Detention Ponds	High Residential Density	1,029
Dry Detention Ponds	Industrial and Commercial	1,678
Dry Detention Ponds	Mining	0
Wet Detention Ponds	Low Residential Density	837
Wet Detention Ponds	Medium Residential Density	4,951
Wet Detention Ponds	High Residential Density	2,831
Wet Detention Ponds	Industrial and Commercial	2,905
Wet Detention Ponds	Mining	7

Table 6. The relationship between 2004 land cover and BMPs distribution estimated by our method

Table 7. Comparison of BMPs distribution in the Lake Jesup watershed between our method and adjusted PBS&J method. The result of BMPs distribution from PBS&J method was adjusted to urban areas, excluding any agriculture, forestry, nursery, water or wetland areas.

BMPS Types	Area (acre)	% Basin area	Area (acres)	% Basin Area	
	This M	lethod	Adjusted PBS&J Method		
Swales	1,014	1.04%	1,200	1.23%	
Dry Detention Ponds	5,556	5.69%	6,973	7.15%	
Wet Detention Ponds	11,531	11.82%	11,807	12.10%	
Total BMPs-treated Area	18,101	18.55%	19,980	20.48%	
Total Lake Jesup Watershed Area	97,569				

BMPs Distribution Estimated by		Areas (acre)	% Basin Area
Our Method	PBS&J Method		
No BMPs	BMPs	17,478	17.91%
BMPs	No BMPs	7,746	7.94%
Swales	Swales	17	0.02%
Swales	Dry Detention Ponds	36	0.04%
Swales	Wet Detention Ponds	189	0.19%
Dry Detention Ponds	Swales	176	0.18%
Dry Detention Ponds	Dry Detention Ponds	968	0.99%
Dry Detention Ponds	Wet Detention Ponds	1,699	1.74%
Wet Detention Ponds	Swales	99	0.10%
Wet Detention Ponds	Dry Detention Ponds	2,400	2.46%
Wet Detention Ponds	Wet Detention Ponds	4,768	4.89%
Total Exact Match		5,753	5.90%
Total Dry/Wet Ponds Match		9,835	10.08%
BMPs/BMPs Match		10,352	10.61%
Total Lake Jesup Watershed Area		97,569	17.91%

 Table 8. BMPs Match study between our method and adjusted PBS&J method.


Figure 4. Spatial distribution of the stormwater best management practices in the St Johns River Water Management District.



Figure 5. Spatial distribution of the stormwater best management practices in the Upper St. Johns River Basin (USJRB).



Figure 6. Spatial distribution of the stormwater best management practices in the Indian River Lagoon Basin.



Figure 7. Spatial distribution of the stormwater best management practices in the Ocklawaha River Basin.



Figure 8. Spatial distribution of the stormwater best management practices in the Middle St. Johns River Basin (MSJRB).



Figure 9. Spatial distribution of the stormwater best management practices in the Lake George Basin.



Figure 10. Spatial distribution of the stormwater best management practices in the Northern Coastal Basin.



Figure 11. Spatial distribution of the stormwater best management practices in the Lower St. Johns River Basin (LSJRB).



Figure 12. Spatial distribution of the stormwater best management practices in the St Marys River Basin.



Figure 13. Spatial distribution of the stormwater best management practices in the Nassau River Basin.



Author:xhuang, Source:I:10 atalENGDATA\BMP_Mapping\Version2\SJRWMD_BMP_Ver2.mxd, Time:40/2007 10:36:12 AM

Figure 14. Lake Jesup watershed stormwater best management practices distribution developed by PBS&J, Inc.



Authorschulang, Sourcell:/DiatalENGDATA\BMP_MappinglVersion2\SJRWMD_BMP_Ver2.med, Time:40/2007 10:36:12 AM

Figure 15. Lake Jesup watershed stormwater best management practices urban distribution developed by PBS&J, Inc.



Authorschulang, Source:1:DiatalENGDATA\BMP_Mapping\Version2\SJRW/MD_BMP_Ver2.mxd, Time:40/2007 10:36:12 AM

Figure 16. Lake Jesup watershed stormwater best management practices distribution estimated by the reported method.



Figure 17. Relationship between urbanization and BMPs-treated rates of the nine major basins in the SJRWMD.



Figure 18. Distribution of hydrologic soil groups in the St Johns River Water Management District.



Figure 19. Distribution of the depth to groundwater table in the SJRWMD.



Figure 20. Lake Jesup Watershed: urbanization rate (%).

Percentage	F	requency	Frequency%	Cumulative %
	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	10	4	10.26	10.26%
	20	8	20.51	30.77%
3	30	0	0.00	30.77%
2	40	1	2.56	33.33%
Ę	50	2	5.13	38.46%
6	50	5	12.82	51.28%
7	70	11	28.21	79.49%
8	30	7	17.95	97.44%
ç	90	1	2.56	100.00%
10	00	0	0.00	100.00%
total sub-watershed		39		



Figure 21. Lake Jesup Watershed: BMPs-treated area to urban area (%).

Percentage	F	requency	Frequency%	Cumulative %
	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	10	1	2.56	2.56%
	20	6	15.38	17.95%
3	30	8	20.51	38.46%
2	10	7	17.95	56.41%
Ę	50	5	12.82	69.23%
6	50	3	7.69	76.92%
7	70	6	15.38	92.31%
8	30	2	5.13	97.44%
ç	90	0	0.00	97.44%
1(00	1	2.56	100.00%
total sub-watershed		39		



Figure 22. Lake Jesup Watershed: BMPs-treated rate (%).

Percentage	F	requency	Frequency%	Cumulative %
	0	0	0.00	.00%
	10	13	33.33	33.33%
	20	12	30.77	64.10%
	30	7	17.95	82.05%
	40	4	10.26	92.31%
	50	2	5.13	97.44%
	60	0	0.00	97.44%
	70	1	2.56	100.00%
	80	0	0.00	100.00%
	90	0	0.00	100.00%
	100	0	0.00	100.00%
total sub-watershe	ed	39		



Figure 23. USJRB: urbanization rate (%).

Percentage	Frequency	Frequency%	Cumulative %
0	7	6.36	6.36%
10	90	81.82	88.18%
20	4	3.64	91.82%
30	3	2.73	94.55%
40	2	1.82	96.36%
50	2	1.82	98.18%
60	2	1.82	100.00%
70	0	0.00	100.00%
80	0	0.00	100.00%
90	0	0.00	100.00%
100	0	0.00	100.00%
total sub-watershed	110		



Figure 24. USJRB: BMPs-treated area to urban area (%).

Percentage	Frequency	Frequency%	Cumulative %
0	13	11.82	11.82%
10	19	17.27	29.09%
20	5	4.55	33.64%
30	14	12.73	46.36%
40	11	10.00	56.36%
50	15	13.64	70.00%
60	9	8.18	78.18%
70	4	3.64	81.82%
80	1	0.91	82.73%
90	11	10.00	92.73%
100	8	7.27	100.00%
total sub-watershed	110		

Figure 25. USJRB: BMPs-treated rate (%).



Percentage		Frequency	Frequency%	Cumulative %
	0	10	9.09	9.09%
	10	92	83.64	92.73%
	20	5	4.55	97.27%
	30	3	2.73	100.00%
	40	0	0.00	100.00%
	50	0	0.00	100.00%
	60	0	0.00	100.00%
	70	0	0.00	100.00%
	80	0	0.00	100.00%
	90	0	0.00	100.00%
	100	0	0.00	100.00%
total sub-watersh	ed	110		

Figure 26. LSJRB: urbanization rate (%).



Percentage	Frequency	Frequency%	Cumulative %
0	1	1.37	1.37%
10	33	45.21	46.58%
20	7	9.59	56.16%
30	7	9.59	65.75%
40	7	9.59	75.34%
50	4	5.48	80.82%
60	5	6.85	87.67%
70	1	1.37	89.04%
80	5	6.85	95.89%
90	3	4.11	100.00%
100	0	0.00	100.00%
total sub-watershed	73		



Figure 27. LSJRB: BMPs-treated area to urban area (%).

Percentage	Frequency	Frequency%	Cumulative %
0	6	8.22	8.22%
10	0	0.00	8.22%
20	2	2.74	10.96%
30	10	13.70	24.66%
40	11	15.07	39.73%
50	15	20.55	60.27%
60	11	15.07	75.34%
70	8	10.96	86.30%
80	6	8.22	94.52%
90	4	5.48	100.00%
100	0	0.00	100.00%
total sub-watershed	73		

Figure 28.LSJRB: BMPs-treated rate (%)



Percentage	Frequency	Frequency%	Cumulative %
0	6	8.22	8.22%
10	38	52.05	60.27%
20	13	17.81	78.08%
30	10	13.70	91.78%
40	5	6.85	98.63%
50	1	1.37	100.00%
60	0	0.00	100.00%
70	0	0.00	100.00%
80	0	0.00	100.00%
90	0	0.00	100.00%
100	0	0.00	100.00%
total sub-watershed	73		



Figure 29. Indian River Lagoon (IRL) Basin: urbanization rate (%).

percentage	Frequency	Frequency%	Cumulative %
0	2	3.77	3.77%
10	15	28.30	32.08%
20	10	18.87	50.94%
30	8	15.09	66.04%
40	8	15.09	81.13%
50	5	9.43	90.57%
60	2	3.77	94.34%
70	2	3.77	98.11%
80	1	1.89	100.00%
90	0	0.00	100.00%
100	0	0.00	100.00%
total sub-watershed	53		



Figure 30. Indian River Lagoon Basin: BMPs-treated area to urban area (%).

Percentage	Frequency	Frequency%	Cumulative %
0	2	3.77	3.77%
10	4	7.55	11.32%
20	3	5.66	16.98%
30	8	15.09	32.08%
40	13	24.53	56.60%
50	9	16.98	73.58%
60	8	15.09	88.68%
70	3	5.66	94.34%
80	3	5.66	100.00%
90	0	0.00	100.00%
100	0	0.00	100.00%
total sub-watershed	53		



Figure 31. Indian River Lagoon Basin: BMPs-treated rate (%).

Percentage	Frequency	Frequency%	Cumulative %
0	2	3.77	3.77%
10	29	54.72	58.49%
20	17	32.08	90.57%
30	5	9.43	100.00%
40	0	0.00	100.00%
50	0	0.00	100.00%
60	0	0.00	100.00%
70	0	0.00	100.00%
80	0	0.00	100.00%
90	0	0.00	100.00%
100	0	0.00	100.00%
total sub-watershed	53		

References

Butler, D. and J. W. Davies. 2004. *Urban Drainage*, 2nd edition. Spon Press. London and New York.

Camp Dresser & McKee Inc (CDM). 1985. An Assessment of Stormwater Management *Programs*. Prepared for Florida Department of Environmental Regulation, Tallahassee, Florida.

Camp Dresser & McKee Inc (CDM). 2002. *Literature Review of Stormwater Best Management Practices for the St. Johns River Water Management District*. Technical Memorandum submitted to SJRWMD

Gao, X. 2005. *TMDL Report: Nutrient and Unionized Ammonia TMDLs for Lake Jesup, WBIDs 2981 and 2981A*. Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Tallahassee, Florida, USA

NRCS-USDA. 1986. *Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds*. Technical Release 55 from Conservation Engineering Division, Natural Resources Conservation Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture. Washington, D.C., USA

SJRWMD. 2005. Applicant's Handbook: Regulation of Stormwater Management Systems. St. Johns River Water Management District, Palatka, Florida

SJRWMD. 2006. *Neighborhood Guide to Stormwater Systems*. St. Johns River Water Management District, Palatka, Florida

US Census Bureau. 2006. http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/12000.html

GIS Mapping Procedures

This procedure involves GIS operations on multiple layers of land cover/land use, regulatory permits, soil and groundwater table data, which may contain large amounts of data. It is in your best interest for you to clip the layers to your project area. Otherwise, it will require hours of computer calculations and occasionally may result in hang-ups or even crashes of your computer. In this procedure, the spatial distribution of BMPs was estimated across the whole St. Johns Water Management District, so all the layers were not clipped and remain in their original size. It is strongly recommended that you use the same names as in this procedure, occasionally if you have to save files to different names, put underscore and your project name behind the original names. Otherwise, you may not get the exact results as in the following screenshots.

