WATER-COLUMN SEDIMENT NUTRIENT INTERACTIONS AS A FUNCTION OF HYDROLOGY (HOPKINS PRAIRIE)

FINAL REPORT, 1991-92

by

D.A. Graetz S.C. Yan D. Ivanoff Special Publication SJ92-SP22

WATER-COLUMN SEDIMENT NUTRIENT INTERACTIONS AS A FUNCTION OF HYDROLOGY (HOPKINS PRAIRIE)

FINAL REPORT, 1991-92

Prepared for:

St. Johns River Water Management District Palatka, Florida

Principal Investigator:

D.A. Graetz

Graduate Students:

S.C. Yan D. Ivanoff

Soil Science Department Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences University of Florida Gainesville, Florida 32611

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The St. Johns River Water Management District has undertaken a research project to investigate the effects of fluctuating water levels on the ecological functions of wetlands. Hopkins Prairie, a relatively pristine, herbaceous wetland, located in the Ocala National Forest, Florida, has been chosen as a long-term ecological research site for this purpose. In 1989, the University of Florida, Department of Soil Science, was contracted by the District to evaluate the effects of hydrology, particularly water table levels, on the biogeochemistry of nutrients in Hopkins Prairie soils. The research reported herein is a continuation of this project.

This study included three tasks:

- Task 1 to relate water table level to changes in concentrations of water-extractable nutrients.
- Task 2 to relate the effects of alternating flooding and draining of an organic wetland soil on the distribution and availability of major plant nutrients.
- Task 3 to quantify the effects of sulfate reduction on organic matter decomposition.

Two sampling sites were selected within the prairie to correspond with ongoing research by the District and other contractors. One site was located in an open water marsh area, dominated by the macrophytes, <u>Rhynchospora</u> <u>inundata</u>, <u>Eriocaulon</u> <u>compressum</u>, <u>Eleocharis</u> <u>elongata</u> and <u>Nymphaea</u>

i

odorata. A second site was selected within the nearby sawgrass community (dominated by <u>C ladium jamaiences</u> and <u>Cephalathus occidentalis</u>). Three sampling replications were used at each location.

The effect of water table fluctuation on soil redox potential and concentrations of water extractable nutrients in the soil was determined by field sampling during a period from May 1991 through January 1992. Redox measurements and soil cores to a depth of 60 cm were taken at approximately monthly intervals. Intact soil cores were also taken from the two sampling locations at one sampling time to evaluate the effect of water table depth on nutrient transformations under controlled laboratory conditions.

There was no overlying water on the prairie during the entire study period but the water table within the soil fluctuated due to rainfall. The redox potential of the soil, which is a measure of aeration, reflected the water table depth within the soil profile for both field and laboratory studies. Measurements made under laboratory conditions were more sensitive to water table fluctuation than those made in the field because measurements were made more frequently and without the interference of rainfall events between sampling periods.

Water table depth affected nutrient transformations within the soil profile, as indicated by both field and laboratory studies. Ammonium was converted to nitrate in the

ii

aerobic zone above the water table and was subsequently lost from the system through denitrification (conversion of nitrate to nitrogen gas) as the water table moved upward toward the soil surface. Soluble reactive phosphorus concentration was low in the entire profile for both soils. This indicates the P may be the limiting factor for plant growth in this ecosystem. Soluble reactive phosphorus did not show any relationship to water table fluctuation in the field study although subsequent laboratory studies suggested that phosphorus concentrations were lower above than below the water table.

Sulfate reduction, which is a driving force for organic matter decomposition in anaerobic soils, occurred in Hopkins Prairie soil, but at unexpectedly slow rates. It appears that the organic matter in these soils is only slowly available to microbes. Thus, it takes relatively long periods of anaerobic conditions to develop the redox potential necessary for sulfate reduction to occur. Laboratory studies indicated a two-month period of anaerobic conditions was required before sulfate reduction occurred. These conditions would certainly be met when overlying water is present on the prairie. In the absence of overlying water, sulfate reduction would likely occur only well below the water table in a zone where the soil remains anaerobic for long periods.