This procedure requires the following softwares or associated tools: ESRI ArcMap, Spatial Analyst, XTools Pro and SJR Custom Extension: 9.2.

This procedure is intended for internal District use. Many datasets are located on the SJRWMD local GIS server or internal network drives. If you are outside the SJRWMD and have interest in using this procedure, please contact:

Department of Information Resources, The St Johns River Water Management District, 4049 Reid Street, Palatka, Florida 32178-1429 Step 1. Add the following layers to ArcMap, and clip them to the project area:

- ERP boundaries data (polygons) and ERP stormwater data (points);
- 2004 land cover/land use data and 1990 land use data;
- Soil hydrologic group data;
- Groundwater table depth data (Grid format).



Figure Step 1a. Clicking on "Add SJR Theme" button and add layers

"ERP_Boundaries_Polys" and "ERP_Stormwater_Points" from category "Regulatory", layers "lulc_2004_LandCover_Detailed" and "lulc_1990_Detailed" from category "Land Use and / or Land Cover" and layer "Soils_24k_hydrgrp_Shading" from category "Soils" to ArcMap. Add the boundary layer of the project area (not shown in this procedure). Clip all the layers to the project area by using *ArcToolbox* >*Analysis Tools* >*Extract* >*Clip*. Clip "Land Use 1990" and "Land Cover" to new layers named "LC90" and "LC04", respectively.

QUntitled - ArcMap - ArcInfo	_ - X											
Elle Edit Yew Insert Selection Iools Window Help												
📘 🖆 🛱 🕺 🖻 🏙 📉 💀 🔿 🚸 1:2.516.931 💽 📝 🤌 🚳 🗖 🕅 Spatial Analyst 👻 Layer.												
🗑 🗠 🖬 🖉 🤰 😻 🗉 🕘 🖉 💩 🛔 🛛 Editor 👻 🕨 🖉 🗸 Task: Create New Feature 🖃 Target: 📃 🗶 🖓 💷 🖉 スローク スローク オーク オーク キーク ホーマーク エーク スローク マーク オーク オーク オーク オーク オーク オーク オーク オーク オーク オ												
Terrain Preprocessing 🔻 Watershed Processing 👻 Attribute Tools 👻 Network Tools 👻 ApUtilities 💌 🌾 🐢 🕫 🐌 🖻 Help												
Image: space												
Add Data												
Look in: 👰 X\GWP\LibraryProjects\Recharge2005 🗸 🍋 🏐 🕋 🗮 🖩												
Add Data												
Name: dep_to_wat Add												
Show of type: Datasets and Layers (*.tyr) Cancel												
Display Source Selection Catalog Favorites Index Sea () a b 2 1 4												
Drawing ▼ k (?) ﷺ □ ▼ A ▼ ⊠ @ Arial ▼ III ▼ B Z U A ▼ ð ▼ <u>J</u> ▼ ▼												
	293070.79 3123336.469 Meters											

Figure Step 1b. Add layer "dep_to_wat" (groundwater table depth) from network folder "X:\GWP\Library\Projects\Recharge2005\data\".



Figure Step 1c. Because layer "dep_to_wat" is in grid format, so the clip tool from Step 1a will not work. Instead click on *ArcToolbox* >*Data Management Tools* >*Raster* >*Clip*, and input a rectangle that is slightly larger than your project area, and name the output raster dataset as "dep_to_wat_your_project_name". In this procedure, this layer is not clipped because the project area is the whole District.

Step 2. Remove permits or permit applications that were received after December 31, 2003. The reason why only those received before December 31, 2003 are considered is that the SJRWMD's Permit Data Service is constantly updating the two GIS layers, ERP Stormwater and ERP Boundaries, to the current date, so it is important that you select appropriate permit data for your project. In this procedure, 2004 land cover/land use layer was delineated from aerial images flown in November/December 2003. So setting December 31, 2003 as the cutoff date is appropriate.



Figure Step 2a. Select permits or permit applications from layer "ERP Boundaries" that were received before December 31, 2003 by clicking on menu *Selection > Select by Attributes* with a criteria "REC_DT <= TO_DATE('2003-12-31','YYYY-MM-DD')" (on your computer TO_DATE('2003-12-31','YYYY-MM-DD')" might become "Date '2003-12-31'").



Figure Step 2b. Right click on the layer *Data* >*Export Data*, and export all the selected features to a shapefile named "ERP_Boundaries12312003". Add this newly created file to ArcMap as a layer.

🔹 S JR_BMP. mxd - ArcMap - Arcinfo													_ J X
Elle Edi	it ⊻iew Insert Selec	ction <u>T</u> ools <u>W</u> i	ndow <u>H</u> elp										
D 📽			 1:2 516 931 		í 🔺 🚳 📼	N2 Spatial Apa	wet 🗸 🗌 Lavor						
」 🤩 🐴 🖻 🤇 🎟 🍼 🎍 漆 🖩 🕙 🕘 🍓 🧯 📔 Editor 👻 📔 🖉 T Task: Create New Feature 🛛 Target: 🔤 🔄 🗡 🖓 🗐 🖾 🖉 🗍 🖉 🖉 🖓 🕂 🖓 🖓 🞼													
Terrai	in Preprocessing 🔻	Watershed Pr	ocessing 👻 At	tribute Tools 🔻	Network To	ols 👻 ApUtilities 🖲	- 🔸 🔍 🛛	😪 🦃 🖻 Help					
			× @	~ ~			×		_				•
ERP Stormwater													
• Select By Attributes													
RMP. Roundaries 12312003												_	
Attributes of ERP Stormwater													. 🗆 X 🗌
					Only show	w selectable layers	in uns inst		TTS	REC DT			
	40C 42 024(2)	21	20/92	Method:	Create a nev	w selection		-	115	2/19/1009	E/19/1009	5/19/2002	loguor
	400-42.024(2)	19	9402					L 1		60/0004	9/4/2000/4	9/4/2003	Mitchol
	400-42.024(2)	13	94032	ONTY FIR						5/35/2004 5/75/2004	8/27/2004	8/77/2009	WILCHIEF
	400-42.024(2)	31	93792	OFECL PBM	IT ID					5/18/2004	6/7/2004	6/7/2003	Dicharc
	400-42.024(2)	31	93993	ISEQ.						5/07/2004	11/3/2004	11/3/2003	Roul Hr
	400-42.024(2)	31	39464	PROJ NM						1/21/1998	8/26/1998	8/26/2003	Peveret
	400-42.024(2)	31	39466	ACRES				~		2/3/1998	4/24/1998	4/24/2003	Elder G
	400-42.024(2)	31	39400	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-					2/17/1998	3/10/1998	3/10/2003	Combin
	400-42.024(2)	31	39638	= 0	Like					2/10/1008	7/31/1998	7/31/2003	Lowic F
	400-42.024(2)	31	30030							11/10/1009	12/7/1998	12/7/2003	SBL
	400-42.024(2)	31	39908	> >=	And					1/28/1994	2/23/1994	2/23/1999	First B:
	<nulls< td=""><td>31</td><td>39463</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1/21/1998</td><td>5/7/1998</td><td>5/7/2003</td><td>Ben Ha</td></nulls<>	31	39463							1/21/1998	5/7/1998	5/7/2003	Ben Ha
	400.42.024(2)	31	39479	< <=	Or					3/6/1998	5/7/1998	5/7/2003	Steve 4
	400-42.024(2)	31	39497							4/24/1998	5/21/1998	5/21/2003	Mark W
	400-42.024(2)	31	39478	_ % ()	Not	<		>		3/6/1998	3/26/1998	3/26/2003	Karl Sn
	400-42 024(2)	31	39494)				4/15/1998	6/24/1998	6/24/2003	Raymo
	40C-42.024(2)	31	39498	ls		Get Unique Valu	es Go To:			4/29/1998	5/21/1998	5/21/2003	Sarah k
	40C-42.024(2)	31	39493	PELECTAED						4/14/1998	6/22/1998	6/22/2003	Dolphu:
	40C-42.024(2)	31	39510	BELECT PRO	JM PDSLIB.3	I UNW_WATER W	HERE.			5/21/1998	6/11/1998	6/11/2003	Ocean
	40C-42.024(2)	31	39512	(ACRES >= 0.	1) AND (REC	_DT <= TO_DATE("	2003-12-31','YYYY	Y-MM-DD')) 🔼		5/22/1998	6/18/1998	6/18/2003	Patel T
	40C-42.024(2)	31	39508							5/11/1998	8/3/1998	8/3/2003	Mid Arr
	40C-42.024(2)	31	39502							5/8/1998	9/17/1998	9/17/2003	George
	40C-42.024(2)	31	39501							5/6/1998	6/15/1998	6/15/2003	Fred Ca
	40C-42.024(2)	35	39924					~		1/29/1998	12/2/1998	12/2/2003	John G
	40C-42.024(2)	31	76358		1	1 1	1			12/2/1998	12/28/1998	12/28/2003	Lewis E
	40C-42.024(2)	35	39904	Clear	Verify	Help	Load	Save		12/23/1996	3/19/1997	3/19/2002	Bud Ku
	400-42 024(2)	95	94044							67172004	8/4/2004	8/4/2009	Richard
<						ок	VlagA	Close					>
	Record: 14 4	0 1 1	1 Show: A										
					()			~					
											80-		
													-
Display Source Selection Catalog Favorites Index Search Results 3 0 2 1													لغر ا
313848.099 3346026.6 Meters													
- <mark></mark>	tart 🛛 😡 inbo	ox - Microsof	DRAFTRe	port.d 🔄 🕥	STR BMP.mo	kd 📄 SJR B	asins BMP			Se	arch Desktop 🔓		11:38 AM

Figure Step 2c. As in Step 2b, select and export all the permits or permit applications received before December 31, 2003 from layer "ERP Stormwater" with a project area greater than 0.1 acres. Export the selected features to a shapefile entitled "ERP_Stormwater12312003" and then add it to ArcMap.
Step 3. Since layer "ERP_Stormwater12312003" is a point feature. It has to be converted to polygon features before it can be utilized for ArcMap operations with other polygon themes. It is converted to polygon features based on the assumption that each project in the permit or permit application is represented as a circle with the point as its center; the area of the circle is the same as the project area (attribute "Acres"). The "radius" (unit: meters) of each circle is calculated as the square root of (4046.86 * each project area /3.14). The project area in "ERP_Stormwater12312003" is in acre unit, and multiplying it by 4046.86 will convert it to square meters; and dividing it by π (3.14), and then square rooting it will get the "radius" of the circle in meter unit.

SJR_BMP.	mxd - ArcMap - ArcInfo					
		al amakust — 🗍 forusz [
	🕐 🖷 🖬 🔨 🔽 💎 💎 1:2,516,931 🖉 🔛 👘 🖵 👘 Spati					
Αγ 🖬 🔏	🎟 🏕 🍰 🤻 🗉 🕙 🚇 🍓 🧯 📕 Editor 👻 🗼 🖉 👻 Task: Create New Feat.	ure 🔄 Target:	Y	$ \times \odot \blacksquare$		ㅋㅋㅋ 홍ㅋ
rrain Prepr	ocessing 👻 Watershed Processing 👻 Attribute Tools 👻 Network Tools 👻 ApUti	ilities 🔻 🤧 🔍 🕱 🖞	😯 🖻 Help			
Layers	Stormwater 12312003			9 7		
	Suites of EKP_Stormwater12312003					
SEQ	PROJ_NM	ACRES PRI	IT_STTS REC_DT	ISSUE_DT	EXPIRE_DT	
2	THE GRAND BOHEMIAN HOTEL	0.64 Active	7/29/1999 8	3/25/1999	8/25/2004	The Grand Bohem
1	Tavares Blue Rhino	9.97 Activ	110/8/2003	2/15/2003	12/15/2008	Lauth Property Gro
2	Rew Circle Professional Building	0.613 Activ	M Find & Replace		/8/2007	677 Inc.
2	St. Johns Square I (Phase 2)	20.03 Activ			10/2008	Sleiman Enterpris
_ 2	Artistic Florist and Body Network	0.394 Activ	a select by Attributes		17/2008	Greg Schlitt and J
2	Wahoo Drainage Improvements	10.95 Activ	Clear Selection		1/2008	Mr John D Bishop
1	FLORIDA SHERIFFS YOUTH RANCH ENTRANCE ROAD	3.87 Activ			8/2002	William Frye Jr
1	FLORIDA MARBLE & DESIGN	0.35 Activ	Switch Selection		28/2002	Mr & Mrs Michael
1	The Villas at Green Gate LLC	30.72 Activ	🔲 Select III		5/2009	The Villages at Gr
3	Romac Lumber & Supply - Truss Plant Addition	1.03 Activ			/15/2008	Romac Lumber &
3	South Lake High School Additions	33.7 Inacti	Add <u>F</u> ield		24/2008	Lake County School
2	STERLING HOUSE	3.69 Activ			11/2000	Sterling House Co
1	Deltona Commons Publix	7.1 Activ	<u>T</u> urn All Fields On		11/2010	Premier Corner, Ll
1	WOODLAND COMMONS	2.14 Activ	Bostoro Dofe It Colur	wa Milidhaa	25/2004	Michael Machin
5	Gregory's Place-Phase II Subdivision	7.275 Activ	Restore Default Colui		5/2008	Steinmetz Constru
1	SKYKING UNLIMITED	4.12 Activ	Related Tables		28/2003	William G Micco
1	POULTRY HEALTH SERVICES	2.93 Activ	Related <u>T</u> ables		80/2003	C & L Properties
1	SI FEP INN	1.37 Activ	80 Create Graph		26/2004	Max Patel
1	SALT SPRINGS RECREATION AREA	10.4 Activ	i creace graphini		23/1997	Steve Eitch
1	Pine Hills Head Start	4 49 Active	Add Table to Layout		/13/2008	Orange County Ca
1	BAY AVENUE OKAHUMPKA ELOPIDA	1.5 Activ			/1/2002	Mr. Iim Stivender
	GLENHAM DRIVE SIDEWALKS IMPROVEMENTS	1.6 Activ	🕃 Reload Cache		80/2003	Sugan P Hann PV
1		0.771 Active			/30/2003	Donnie Narmore
		0.771 Activ	😂 Erint		17/2003	Bill Gladding
	DR LINDEMANS OFFICE	0.20 Activ	Reports		/11/2004	Lindomon John 8
	ASTATULA ODRO DEOLECT	0.23 Activ	itgporta		12/2003	Taum of Astetule
		5 Active	E <u>x</u> port		D/1993	City of Oviedo
<			Appearance			>
Rec	ord: II I Show: All Selected Records (0 out of 6994 Se	elected) Options	•			
					.	
lay Source	E Selection Catalog Favorites Index Search Resu	Its o D 2 II	•			
ving 🔻	A ♥ ∅ □ ▼ A ▼ △ ∅ Arial I0 ▼ B Z U ▲ ▼	<u>></u> -				
new field	to the table			331961	651 3369467	.667 Meters
atort	😡 Inbox - Microsof 📓 DRAFTReport.d 🚳 STR_RMP.mxd 🍃	BMP test		Search D	esktop 🔎 (() 🔂 🔜 🔎 11:4

Figure Step 3a. Open the attribute table of "ERP_Stormwater12312003", and click on Options at the bottom of the attribute table to add a new attribute or field named "radius", with the data type "float" (Precision =8 and Scale=2).

SJR_BMP.mxd - ArcMap - ArcInfo						_ - X
Eile Edit View Insert Selection Tools Window Help						
🗋 🕞 🖨 🎒 🕺 🖻 🛍 🗙 🗠 🗠 🔶 1:2.516.9	31 🔍 🍠 🔕 🗖 😽 Spatial Ana	vst 👻 Laver; dep to wat	▼ 2	8 h 🛛 🖗		II 🖬 🖪 📑 1002
	liter	Torracti				与才法监督局
▏▝▀▝┥▖▁▦▝▖▙᠅▖▋ᢕ▕▀᠅▕▕▖					101 - 1 - 1	to sull se do do l
Terrain Preprocessing Watershed Processing	Attribute Tools ▼ Network Tools ▼ ApUtilities ▼	🦈 🗣 🕺 📽 🚷 🕨 Hel	þ			
×						<u> </u>
E Stormwater 12312003	C S Arcioolox	.*				
•	Analysis Tools					
E ERP_BO	ter12312003					
Solis SSU Sul and Us. Silver Springe Shares Unit 41	Field Calculator			REC_DT	ISSUE_DT	EXPIRE_D
I and Co Silver Springs Shores Unit 41	Fields:	Type:	Functions:	5/9/1995	<null></null>	<null></null>
ERP Sto HALL CONSTRUCTION	40050	±	(Abc ()	1/15/1999	<null></null>	<null></null>
MARION CORRECTIONAL INSTITU	AURES	Number	Abs ()	8/3/1992	8/17/1992	8/17/1997
ERP Bol Wingspread, Phases II & III	REC DT	C String	Cos()	1/30/2003	11/11/2003	11/11/2008
Wingspread, Phases II & III	ISSUE_DT	- County	Exp()	1/30/2003	11/11/2003	11/11/2008
dep to District II Regional Park Phase II (EXPIRE_DT	C Date	FIX()	8/5/2003	5/27/2004	5/27/2009
Value Polm Bay South Pogianal Water T	APPLICANT_		Log()	8/18/2003	8/19/20/1999	8/19/2008
High BROADWATER SUBDIVISION	MAIL_ADDRE		Sin()	5/1/1996	9/30/1996	9/30/2001
BROADWATER SUBDIVISION	STATE		Sqr() 💌	5/1/1996	9/30/1996	9/30/2001
Low : NORTHWEST QUADRANT REGIO	ZIP			7/22/1994	1/24/1995	<null></null>
LAKEVILLE ROAD IMPROVEMEN	RECID		* / &	11/20/1987	12/29/1987	12/29/1992
RETIREMENT CENTER FOR DIOC	APP_NUM	*		4/12/1999	<null></null>	<null></null>
CRYSTAL SQUARE SHOPPING C			+ - =	4/24/1995	9/7/1995	9/7/2000
FLAGLER ESTATES RUAD PAVID	radius =	Advanced		5/25/1999	6/10/1999	6/10/2004
FLAGLER ESTATES ROAD PAVI	Sqr (4046.86 * [ACRES] /3.14)	~	Load	5/25/1999 5/25/1999	6/10/1999	6/10/2004
WILSON AVENUE				5/11/1997	7/14/1997	7/14/2002
Timber Oaks			Save	11/6/2003	6/24/2004	6/24/2009
The Scotts Company - Warehouse			Help	11/6/2003	2/3/2004	2/3/2009
Isles of Baytree (Transfer)	1		Tielp	9/16/1999	1/11/2000	1/11/2005
BOSTWICK, FL TOWER SITE				4/7/1997	7/9/1997	7/9/2002
Sabal Point Tracts N & S (Transfer				9/16/1991	7/12/1994	7/12/1999
Willa Springs Commercial Developi	1			p/19/1988 z/oc/4005	8/22/2007	8/22/2009
Brevard County Dirt Road Paving P		×		5/18/1995	6/11/1995	6/11/2004
<	Calculate selected records only		OK			>
Record: H 4 0 >			Cancel			
					10.36 B	
				👛 İ	-	
						-
Display Source Selection Catalog	Favorites Index Sea 4 🕨 🗿 🗅 🤤	II ()
Drawing 👻 💺 🖓 🥥 🗖 🗖 🖌 🛧 🖾 🚺 Arial	▼ II ▼ B I U A ▼	<u>.#</u> + <u>.</u> +				
				427324.171	3026908.446	Meters
🛃 start 🛛 😥 4 Mic 🔻 🦉 untitle 👔	🕽 3 Wi 🔻 🕟 2 Mic 🔻 💕 SlickEdi 🦉	SJR_B 🛛 🖉 2 Int 🔻	😭 ArcGIS	Search Deskto	op 👂 🔦	P 🚽 12:52 PM

Figure Step 3b. Right click on "radius" column head, select "Field Calculator" and calculate the value of "radius" as "Sqr (4046.86 * [ACRES] /3.14)". (Make sure that either none or all the records are selected in the table, otherwise the process will only be conducted for selected records).

SJR_BMP.mxd - ArcMap - Arc	info 🔤 🗖	
Eile Edit View Insert Selection Took	s <u>Wi</u> ndow <u>H</u> elp	
🗅 🚅 🖬 🎒 🕺 🖻 🏙 X 🗠 .	ar 💠 1:2.516.931 🔍 🛒 🔊 🕲 🗖 🐶 Spatial Analyst 🗸 🛛 Layer: dep_to_wat 🔍 🎉 📐 🕼 🕼 🕼 🕼 🗊 ն 🖬 🖓	i 100%
🥶 🍋 🖬 🔏 🎟 🖋 🍰 🤻 🗉 🔆	😧 🍓 👔 🛛 Editor 👻 🕨 🖉 🕆 Task: Create New Feature 🔄 Target: 📃 🗡 🖓 🕮 🖂 🖉 河田 🖓 村子 大 察 昭	· 12*
Terrain Preprocessing 👻 Watershee	l Processing 👻 Attribute Tools 👻 Network Tools 👻 ApUtilities 👻 🌾 🕵 😪 😪 😫 🐌 🕨 Help	
E E ERP Stormwater 12312003		
•	🎢 Buffer 📃 🗖 🔀	
□ ERP_Boundaries12312003	B Help	
🗉 🔄 Soils SSURGO 24K Hydrgrp		
🗉 🔛 Land Use 1990	JERP_Stormwater12312003	
Land Cover 2004 EPP Stormwater	Output Feature Class	
Exp stornwater	G:\Wy Projects\SJR Basins BMP Mapping\BMP_test\ERP_Stormwater123120(
ERP Boundaries	Distance (value or field) will be performed to remove	
	C Linear unit	
⊡ dep_to_wat Value	Meters NONE—Individual	
High : 79.8681	Field buffer for each feature	
	radius is maintained,	
Low : 0	Side Type (optional) regardless of overlap,	
	End Type (optional) ALL—Dissolves all the	
	ROUND buffers together into a	
	Dissolve Type (optional) Single reactive and service a	
	NONE	
	Dissolve Field(s) (optional) LIST—Dissolves by a	
	given list of fields.	
	□ PROJ_NM	
	OK Cancel Environments K Hide Help	•
Display Source Selection Catalog		
Drawing 👻 🖒 🖓 🗐 🗖 🍷 🗛		
Refresh the active view	293070.79 3362541.897 Meters	
🏄 start 🛛 🔟 4 Mic 🔻 🕻	🎽 untitle 🗧 🗃 3 Wi 🔻 😡 2 Mic 🔻 🚳 SlickEdi 🔍 2 Ar 👻 🌮 2 Int 👻 😭 ArcGIS 🛛 Search Desktop 🔎 📀 🖓 🥬 12:5	9 PM

Figure Step 3c. Use Buffer from *ArcToolBox* >*Analysis Tools* >*Proximity* >*Buffer* with "ERP_Stormwater12312003" as input features, "ERP_Stormwater_Buffer.shp" as Output Feature Class and "radius" as Distance field to create a buffer at each point in the "ERP_Stormwater12312003".