This research provides baseline information with regard to how a pristine wetland such as Hopkins Prairie transforms

iii

and retains nutrients under varying hydrologic conditions. The information contained herein, combined with information provided by the District and its contractors will be useful in evaluating management programs for wetland prairies under natural and nutrient amended (i.e., from agricultural drainage water or sewage effluent) situations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																				<u>P</u>	age
LIST OF F	IGURE	s.	•••	٠	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	vj	L i
LIST OF T	ABLES	5.	• •	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	vi	ii
LIST OF A	PPEND	r XI	ABL	ES	•	•	•	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	j	X
1.0 INTRO	DUCTI	ON	• •	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
2.0 MATER	IALS	AND	MET	HOD	S	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
	2.1	Stud	ly S	ite	!	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
	2.2	Task	: 1 :	Mo	ni	to	ri	ng	of	W	ate	er-	Ex	tr	ac	:ta	bl	e			
		Nutr	ien	ts	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
		2.2. 2.2. 2.2.	1 2 3	Soi Red Lab	l ox or	sa p at	mp ote ory	lir ent y F	ng tia Pro	l ce	dur	es	•	•	•	• •	•	•	• •	• •	2 3 3
	2.3	Task in I Trar	2: Labo Isfo	Ef rat rma	fe or ti	ct y on	s (So: s	of il	Wa Co	te lu	r 1 mns •	'ab o	le n	M Nu	ian Itr	ip ie	oul ent	at	ic.	n •	4
	2.4	Task Matt	3: er	Su Dec	lf om	at po	e 1 sit	Rec	duc on	tio	on •	an •	đ	or	ga •	ni •	.C	•	•	•	5
	2.5	Stat	ist	ica	1	An	aly	ysi	s	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
3.0 RESUL	TS an	d DI	SCU	SSI	ON		•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
	3.1	Task	: 1:	Ро	re	W	ate	ər	Mo	ni	tor	in	g	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
		3.1. 3.1. 3.1. 3.1.	1 2 3 4	Hyd Soi Red Ext	ro 1 ox ra	lo Ch P ct	gy ara ote ab	act ent le	ier ia Nu	iza 1 tr:	ati	.on	Co	nc	en		· at	ic		•	7 9 16 20
	3.2	Task in I Trar	2: abo sfo	Ef rat rma	fe or ti	ct y on	s (So: s	of il	Wa Co	te lu •	r 1 mns •	lab ; o	ole n	M Nu	lan Itr	ip ie	oul ent	at	ic.	n •	37
		3.2. 3.2.	1 2	Red Por	ox e	P Wa	oto te:	ent r (cia Che	ls mi:	str	Y	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	37 42
	3.3	Task Matt	3: er:	Su Dec	lf om	at po	e 1 sit	Rec	luc on	tio	on •	an •	d •	or	ga •	ni •	.C	•	•	•	62

4.0	CONCLUSION	NS.	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	66
	4.1	Task	1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	66
	4.2	Task	2		•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	68
	4.3	Task	3	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	69
5.0	REFERENCES	5.	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		70
6.0	APPENDIX	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	71

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>P</u>	<u>age</u>
1	Rainfall and water table changes in Hopkins Prairie	•	8
2	Soil redox potentials for open water and sawgrass communities of Hopkins Prairie	•	17
3	Water extractable NO3 ⁻ -N profiles for open water and sawgrass communities of Hopkins Prairie	•	22
4	Water extractable NH ₄ ⁺ -N profiles for open water and sawgrass communities of Hopkins Prairie	•	26
5	Water extractable SRP profiles for open water and sawgrass communities of Hopkins Prairie .		30
6	Water extractable SO4"-S profiles for open water and sawgrass communities of Hopkins Prairie	•	32
7	Redox potential changes in open water soil of Hopkins Prairie upon alternate flooding and draining in a laboratory column study	•	38
8	Redox potential changes in sawgrass soil of Hopkins Prairie upon alternate flooding and draining in a laboratory column study	•	41
9	Pore water NO_3 -N profiles for open water and sawgrass soils in laboratory column studies .	•	43
10	Pore water NH_4^+-N profiles for open water and sawgrass soils in laboratory column studies .	•	48
11	Pore water SRP profiles for open water and sawgrass soils in laboratory column studies .	•	53
12	Pore water SO,=-S profiles for open water and sawgrass soils in laboratory column studies .	•	58
13	Cumulative CO_2 evolution as a function of incubation time under different levels of $SO_4^=$ for Hopkins Prairie soil	•	63