Figure Step 3d. A closer look at the new "polygon" theme, "ERP_Stormwater_Buffer", created at Step 3c. Layer "ERP_Stormwater12312003" can be removed from the project now. It is no longer used.

Step 4. Union layer "ERP_Boundaries12312003" with layer "ERP_Stormwater_buffer". This will create a new theme that is the base map for BMPs covered areas based on regulatory data.

SJR_BMP.mxd - ArcMap - Arcinfo		_ ∂ X							
Eile Edit View Insert Selection Tools Window (telp								
🗋 🗅 🚅 🖬 🎒 🕺 🖻 🛍 X 🗠 🗠 🔶 [1:1	8.233 🔍 🛃 🧔 🖬 🕅 😵 Spatial Analyst 👻 Layer: dep_to_wat	💽 🐘 📐 🖉 👰 🚳 🗄 🖬 🛱 🕞 🏧							
🧧 🗛 🖬 🔏 🎆 🖋 🍰 🍀 🗉 🕣 🚇 💩 i	😫 🍋 🗉 🖉 🎟 🏈 🏚 🚱 😧 😧 😟 🍓 👔 🖪 Editor 🕶 ► 🖉 🗧 Task: Create New Feature 🕑 Target: 💽 🗡 이 💷 🛛 🖓 귀구구 / ※ 문 문								
Terrain Preprocessing - Watershed Processing	👻 Attribute Tools 👻 Network Tools 👻 ApUtilities 👻 🌾 🔍 躍 🎲 🖡 Help								
3		•							
□ =	Union								
🗉 🗖 LC04	Input Features	C Help							
		Output Feature Class							
ERP_Stormwater_Buffer ERP_Boundaries12312003	Features Ranks	The feature class that will contain the results.							
B □ Sols SSURGO 24K Hydrgrp B □ Land Use 1990 B □ Land Cover 2004 □ LeRP Stormwater									
ERP Boundaries	Output Feature Class								
⊡ dep_to_wat Value	SJR Basins BMP Mapping\BMP_test\ERP_Stormwater_bounda ^t ies_Union.shp								
High : 79.8681	ALL								
Low : 0	XY Tolerance (optional) Meters								
	Gaps Allowed								
	OK Cancel Environments < Hide Help	1							
Display Source Selection Catalog	Favorites Index Sea () O D 2 II								
🛛 Drawing 👻 📐 🔍 🥮 🔲 👻 🗚 🗮 🙋 A									
Remove layer from data frame		389619.952 3231713.79 Meters							
🛃 start 🛛 🖾 4 Microsof 🔻 🦉 unt	bled - Paint 🛛 🛅 4 Window 🔻 😡 2 Microsoft 🔻 🕵 SlickEdit - [1: 🛛 🧟 2 Arci	Map 👻 Search Desktop 🔎 🔇 🚱 💭 🛃 3:51 PM 👘							

Figure Step 4a. Union "ERP_Boundaries12312003" with "ERP_Stormwater_buffer" (use *ArcToolBox > Analysis Tools > Overlay > Union*), creating a new layer named "ERP_Stormwater_Boundaries_Union".

SJR_BMP.mxd - ArcMap - ArcInfo		_ - X
Elle Edit View Insert Selection Tools Window Help		
🗅 🖆 🖬 🎒 🖄 ங 🎕 🗶 💀 🕾 🚸 🔢 🚺 1:1.705 💿 📝 🦧 🏟 🖸 👯 Spatial Analyst 👻 Layer.		e 🕞 🕞 🔟
😫 🗛 🖬 🔏 🎽 🗰 🕢 🚇 🍐 🛔 🛛 Editor 👻 🕨 🖋 🔺 Task: Create New Feature 🔄 Target: 🤇	VOED ZIH+-	相参望导
Terrain Preprocessing 🔻 Watershed Processing 👻 Attribute Tools 👻 Network Tools 👻 ApUtilities 💌 🌾 👟 🕿 😪 😫 🐌 🕨 Help		
Image: Second secon		×
ERP_Stormwater_Bour Dissolve		
B 🗹 ERP_Stormwater_Bour	A 🕄 Help	
Input Features ERP_Stormwater_Buffe ERP_Stormwater_Boundaries_Union	Output Feature Class	
Cutput Feature Class G:\My Projects\SIR Basins BMP Mapping\BMP_test\ERP_Stormwater_Boundaries_disov.shp B	The feature class to be created.	
Dissolve_Field(s) (optional) FID_ERP_St OFFCL_PRMT Acres FID_ERP_Bo RULE_TYPE CNTY_FIP OFFCL_PR_1 Select All Unselect All Add Field Statistics Field(s) (optional) Field Statistic Type		
Display Source Selection Cat		-
OK Cancel Environments << Hide Help	0	
	459315.876. 3165887.577 Mete	rs
🕺 start 🖉 Johov - Micr 🕅 DR AETDenor 🏷 RMD test 🚔 My Docume 🔊 STR. RMP m 🔿 Disco	by Search Desktop P (🔎 1:57 PM

Figure Step 4b. Dissolve (*ArcToolbox >Data Management Tools > Generalization >Dissolve*) the new layer from Step 4a to remove any overlapping features, and then output the "dissolved" result to a new layer named "ERP_Stormwater_Boundaries_disov".

76

Step 5. In Step 4, a base map layer of BMPs covered areas based on regulatory data was created ("ERP_Stormwater_Boundaries_disov.shp"). In Step 5, an additional map of BMPs covered areas will be created from "urban or industrial/commercial land use changes" from 1990 to 2004 by comparing 1990 land cover/land use (LC/LU) with 2004 LC/LU data. The "urban or industrial & commercial land use changes" are defined here as any land cover changes from rural to urban or industrial & commercial, or from low density residential to higher density (refer to Appendix II for details). Since stormwater programs were adopted by Florida in early 1980s, it is a reasonable assumption that any land use changes from rural to urban/industrial & commercial or from low density residential to higher density will be treated with some type of post-development BMPs.

Theoretically, all this urban development should be reflected in regulatory permit datasets, and hence should be already included in the map produced in Step 4. Although the SJRWMD's Permit Data Service has tried very hard to digitize all the permits or permit applications, due to the large number of permit applications, some older permits still have not been digitized. The undigitized permits are not included in the two GIS layers, "ERP Stormwater" or "ERP Boundaries". Therefore, the purpose of Step 5 is to create a new map of BMPs covered areas based on LC/LU changes to supplement the base BMPs layer from Step 4. Typically, the land use change area is only a small fraction of the permitted area.

To facilitate the comparison of land use changes between 1990 and 2004, all the different land uses are to be grouped or classed into 13 types of land uses, i.e., Low Density Residential, Medium Density Residential, High Density Residential, Industrial and Commercial, Mining, Open Land and Barren Land, Animal Production, Agricultural General, Agricultural Tree Crop, Rangeland, Forest, Water, and Wetlands based on their assigned FLUCs Codes. Two DBF files, LU_MODEL_HSPF04.dbf and LU_MODEL_HSPF90.dbf, are used to summarize 2004 LC/LU and 1990 LC/LU, respectively. Both are located in network folder

X:\Eng\MultiBasin\gis\BMP_Mapping\DBF. Both files are very similar. Both have two columns, one is detailed land use codes, named "LUCODE", and the other is summarized land use types, which is named "HSPFLU90" or "HSPFLU04". Their values vary from 1 (Low Density Residential) to 13 (Wetland) (see Appendix II for details).



Figure Step 5a. Right click "LC04" and select Joins and Relates >Join.



Figure Step 5b. Join "LCO4" with table "LU_MODEL_HSPF04". Choose "LUCODE" as the field that the join will be based on (1); choose "LU_MODEL_HSPF04" as the table to join this layer (2); and choose "LUCODE" as the field in the table to base the join on (3)

SJR_BMP.mxd - ArcMap - ArcInfo					
Elle Edit View Insert Selection Tools Wi	ndow <u>H</u> elp				
🗅 🚅 🖬 🚑 🕺 🖻 🛍 🗙 🗠 🖂	🔸 1:2,535,688 🔍 🛃 📣 🚳 🗖	😽 Spatial Analyst 👻 Lay	er: dep_to_wat	- 🦛 📐 🔍 🔍	10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
👜 \land 🖬 🖉 🏄 😽 🗉 🛈 🚇	i Editor ▼ ► I Task: Creation	ate New Feature 🔄 Targel			[] 귀 귀 귀 가 귀 하 다 다 다 다 다 다 다 다 다 다 다 다 다 다 다 다 다 다
Terrain Preprocessing 👻 Watershed Proc	cessing 👻 Attribute Tools 👻 Network Tool	s 🕶 ApUtilities 💌 🎋 🗣 🕱	😪 🦃 🖻 Help		
-	× C O X				^
🖻 🕖 Layers					
	Attributes of LC04				
-	340 299969	114	LO_MODEL_H3PF04.LOCOL	6250	
E I STRWMD Urban BMP Mod04	5163.954891	92		4430	
	197.208532 0	98		5300	
🗉 🗆 Soils SSURGO 24K Hydrapp 🗕	218.141122 0	98		5300	
A - High infiltration rate	774.185596 0	45		1860	
A/D - High or very low infiltr.	413.373232	98		5300	
B - Moderate infiltration rate	439.840078	121		1550	
B/D - Moderate or very low	Field Calculator			4430	
C - Low infiltration rate		T	E	4430	
C/D - Low to very low infiltra	Fields:	Type:	Functions:	1300	
D - Very low infiltration rate	LC04.FID	🗍 🖲 Nu	mber Abs()	4410	
Urban _		C	Cos()	6300	
Water —		C Str	Exp()	3100	
🔲 Info Unavailable 🛛 🗕	LC04.SHAPE AREA	C Da	te Fix()	0270	
🗉 🗆 Soils SSURGO 24K Hydrgrp 📒	LC04.SHAPE_LEN		Int()	6460	
A - High infiltration rate	LC04.HSPFLU04		Sin()	3100	
A/D - High or very low infiltr.	LU_MODEL_HSPF04.0ID		Sqr()	1100	
B - Moderate infiltration rate				4110	
B/D - Moderate or very low	EO_MODEL_HSPT 64.HSPT E004			4110	
C - Low infiltration rate				6460	
C/D - Low to very low infiltra			+ - =	4410	
D - Very low infiltration rate	LC04.HSPFLU04 =	Advance Advance	ed	5300	
Urban –	ILU MODEL HSPE04 HSPELU041			6200	
- Water -			Load	4430	
🔲 Info Unavailable 🛛 🤇			Save	>	
□ SJRWMD_Urban_BMP_LC_HS	2			Ontions +	
			Help	opuono -	
	ICDELLIOA?			Red Street	
Assign the value of f	HSPFLU04				
from table "LU MOD	DEL HSPF04"		×		
to the new attribute			OK	2	- 1
to the new attribute	Joide only		Cancel		
Drawing 🗸 🗼 🖓 🖓 🗖 🖌 🗛 🗸 🖄					<u>_</u>
				253883,746_3	338663.634 Meters
🛃 start 🛛 🐼 I 📢 S	🗎 4 🔻 📆 2 🔻 💽 3 👻 💽 3 👻 🕇	È Fl 🦉 H 🚺 4 👻 🖥	∕⊖н 🔯 2 🔻 🖾 в	Search Desktop	🔎 🔿 🔎 🔂 🛃 3:48 PM

Figure Step 5c. After joining, create a new attribute, named "HSPFLU04" (data type = "short integer" and precision = "4") in the attribute table of "LC04" which was just joined with "HSPFLU04" and assign the value of "HSPFLU04" from joined table "LU_MODEL_HSPF04" to it. Similarly, join layer "LC90" with table

"LU_MODEL_HSPF90", create a new attribute "HSPFLU90" in its attribute table and assign to it the value of column "HSPFLU90" from the joined table

"LU_MODEL_HSPF90". After that, remove all the joins from both layers by right clicking on the layers and select *Joins and Relates* >*Remove Join(s)* >*Remove All Joins*.

SJR_BMP.mxd - ArcMap - ArcInfo		
Ele Edit View Insert Selection Tools Window H	łelp	
🗋 🗅 🎯 🖬 🚭 👗 🖻 🛍 🗙 🗠 🗠 🔶 🔢	.971 💽 🛃 \land 🎕 🗖 😽 Spatial Analyst 👻 Layer: dep_to_wat	💽 💽 🛌 🗽 🖳 🖾 🖾 🖬 🖕 🛄
😑 🗛 🖬 💪 🎟 🖋 🏂 🍀 🗉 🕣 🚇 🎄 i	Editor - 🕨 🖉 - Task: Create New Feature 🔄 Target:	「「小小小」」(「「」」(「」)(「」)(「」)(「」)(「」)(」)(」)(」)(」)(」)(」)(」)(」)(」)(」)(」)(」)(」
Terrain Preprocessing 👻 Watershed Processing	💌 Attribute Tools 💌 Network Tools 💌 ApUtilities 💌 🎋 💁 🏞 Help	
	× 5	
ERP_Stormwater12312003	^{&} Union	
e E I ERP. Stormwater, boundaries, Disov.	Terry & Contract	C 🕄 Help
		Union
ERP_Stormwater_boundaries_Union	Factures Parks	Computer a comptia
□ LC04		intersection of the Input
	~LC90 ×	Features. All features will be
	+	Class with the attributes from
ERP_Stormwater_Buffer		the Input Features, which it
ERP_Boundaries12312003		ovenaps.
	< > > >	INPUT
⊞ □ Land Use 1990	Culture Factore Class	
Land Cover 2004 ERP Stormwater	G:\Wy Projects\SJR Basins BMP Mapping\BMP_test\LC04_LC90_Union.shp	
e		
ERP Boundaries	ALL	
🗉 🗆 dep_to_wat	XY Tolerance (optional)	
Value	Meters	•
Hgit. 79.0001	Canc Allowed	OUTPUT
Low : 0	1. ddbs Allowed	
		<u> </u>
	OK Cancel Environments	
Display Source Selection Catalog	Favorites Index Sea () a D 2 II	v
Drawing ▼		
	_, _	465646.321 3161817.916 Meters
🛃 start 🛛 🚾 4 Mic 👻 🦉 untitle	🛅 3 Wi 🔻 😡 2 Mic 🔻 🕵 SlickEdi 🧟 2 Ar 👻 🐼 Micros 🚺	Untitle Search Desktop 🔎 📀 💬 🥩 2:18 PM

Figure Step 5d. Union (*ArcToolbox >Analysis Tools >Overlay >Union*) "LC04" with "LC90" to create a new layer "LC04_LC90_Union".

🔍 S JR	_BMP	.mxd - ArcM <u>ap</u> -	Arcinfo										
Eile Ed	it ⊻ie	w Insert Selection]	Eools <u>W</u> indow	Help									
			 • • • •	E09 E29		Ē 🔊 🚳 🗖	T N2 Control are	aluct - Lever	dan ta unt		1 //2 🛌 🗌		
			• • • • • •	,500,520	• N		⊐ दः Spauar <u>A</u> r	alyst • Layer	. Jaep_to_wat		9/2		
😐 🗠	2	3 🎟 🖋 🌛 💏 🗉	i 🛈 🚇 🍐 i	Edito <u>r</u> 🔻		Task: Cr	eate New Feature	Target:	J	T	$ \times \odot =$		탄가[종토토
Terrain	n Prepr	ocessing 👻 Waters	shed Processing	 Attribute 	e Tools 🔻	Network To	ols 🔻 ApUtilities	• *5 • * 2	🖁 🐌 🕨 Help				
				15 0	x l								-
		Attributes of LC	04 LC90 Uni	on									
		FID Shane *			LCCODE				HSPELII04				
			25	423	6300	6300	30614,430103	1117.102952	13	-1			
		1 Polygon	106	1796	6460	6460	48339.01522	1408.452545	13	-1		0	
		2 Polygon	144	2433	4130	1920	122305.808791	4025.975713	6	-1		0	
		3 Polygon	145	2434	6430	6430	8527.723442	421.372797	13	-1		0	
		4 Polygon	155	3205	4340	4340	18506.209103	601.783499	11	-1		0	
		5 Polygon	162	3510	4340	4340	41129.429376	1027.698482	11	-1			
				3522	4410	4410	619149.503036	11627.036932	11	-1		0	
attribute	s a	tter "FID I	LC04″	2686	5200	5200	1081079.80323	5512.806542					
1 6 49	DID		C	4934	7410	7410	6466.276374	317.806744		The att	ributes	s after "FII) LC90"
before "I	FIL) LC90° ai	re from	5130	3200	3200	303148.509175	3181.114474		The act			
·	. • .	- 1 6T /	0042	3819	6210	6210	119290.481446	3087.604373		are from	m the s	second uni	oning lave
irst unio	nin	g layer "L	C04"	5843	1300	1300	279033.721996	4053.043903		UT COO	••		0.0
		<u> </u>		6504	6210	6210	218114.31358	6469.449539		"LC90	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
		13 Polygon	455	6527	5300	5300	16259.351997	550.659691					
		14 Polygon	468	6910	1300	1300	14130.229527	522.856936	3	-1		0	
		15 Polygon	471	6571	1400	1400	32159.716842	1049.026555	4	-1		0	
		16 Polygon	473	8308	2120	2120	54181.280799	1305.117181	7	-1		0	
		17 Polygon	474	8313	6300	6300	31066.813521	1420.322439	13	-1		0	
		18 Polygon	516	8011	2210	2210	273362.082176	3713.913517	9	-1		0	
		19 Polygon	558	9645	6430	6430	4097.931662	264.427391	13	-1	1	0	
	-1-	20 Polygon	579	8739	6410	6410	145382.359277	3221.888198	13	-1		0	
	·	21 Polygon	615	9447	6440	6440	60548.015849	2625.806706	13	-1		0	
	. 1-	22 Polygon	631	10905	6210	6210	5775.337514	708.696839	13	-1		0	
	╵╢─	23 Polygon	560	10681	1/00	1/00	15779.743417	614./31881	4	-1		0	
		24 Polygon	796	11446	5100	1900	13475.431251	478.733808	6	-1		0	
		25 Polygon 26 Polygon	003	11444	6430	44JU 6410	12060.499532	20240.467406	11	-1		o 🗸 🗸	
	<	28 Plicenter	1001		114111	P4111	1246(110522)	407 4900 11				>	
		Record: H	0 + 11	Show:	All Selecte	d Reco	ords (0 out of 130189	94 Selected)	Options 🖌				
		_storniwater_burier								l E	il sel		
] ERF	_Boundaries123120	03							1	\ (_ A • A)		
										- 9			
] Soik	s SSURGO 24K Hydro	rp							(t _a			
		d 166 1000	×							20-	1		
<			>										
Display	y Sou	urce Selection Cate	alog		0 0	II (•
Drawin	ng 🕶	k 🖓 🥮 🗖 🕶	A 🕶 🖾 🙋 A	vial	- 1	↓ B	<u>I U A - ð</u>	• <u></u> • <u>•</u> •					
											485009	9.686 3398592.241	Meters
				A	200 a	-90			N 2011	- Cho		0.00	

Figure Step 5e. In the attribute table of union output layer "LC04_LC90_Union", there are two attributes starting with "FID_" and followed by unioning layer names (if their names are too long, they will be truncated to the first six letters), i.e., "FID_LC04" and "FID_LC90". This is very import concept as in later steps we need to use this information to identify the sources of the attributes. Clicking Selection >Select by Attributes to select features from this new layer such that both values of "HSPFLU90" and "HSPFLU04" are greater than zero. Export the selected features to a new layer, named "LandCover 1990 2004 Change final".

SJR_BMP.mxd - ArcMap - ArcInfo	🗖 🗖 🖊
Elle Edit View Insert Selection Tools Window Help	
🗅 😂 🖬 🎒 🔏 🖻 🏨 😤 📩 🗠 🛃 🕂 1/2 600 340	🗸 🕑 🔊 🗖 🕅 Shatial Analyst 🗸 Laver den in wat
Terrain Preprocessing Watersned Processing Autobu	ILE TOOIS * INELWORK TOOIS * Appullues * > * A To to to the map
A Laware	
ERP_Stormwater12312003	n in a second se
•	් 🖻 🚳 Analysis Tools
□ Sols_SS I Attributes of LandCover_1990_2	2004_Change_Final
LandCov FID Shape* LUCODE LCCO	DE LUCODE 1 HSPELU90 LUCODE 12 HSPELU04 LC CH CODE Acres
11 Polygon 3200 1	
□ LC04_L0 12 Polygon 3200 1	Field Calculator
27 Polygon 3200 1	Fields: Type: Functions:
D EDD Str 174 Polygon 3100 1	LUCODE (Number An ()
205 Polygon 3300 1	LCCODE C String Cos ()
B □ LC04 971 Polygon 3300 1	HSPELING C Date Fix()
1591 Polygon 3200	
□ LC90 1662 Polygon 3200 1	HSPFLU04 Log()
1663 Polygon 3200 1	LC_CH_CODE Sqr()
	Autes
1884 Polygon 3200	
EL ERP_BOI 1898 Polygon 3200 1	
I Sole SSI 1902 Polygon 3200 1	
H □ Land Us 1908 Polygon 3200 1	The Logic of an School P
■ Land Co 1912 Polygon 3300	Dim lu da as String Load
□ ERP Sto 1927 Polygon 3200 1	Dim lu_changes as String
 1928 Polygon 3200 	
ERP Bol 1949 Polygon 3200 1	if (HSPFLU90) = [HSPFLU04] then Help
1950 Polygon 3200	
E _ dep_to 1987 Polygon 3200 1	
High 1988 Polygon 3200 1	
1991 Polygon 3200 1	
Low : Record: H 0 > H	Calculate selected records only
	Cancel : * (§)
Display Source Selection Catalog	Favorites Index Sec () 0 0 0 0 + (
Drawing 👻 💺 🔿 🕮 🗖 👻 🗛 👻 🙋 Arial	▼ 10 ▼ B <i>I</i> <u>U</u> <u>A</u> ▼ <u>A</u> ▼ <u>•</u> ▼
	438842.377_3177650.883 Meters
Under the start I they were the start	🕞 My References 📕 2 Adobe R 👻 🖉 define: bunk 💿 STR. BMR m Stormwater 🔗 🖉 🚱 🔍 10:35 AM

Figure Step 5f. Create a new attribute (data type= "text" and length = "10"), entitled "LC_CH_CODE" in the attribute table of "LandCover_1990_2004_Change_final". Right click on the "LC_CH_CODE" column head and select "Field Calculator". Check "Advanced Code" and put the following VBA code in "Pre-Logic VBA Code" window and write "lu_changes" in the bottom window:

```
Dim lu_90 as String
Dim lu_04 as String
Dim lu_changes as String
lu_90 = [HSPFLU90]
lu_04 = [HSPFLU04]
if [HSPFLU90] = [HSPFLU04] then
lu_changes = "no changes"
else
lu_changes = lu_90 + "to" +lu_04
endif
```



Figure Step 5g. In this step, we need to select all the features that had been subjected to urban land changes from 1990 to 2004. Since swale is estimated from only regulatory data source, we need not to select the road features that were constructed during 1990 to 2004. Click *Selection* >*Select by Attributes* from layer

"LandCover_1990_2004_Change_final" and type the following criteria into the bottom window:

```
"LCCODE" <>8140 AND ("LC_CH_CODE" = '1to2' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '1to3' OR "LC_CH_CODE"
= '1to4' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '2to3' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '2to4' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '3to4' OR
"LC_CH_CODE" = '5to1' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '5to2' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '5to3' OR
"LC_CH_CODE" = '5to4' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '6to1' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '6to2' OR
"LC_CH_CODE" = '6to3' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '6to4' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '7to1' OR
"LC_CH_CODE" = '7to2' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '7to3' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '7to4' OR
"LC_CH_CODE" = '8to1' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '8to2' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '7to4' OR
"LC_CH_CODE" = '8to4' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '8to2' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '8to3' OR
"LC_CH_CODE" = '8to4' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '9to1' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '9to2' OR
"LC_CH_CODE" = '9to3' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '9to4' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '10to1' OR
"LC_CH_CODE" = '10to2' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '11to3' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '10to4' OR
"LC_CH_CODE" = '11to1' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '11to2' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '11to3' OR
"LC_CH_CODE" = '11to4' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '13to1' OR "LC_CH_CODE" = '13to2' OR
```

This should select all the features that had been subjected to urban land use changes from 1990 to 2004 except the road features ("LCCODE" <>8140).

🔍 SJR_BMP. mxd - ArcMap - Ar	cinfo							
File Edit View Insert Selection Tool	ils <u>Wi</u> ndow <u>H</u> elp							
	······································	🔍 🚳 🖂 🗎	? Spatial An	alvst 👻 🗌 Lar	ver den to wat			3 6 2 8 100
j 🛄 🗝 🖬 🕹 🛲 🎯 🥭 🗞 🗉 🤆		ask: Create	New Feature	Targe	et: J			. <l d.="" st.="" td="" ="" <=""></l>
Terrain Preprocessing 👻 Watershe	ed Processing 👻 Attribute Tools 👻 Net	work Tools 🤻	ApUtilities 🔻	• 🐇 🔍 🕺	🔁 🕲 🖻 Hel	p		
	×⊾ ⊛ ×		×					
		Ibox Analyst Tools lysis Tools Extract Dverlav			je S			
Soils_SSURGO_24K_HydrGr □ □ LandCover_1990_2004_CH		 Erase Identity Intersect 						
	Y	990 2004	Change Fir	al				
E LI LC04_LC90_Unior X Rem	iove	1770_2004	_cnenge_i ii					
🗉 🗆 ERP Stormwater 🛄 Oper	n Attribute <u>T</u> able	LCCODE 1200	LUCODE_1	HSPFLU90	LUCODE_12	HSPFLU04 LC_CH_CODE	Acres ^	
loins	s and Relates	1100	6460	13	1100	1 13to1	0.056369	
E ERP_Stormwater_		1700	1100	1	1700	4 1to4	2.769677	
	m To Layer	1390	1100	1	1390	3 1to3	0.010726	
🗉 🗆 LCO4 🏾 🏤 Zoor	m To <u>M</u> ake Visible	1390	4340	11	1390	3 11to3	21.151771	
Visibl	le Scale Rance	1300	3100	10	1300	3 10to3	0.047399	
	ie Seale realige	1100	6410	13	1100	1 13to1	0.650634	
Us <u>e</u> S	Symbol Levels	1100	6410	13	1100	1 13to1	0.090263	
ERP_Stormwater_	ction	1300	6430	13	1300	3 13to3	0.218847	
2000		1520	6410	13	1520	4 13to4	0.570358	
	el Features	1100	3200	10	1100	1 10to1	0.364436	
		1100	3200	10	1100	1 10to1	0.013034	
E LI Soils SSURGO 24K Com	vert Labels to Annotation	1200	1100	1	1200	2 1to2	1.188068	
E Land Use 1990 Z Com	vert Eeatures to Graphics	1100	4340	10	1100	1 13101	8.644038	
⊞ Land Cover 2004	wart Symbology to Depresentation	1390	3300	10	1390	3 10to3	1 436548	
E ERP Stormwater	vert sympology to Representation	1300	7400	6	1300	3 6to3	14.389184	
Data	a 🕨 🕨	<u>R</u> epair D		5	1300	3 6to3	0.006929	
E LI ERP Boundaries	e As Lavor Eile	Export (Data		1100	1 13to1	0.448003	
ave	e As Lager File	Expores		P	1200	2 13to2	0.008063	
Value Prop	pertijes				1300	3 9103 4 9to4	18 057977	
High : 79.8681	23 Polygon 2240	View Met	tadata	5	1390	3 9to3	50.574265	
	24 Polygon 2210				1200	2 9to2	0.00374	
Low : 0	25 Polygon 2210	Keview/		sses	1100	1 9to1	0.073478	
	26 Polygon 1100	1490	1100	1	1490	4 1to4	2.107275	
	27 Polygon 3200	1100	3200	10	1100	1 10to1	1.443636	-
Display Source Selection Catalog	Record: H 1 >	She	w: All Selec	ted Reco	rds (104131 out)	of 104131 Options -		•
Drawing ▼								
Save this layer's data as a shapefile or g	geodatabase feature class					329861.89	2 3341672.017 M	leters
🛃 start 🛛 😡 Inbox - M	📓 BMPs_Re 🛅 3 Wind 🔻	<mark>৯</mark> 2 Adob	🔹 💋 define	e: h 🔍	SJR_BMP	🚺 2 Note 👻 stormwater	2 🐼	🔎 😼 - 11:37 AM -

Figure Step 5h. Right click on layer "LandCover_1990_2004_Change_final", *Data* >*Export Data* the selected records from it to a new layer named

"LC_change_BMPs_area". This should include all the BMPs covered areas due to urban development. This layer is used later to complement the ERP-based BMPs-covered areas.

Step 6. In this step, layer "ERP_Stormwater_Boundaries_disov" from Step 4b will be first limited to urban or industrial & commercial areas. This limitation is necessary because some of the properties in the permits applications data sets have not been developed yet and therefore no BMPs have been installed on those properties. Later it will be unioned with layer "LC_change_BMPs_area" from Step 5h to create a new layer, which represents the total BMPs-covered area.



Figure Step 6a. Select all the urban or mining features from layer "LC04" by clicking *Selection >Select by Attributes* and typing ""HSPFLU04" =1 or "HSPFLU04" =2 or "HSPFLU04" =3 or "HSPFLU04" =4 or "HSPFLU04" =5" into the bottom window. Export all the selected features to a new layer entitled "urban mining areas".

SJR_BMP.mxd - ArcMap - ArcInfo		_ 2 ×							
Eile Edit Yiew Insert Selection Tools Window	Help								
🗅 📽 🖬 🎒 🖇 🖻 🛍 X 🗠 唑 💠 1	2,600,340 👻 🔬 🔕 🗖 👯 Spatial Analyst 👻 Layer: dep_to_wat	💽 🐘 📐 🔍 🖉 🗗 🖬 🛱 🖬 💷							
🥶 🗛 🗉 💪 🎟 💣 🍰 🍀 🗉 🕣 🚇 🍐	😫 🔌 🗉 🖉 🎬 🖉 🕘 😧 🍓 👔 🗄 Edtor 👻 🕨 🖉 🕆 Task: Create New Feature 🕑 Target: 📃 🗹 〇田 🖾 🖉 河田中市 奈豆豆								
Terrain Preprocessing 👻 Watershed Processin	g 👻 Attribute Tools 👻 Network Tools 👻 ApUtilities 👻 🌿 📭 😣 😫 🌘 🕨 Help								
	× E @ Y	<u> </u>							
E									
•		Help							
urban_mining_areas	Input Features	Output Feature Class							
□		Output reature class							
E Solis SSUBGO 24K HydrGro	Features Ranks	The feature class that will							
	<pre>PRP_Stormwater_boundaries_Disov</pre>	contain the results.							
□ LandCover_1990_2004_Change_Fin									
□ □ LC04_LC90_Union									
E ERP Stormwater boundaries Disov	▶ →								
ERP_Stormwater_boundaries_Union	×								
□ LC04	Output Feature Class								
	JR Basins BMP Mapping BMP_test (ERP_Stormwater_boundaries_urban.snp								
	JoinAttributes (optional)								
ERP_Stormwater_Buffer									
E ERP_Boundaries12312003	AT Folerance (optional) Meters								
⊞ □ Land Use 1990	J Gaps Allowed								
_ •									
ERP Boundaries									
□ dep_to_wat	< · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Value High : 79.8681									
* >	Cancel Environments KHide Help	v							
Display Source Selection Catalog	Favorites Index Sea 4 > 0 0 2 4	 ▶							
Drawing 🗸 🖡 🔿 🥮 🗖 🕇 A 🕇 🖾 🙋	Arial V 10 V B I U A V A V V V	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
Feature Class Update geoprocessing function		593506.601 3326260.635 Meters							
🛃 start 🛛 🐼 Inbox - M 🗐 BMPs	_Re 🔁 3 Wind 🔻 📕 2 Adob 👻 🖉 define: h 🛛 🌊 2 ArcMap 👻 🚺 2 Note	stormwater 🔎 🕢 🖓 🕺 12:08 PM							

Figure Step 6b. Union (*ArcToolbox->Analysis Tools >Overlay->Union*) layer "ERP_Stormwater_boundaries_Disov" with layer "urban_ming_areas" to create new

layer "ERP_Stormwater_boundaries_urban". Select all the features from layer "ERP_Stormwater_boundaries_urban" by clicking *Selection* >*Select by Attributes* and typing "("FID_ERP_St" >-1) AND ("HSPFLU04" >0)" into the bottom window. Export all the selected features to a new layer entitled

"ERP_Stormwater_Boundaries_Urban_BMPs". This new layer will include all the urban areas that had permits filed on them.

SJR_BMP.mxd - ArcMap - Arcinfo		
Ele Edit Yew Insert Selection Tools Window H	elp	
🗅 😅 🖬 🎒 🕺 🖻 🏙 🗙 🗠 唑 🔶 1149	806 🔍 🛒 🔊 🥘 🗖 😵 Spatial Analyst 👻 Layer: dep_to_wat	🔽 🐲 📐 🔍 🕸 🚱 🖾 🖾 🖬 📮 🛄
🥶 🗛 🗉 🗷 🎟 🖋 🍃 🤻 🗉 🛈 🕀 🍐 i	Editor - 🕨 🖉 - Task: Create New Feature 🔄 Target:	·····································
Terrain Preprocessing Watershed Processing		
	/* Union	
	Input Features	Output Feature Class
■ ERP_LCchg_BMPs_junk	Ensturen Panko •	
ERP_Stormwater_Boundaries_Urban_	CERP_Stormwater_Boundaries_Urban_BMPs CL_change_BMPs_area	contain the results.
ERP_Stormwater_boundaries_urban	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
□ urban_mining_areas	•	
LC_change_BMPs_area		62
Soils_SSURGO_24K_HydrGrp		A 200
□ LandCover_1990_2004_Change_Fina	Output Feature Class y Projects\SJR Basins BMP Mapping\BMP_test\ERP_LCchg_BMPs_junk.shp	F
	JoinAttributes (optional)	
ERP_Stormwater_boundaries_Disov	XY Tolerance (optional)	
ERP_Stormwater_boundaries_Union	Meters	
	Gaps Allowed	
B LC90		
ERP_Stormwater_Buffer		
ERP_Boundaries12312003	< >	a a
	OK Cencol Environmente /// Hide Haln	
ERP Stormwater	Aggregate Pc Collanse Dura	COL. HEAR
Display Source Selection Catalog	Favorites Index Sea () 0 0 0 1	
Drawing 🗸 🖡 🖓 🕮 🗆 🕇 🛦 🖌 🖾 🙆 Ar		
Feature Class Update geoprocessing function		447105.644 3344184.537 Meters
🛃 start 🛛 🐼 Inbox 🖾 BMPs_R.	. 🗀 3 Win 🔻 📙 2 Ado 👻 💋 define: h 🔯 2 Arc 👻 🚺 2 Not 👻	stormwater 🛛 🖉 🌏 🔀 🖉 2:54 PM

Figure Step 6c. Union (*ArcToolbox* >*Analysis Tools* >*Overlay* >*Union*) layer "ERP_Stormwater_Boundaries_Urban_BMPs" from Step 6b with layer "LC_change_BMPs_area" from Step 5h to create a new layer entitled "ERP_LCchg_BMPs_junk".

SJR_BMP.mxd - ArcMap - ArcInfo		
Elle Edit Yiew Insert Selection Tools Window H	Pip	
🗋 😂 🖬 🎒 🕺 🖻 🛍 🗙 🗠 😁 🔸	806 🔍 🛒 🔊 🚳 🗖 😽 Spatial Analyst 👻 Layer: dep_to_wat	V 👷 📐 🔍 🖉 🚳 🗄 🖬 📮 🖬 🗰
👜 🗛 🖬 💪 🎟 💣 🍰 🍀 🗉 🕣 🚇 🎄 i	Editor Fask: Create New Feature Target:	·····································
Terrain Preprocessing Watershed Processing		
	A Dissolve	
🖻 🗲 Layers	Toput Each more	🛛 Неір
□ ERP_Stormwater12312003	ERP LCcha BMPs junk	Output Feature Class
ERP_LCchg_BMPs_junk		J. T. T.
EPP Stormwater Roundaries Lithen	Output Feature Class	The feature class to be created
		A 1
ERP_Stormwater_boundaries_urban	Dissolve_Field(s) (optional)	6-
□ □ urban mining areas		
	FID_ERP_1	7 2
LC_change_BMPs_area		1 KE
🗉 🗆 Soils_SSURGO_24K_HydrGrp		a long
□ Li LandCover_1990_2004_Change_Fin:		
E LC04_LC90_Union	<pre>I ISHAFE AREA </pre>	
EPP Stormwater houndaries Discov	Select All Unselect All Add Field	
ERP_Stormwater_boundaries_Union	Statistics Field(s) (optional)	
	Field Statistic Type	
⊟ LC90	X	
ERP_Stormwater_Buffer		
EDD Box redevice 12212002		
E LI ERP_Boundaries12312003	* *	
B		
⊞ □ Land Use 1990 ■ □ Land Cover 2004	UK Cancel Environments K Hide Help	
ERP Stormwater	🗄 🧐 Spatial Statistics To	
		Second and -
Display Source Selection Catalog	Favorites Index Sea () D C 4	
Drawing 🗸 🖒 🖓 🗖 🕇 🗛 🖾 🖉 Ari		
Dissolve features within the feature class		449193.011 3344163.452 Meters
🛃 start 🛛 🕞 Inbox 🖾 BMPs_R	. 🛅 3 Win 🔻 💹 2 Ado 👻 🔏 define: h 🛛 🜊 2 Arc 👻 🚺 2 Not 👻	stormwater 🛛 🔎 🏹 🐼 🔎 🕺 😫 2:56 PM

Figure Step 6d. Dissolve (*ArcToolbox >Data Management Tools > Generalization-*>*Dissolve*) all the features in layer "ERP_LCchg_BMPs_junk" to a new layer "ERP_LCchg_BMPs" (do not check any fields in Dissolve_Field(s) window).

🕄 S JR_BMP. mxd - ArcM	ap - Arcinfo		💶 🗗 🗙
Eile Edit View Insert Select	tion <u>T</u> ools <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp		
🗅 🚅 🖬 🎒 🕺 🖻 🛍	🔀 🗠 🗠 🚸 [1:126,074 🛛 👻 🕵 🚳 🗖 😽 Spatial Analyst 👻 Layer: 🛛	iep_to_wat	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
🧧 🗛 🖬 🔏 🎹 💞 🍰 🕻	🐉 匪 🕢 🚇 🍓 👔 🛛 Editor 👻 📄 🕨 👻 Task: Create New Feature 🔄 Target:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Terrain Preprocessing 👻 V	Vatershed Processing 🔻 Attribute Tools 👻 Network Tools 👻 ApUtilities 👻 🌾 🗴 🥰	🐊 🖻 Help	
	3a 1 17		
🖻 🝠 Layers	Reclassify		
E M SJRWMD_Urban_	Input raster		
□ □ ERP_Stormwater_	X:\GWP\Library\Projects\Recharge2005\data\dep_to_wat	B	Reclassification
LandCoulor 1000	Reclass field		A roman table that defines
	Value	-	how the values will be
□ □ dist_dep_to_wat	Reclassification		reclassified.
□ □ LC04 matorroads	Old values New values		Old values — The
	0999 1 Classify		ranges of values of
E 🗹 Land Cover 2004	1 - 1.999 2 2 - 2 999 3 Unique		cells in the input
⊞ Land Use 1990 □ EPP Stormwater1	3-3.999 4		settings are a single
B LI EKF_Storniwateri	4-4.999 5 Add Entry		value, a range of
ERP_Stormwater	5-5.999 6		values, a string, or
	6-6.999 / Delete Entries		NoData. A list of single
□! ERP_Stormwater_			values can be
EPP Stormwater	Load Save Reverse New Values Precision		separating each with a
			semicolon (;). A range
E ERP_Boundaries1:	Output raster		specified by using a
alan ta wat	X:\GWP\Library\Projects\Recharge2005\data\Reclass_dep_1		hyphen (-) as the
E M <u>dep_to_wat</u> Value	Change missing upluge to NaDate	range separator.	
High : 79.8681	Change missing values to NoData		IT (
			New values — The new value to assign
■ Low : 0			the values or ranges of
			values.
		×	
	<	>	Classify — Opens the dialog box allowing the
			Classification method
	OK Cancel Environments	< Hide Help	to be specified. The
<			ontions are Manual
Display Source Selection	Catalog Favorites Index Search Results		
Downing . M to de L			466594.975.3166518.014 Meters
🛃 start 🛛 😫 Sick	Edit 🛛 🕄 2. ArcM 👻 🍋 SJR. Basi 🥖 1. acres i 🖓 2. ArcM 💌 🧔 Inbox -	🞯 BMPs D	
- Ottare			

Step 7. Convert groundwater table depth from grid format to feature format (shape file).

Figure Step 7a. Reclassify grid "dep_to_wat" with "Spatial Analyst Tool". This extension needs to be turned on by clicking on *Tools* >*Extension* and checking "Spatial Analyst". Reclass (*ArcToolbox* >*Spatial Analyst Tool* >*Reclass* >*Reclassify*) the values (groundwater table depth) from "float" data type to "integer" data type using one foot interval reclassification table: Old values New values

Old values	New value
0 - 0.999	1
1 - 1.999	2
2 - 2.999	3
3 - 3.999	4
4 - 4.999	5
etc.	etc.

Output the reclassification to a temporary raster "reclass_dep_1".

SJR_BMP.mxd - ArcMap - ArcInfo		🔳 🗗 🔀
Elle Edit Yiew Insert Selection Tools Window H	elp	
🗅 🚅 🖬 🚑 🐰 🖻 🛍 🗙 🗠 唑 🔸 1:49	806 🔍 🛒 🔊 🕲 🗖 🕅 Spatial Analyst 👻 Layer: dep to wat	
👜 \land 🖬 🖉 🏄 🐝 🗉 🛈 🚇 💧 i	Editor - Farget:	·····································
Terrain Preprocessing 👻 Watershed Processing 🖲		
	Aster to Polygon	
🗉 🗆 urban_mining_areas 📃 🔼	Inoutraster	Lý Help
□ LC_change_BMPs_area	X:\GWP\Library\Projects\Recharge2005\data\Reclass_dep_1	Input raster
□ Soils_SSURGO_24K_HydrGrp	Field (optional)	The input integer raster
□ LandCover_1990_2004_Change_Fin	Output polyaon features	
LC04_LC90_Union	Data\ENGDATA\BMP_Mapping\Depth_to_GwaterTable\dist_dep_to_wat.shp	
ERP_Stormwater_boundaries_Disov	Simplify polygons	
ERP_Stormwater_boundaries_Union		12
□ LC90		
ERP_Stormwater_Buffer		
□ ERP_Boundaries12312003		
⊞		
C ERP Stormwater		
ERP Boundaries		
🗉 🗆 dep_to_wat	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Value		
High : 79.8681	OK Consol Environmente (KHide Hole	
Low:0		
V V Display Source Selection Catalog	Favorites Index Sea ()	for in the
Drawing 🔻 💺 🖓 🚑 🗖 🕇 🗛 🖾 🙋 Ar		
		445355.629 3343752.304 Meters
🛃 start 🛛 🐼 Inbox - M 🕲 BMPs_R	e 🛅 3 Wind 🔻 💹 2 Adob 🔻 💋 define: h 🛛 🔍 2 ArcMap 💌 🚺 2 Note	. 🗸 stormwater 🛛 🖉 🚱 🔎 🛃 3:11 PM

Figure Step 7b. Convert the temporary raster "reclass_dep_1" from grid format to shapefile format by clicking on *ArcToolbox* >*Conversion Tools* >*From Raster* >*Raster to Polygon*, and name the output polygon features as "dist_dep_to_wat".

Step 8. Create a new layer for one of the BMPs types, "swales", and then remove "swales" feature from layer "ERP_lCchg_BMPs". This step is based on the assumption that all the major roads (four lanes with divide) will be covered with swales for stormwater treatment.



Figure 8a. Select (click on menu *Selection >Select by Attributes*) all the major roads features from layer "LC04" by inputting criteria ""LCCODE"=8140" in the bottom window and export all the selected features to a new layer named "LC04 majorroads".

SJR_BMP.mxd - ArcMap - ArcInfo				_ - X		
Elle Edit Vjew Insert Selection Tools Window Help						
🗋 🚅 🖬 🎒 🔏 🖻 🛍 X 🗠 🗠 🔸 1:3	145 🔍 🛒 🍭 🚳 🗔 😽 Spatial Ar	nalyst 🔻 Layer: dep_to_wat	💌 🐹 📐 🔍 🖉 🐼 🖬 🖬	🖻 🖌 📑 🔟 🕫		
🧧 🗛 🗉 🖪 🎟 🖋 🏂 🤻 🗉 🕣 🚇 💩 i	Editor - 🕨 🖉 - Task: Create New Feature	Target:	T X O B O Z T H +	才常重导		
Terrain Preprocessing 👻 Watershed Processing	Attribute Tools 👻 Network Tools 👻 ApUtilities 🖲	🕶 🐇 🗣 🕱 😪 🕄 🐌 🖻 Help				
	Image: state					
ERP_LCchg_BMPs_junk ERP_Stormwater_Boundaries_Urbacc	Intersect		🔲 🗆 🗙	8-		
ERP Stormwater bourflaires_urban urba Intersect	Input Features	Ran	Input Features A list of the input feature classes or layers. When the	N N N		
CL_change_BMPs_area Sols_SSURGO_24K_HydrGrp LandCover_1990_2004_change_Fin: LC04 LC90 Union	LC04_majorroads		distance between features is less than the cluster tolerance, the features with the lower rank will snap to the feature with the higher rank. The highest rank is one. For more information, see Priority	8		
ERP_Stormwater_boundaries_Disov ERP_Stormwater_boundaries_Union LC04	Output Feature Class G:\My Projects\SJR Basins BMP Mappin JoinAttributes (optional) ALL	g)BMP_test(swales_junk.r	ranks and Geoprocessing tools. With ArcView and Editor licenses, the number of input feature classes or layers is limited to two.			
CC90 ERP_Stormwater_Buffer CC90 C90 CC	XY Tolerance (optional) Cutput Type (optional) INPUT	Meters		-		
Display Source Selection Catalog Drawing ▼ ▶ ○ ₽ □ ▲ ✓ ∅ Drawing ▼ ▶ ○ ₽ □ ▲ ✓ ∅ ▲	OK Cancel	Environments << Hide Help	Search Deskton	ers		

Figure Step 8b. Intersect (*ArcToolbox >Analysis Tools >Overlay > Intersect*) layer "LC04_majorroads" with layer "ERP_lCchg_BMPs" to output a new layer entitled "swales_junk".



Figure Step 8c. Dissolve (*ArcToolbox->Data Management Tools-> Generalization->Dissolve*) layer "swales_junk" based on "LCCODE" to output a new layer "Swales". Add a new attribute "BMP" (data type = "short integer" and precision = "4") to its attribute table and assign value "1" to attribute "BMP" ("1" = Swales) with field calculator (right click column "BMP" and select field calculator) and then delete "LCCODE" from its attribute table.



Figure Step 8d. Explode layer "Swales" from multi-part feature to multiple features. To do that, you need to:

1) Click on toolbar *Editor* >*Start Editing*;

2) Select the folder that contains data to be edited;

3) Select the row that has data by clicking on the left tab;

4) Click on the button named "Explode Multi-part Feature" on the top right corner of the window.

After exploding, click on *Editor* >*Save Edits* to save the changes and then clicking on *Editor* >*Stop Editing* to end the editing session.



Figure Step 8e. Since "swale" features have already been identified in the layer "Swales", all the "swale" features need to be erased (*ArcToolbox >Analysis Tools >Overlay >Erase*) from layer "ERP_LCchg_BMPs" and output to a new layer "ERP_LCchg_BMPs_Erase", which contains only "wet detention pond" or "dry detention pond" features.

Step 9. In this step, soil hydrologic group data and groundwater table depths are utilized to separate "dry detention ponds" from "wet detention ponds". Groundwater table depth and soil infiltration rate are the most important factors for engineers to consider when designing dry/wet detention ponds. Typically, they will take advantage the local hydrology and soil properties. Ignoring the local conditions will result in failure or incur high costs to maintain the ponds. For example, a dry pond constructed at a site with a shallow groundwater table and/or low infiltration rate will not function as a dry pond, but rather a wet pond. On the other hand, a wet pond constructed in an area with a very deep groundwater table and/or high soil infiltration rate cannot hold a permanent pool, and hence it will function as dry pond instead of its designed usage. Therefore, our separation of dry/wet ponds is based on functional types rather than designed types. Our assumption is:

- a. If the groundwater depth is less than 5 feet, it will be a wet pond regardless of the soil hydrologic group data;
- b. If the groundwater depth is greater than 10 feet, it will be a dry pond regardless of the soil hydrologic groups;
- c. If the groundwater depth is between 5 and 10 feet, then it depends on the soil hydrologic groups: if it is "A" soil (high infiltration rate) then this site most likely will be treated by dry detention pond; otherwise it will be a wet detention pond.

Classification:

BMP=1 \rightarrow Swales BMP=5 \rightarrow Dry Detention Ponds BMP=11 \rightarrow Wet Detention Ponds



Figure Step 9a. Union layer "ERP_LCchg_BMPs Erase" from Step 8e with layer "dist_dep_to_wat" to create a new layer "ERP GWTDepth Union". Click on Selection >Select by Attributes to select features from layer "ERP GWTDepth Union", and type "("FID_ERP_LC" >-1) AND ("FID_dist_d" >-1)" in the bottom window. Attributes "FID ERP LC" and "FID dist d" were automatically generated in the attribute table of "ERP GWTDepth Union" by ArcMap during the union of two layers. If the value of "FID ERP LC" equals -1, this means that the feature doesn't exist in the layer "ERP LCchg BMPs Erase". The same is true for "FID_dist_d". A feature with both "FID_ERP_LC" and "FID_dist_d" greater than -1 will ensure that it has both BMPs and groundwater table depth data. The six alphabets after "FID_" refer to the names of layers participated in the union. Therefore, if you have different layer names, you will not have the exact same attribute names in the union output result. In this case, you need to look for the two attributes starting with "FID" and followed by the first six letters of the names of layers participating in the union. Export all the selections to a new layer named "ERP GWTDepth Union Export" and then union it with layer "Soils_SSURGO_24kK HydrGrp" to output to a new layer entitled "ERP GWTDepth SoilHydroGrp Union".



Figure Step 9b. Click on Selection >Select by Attributes to select features from layer "ERP GWTDepth SoilHydroGrp Union", and type "("FID_ERP_GW" >-1) AND ("FID_SOILS_" > -1)" in the bottom window (once again, you might have different attribute names if the union layer names are not the same as

"ERP GWTDepth Union Export" and "Soils SSURGO 24kK HydrGrp"). This will select all the features that have BMPs, groundwater table depths and soil infiltration rate information. Export the selections to a new layer named

"ERP GWTDepth SoilHydroGrp Union Export".

SJR_BMP.mxd - ArcMap - Arcir	nfo						×
File Edit View Insert Selection Tools	<u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp						
	+ 1:176.441	🖃 📝 📣 🚳 🗖 😡 Spat	tial Analyst 💌 🗌 lawar don to	, wet			00%
			dar <u>A</u> rlanyse - Edyen, <u>dep_c</u>)_wai			
▏ੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑੑ		F F Task; Create New Fear	ure <u>in rarge</u> ct			The state of the state of	
Terrain Preprocessing Watershed P	Processing 👻 Attribute To	ools ▼ Network Tools ▼ ApUtil	ities 🔻 🍜 🗣 🙁 🖼 🐌 🖡	• Help			
	🕂 🖕 🍳 🏹						-
□ LI ERP_Stormwater12312003	▲ ¥ Q S						
B SJRWMD BMPs Distr	9 🗰 Po						
□ □ ponds_Erase1							
	Attributes of ERP_	GWTDepth_SoilHydroGrp_Exp				┙╶┚┇┝═╢┙	
□ □ ponds_swales	FID Shape* 0	GRIDCODE MUID CC HYDRO	SRP HYDRIC DRAINAGE	COMPNA	AME BMP 🔺		
🗉 🗆 ponds	0 Polygon	Field Calculator			22		
	1 Polygon 2 Polygon						
□ □ ERP_GWTDepth_SolHydroG	3 Polygon	Fields:		Type:	Functions:		
	4 Polygon	FID		⊴ ● Number	Abs()		
	5 Polygon 6 Polygon	GRIDCODE		C Dia	Atn () Cos ()	• • • 5	
B ERP GWTDepth SolHydrog	7 Polygon			() string	Exp()		
	8 Polygon	HYDRGRP		O Date	Fix()	° • ; — `	
□ dist_dep_to_wat	9 Polygon 10 Polygon	DBAINAGE			Log()	8	
	11 Polygon	COMPNAME			Sin()	} ·	
□ LI Solis_SSURGO_24K_HydrGrp	12 Polygon	BMP					
E ERP GWTDepth Union	13 Polygon				* 7 8		
	15 Polygon	-				- 29	
ERP_LCchg_BMPs_Erase	16 Polygon	Pro-Logic VBA Script Code		Advanced	+ - =		
	17 Polygon 19 Delwaen	He Logic VDA Scipicode	•	Auvanceu		· · ·	
□ L swales_junk .	19 Polygon	dim y as integer			Load		
🗉 🗆 ERP LCcha BMPs	Record: 14	dim z as integer			Save		
		x= [HYDRGRP]			Holp		
LC04_majorroads		y= [GRIDCODE		~		5	
		<		>			
		BMP =					
□ □ ERP Stormwater Boundaries	Urban	z					
		Celculate celected records			OK		
ERP_Stormwater_boundaries_	urban 🧹				Cancel		
<	>						-
Display Source Selection Catalog		000	1			•	-
					502431.809	3103587.79 Meters	
A start Sin Ast				🖼 3 V 👻 🕅 1	Search Deskt	n 👂 🕜 🚱 🔜 🌻 1.44 PM	4

Figure Step 9c. Add a new attribute "BMP" (data type = "short integer" and precision = "4") to the attribute table of "ERP_GWTDepth_SoilHydroGrp_Union_Export" and calculate its values with advanced calculation. To activate advanced calculation, right click on column "BMP" which will pop window "Field Calculator" and then check "Advanced". This will replace the below window with two small windows. Type "z" in the bottom window and the following codes in the "Pre-logic VBA Script Code" window:

```
dim x as string
dim y as integer
dim z as integer
x= [HYDRGRP]
y= [GRIDCODE]
if (y>=10) or ((y<10) AND (y>=5) AND (StrComp(x, "A")=0)) Then
    z= 5
else
    z= 11
end if
```



Figure Step 9d. Dissolve (*ArcToolbox >Data Management Tools > Generalization >Dissolve*) layer "ERP_GWTDepth_SoilHydroGrp_Union_Export" based on "BMP" (check "BMP" in "Dissolve_Field(s) (optional)" window) to output a new layer named "ponds".

Step 10. Update layer "ponds" with layer "Swales" to create a new layer that contains all three types of BMPs.



Figure Step 10a. Update (*ArcToolbox >Analysis Tools >Overlay >Update*) layer "ponds" from Step 9d with layer "Swales" from Step 8d to output a new layer that contains all three types of BMPs entitled "ponds_swales".



Figure Step 10b. Dissolve layer "ponds_swales" with "BMP" as "Dissolve_Field" to create a new layer "SJRWMD_BMPs_Distr" (or something appropriate for your project area's BMPs).



Figure Step 10c. Explode layer "SJRWMD_BMPs_Distr" into multiple features by exploding one type of "BMP" at one time (refer to Step 8d to see how to use tool "explode"). After exploding, click on *Editor* >*Save Edits* to save the changes and then clicking on *Editor* >*Stop Editing* to end the editing session.



Step 11. Calculate the areas or acreages of BMPs features

Figure Step 11a. Click on *XTools Pro* >*Table Operations* >*Calculate Area, Perimeter, Length, Acres and Hectares*, Select "SJRWMD_BMPs_Distr" as layer to measure and uncheck all the other measurements except "Acres" and then click ok.



Figure Step 11b. Attribute "Acres" was automatically added to the attribute table of layer "SJRWMD BMPs Distr"
Step 12 (final step). Remove all the intermediate layers and adjust the final layer symbology to make it look professional and appropriate for your purpose.



Figure Step 12. Finally, the SJRWMD's estimated BMPs distribution layer is complete after removing all the intermediate layers and adjusting the symbology (BMP=1, "Swales"; BMP=5, "Dry Detention Ponds"; BMP=11, "Wet Detention Ponds")

Appendix II. HSPF Land Cover/Land Use Codes

HSPF Land Cover	
/Use Classification Codes	Description
1	Low Density Residential
2	Medium Density Residential
3	High Density Residential
4	Industrial and Commercial
5	Mining
6	Open Land and Barren Land
7	Animal Production
8	Agricultural General
9	Agricultural Tree Crop
10	Rangeland
11	Forest
12	Water
13	Wetlands

Urban/ Industrial & Commercial: 1, 2, 3, and 4

Mining: 5

Rural: 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13