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>

1	Comparisons of total carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur contents and C/N and C/S ratios between open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils in Hopkins Prairie (n=3) 10
2	Comparisons of moisture contents (%) between open water (OW) and sawgrass soils in Hopkins Prairie (n=3)
3	Bulk density of soil samples collected from the open water area of Hopkins Prairie (n=3) 14 $$
4	Comparisons of pH between open water (OW) sawgrass (SG) soils of Hopkins Prairie (n=3) 15
5	Comparisons of redox potentials between open water (OW) sawgrass (SG) soils of Hopkins Prairie (n=3) 19
6	Mean water extractable NO ⁻ 3 -N concentrations for the 0-30 and 30-60 cm soil layers for open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils
7	Mean water extractable NH₄ ⁺ -N concentrations for the 0-30 and 30-60 cm soil layers for open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils
8	Mean water extractable SRP concentrations for the 0-30 and 30-60 cm soil layers for open water(OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils
9	Mean water extractable SO₄-S concentrations for the 0-30 and 30-60 cm soil layers for open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils 36
10	Effect of glucose and sulfate amendments on CO ₂ evolution from anaerobically incubated Hopkins Prairie sediment 65

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

<u>Table</u>

1	Mean carbon, nitrogen and sulfur contents of soil samples collected from open water and sawgrass areas of Hopkins Prairie (n=3)	71
2	Moisture contents of soil samples collected from open water and sawgrass areas of Hopkins Prairie (n=3)	72
3	Soil pH of samples collected from open water and sawgrass areas of Hopkins Prairie (n=3)	73
4	Pore water nutrient profiles for open water and sawgrass soils in laboratory column studies (n=4). BF, AF, and AD represent before flooding, after initiation of flooding, and after initiation of drainage, respectively	74
5	Comparison of pore water nutrient concentrations between open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils in laboratory column studies	77

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Wetlands are an important component of our environment. They provide many ecological functions including habitats for wildlife and waterfowl, groundwater recharge, flood control and prevention, and natural water purifiers. Hydrology has been identified as "probably the single most important determinant for the establishment and maintenance of specific types of wetlands and wetland processes" (Mitch and Gosselink, 1986). Hydrologic factors of importance include water depth, duration and timing of flooded and unflooded periods, and depth of water table during unflooded periods.

The St. Johns River Water Management District (District) has undertaken a research project to investigate the influence of hydrology on the ecological functions of wetlands. Hopkins Prairie has been chosen as a long term ecological research site for this study. In 1989 through 1990, the University of Florida, Department of Soil Science, was contracted by the District to evaluate the effects of hydrology, particularly the water table levels, on the biogeochemistry of nutrients in The research reported herein is a Hopkins Prairie soils. continuation of this project. The objectives of this study relate water table level to changes in were to: (1)concentrations of water-extractable nutrients (Task 1), (2) relate the effects of alternating flooding and draining of organic wetland soil on the distribution and availability of major plant nutrients in controlled laboratory column studies

(Task 2), and (3) quantify the effects of sulfate reduction on the organic matter decomposition (Task 3).

2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Site

Hopkins Prairie is located in the Ocala National Forest in central Florida. The District operated a research platform in the prairie which was equipped to collect weather data (rainfall, temperature, relative humidity, solar radiation, and wind speed direction) and continuous water level recordings. Sediment cores and porewater samples were collected in the general vicinity of this platform.

Two study sites were selected within the prairie to correspond with ongoing research by the District and other contractors. One site was located in an open water marsh area, dominated by the macrophytes, <u>Rhynchospora inundata</u>, <u>Eriocaulon compressum</u>, <u>Eleocharis elongata</u> and <u>Nymphaea</u> <u>odorata</u>. A second site was selected within the nearby sawgrass community (dominated by <u>C.ladium jamaiences</u> and <u>Cephalathus occidentalis</u>). Three sampling replications were used at each location.

2.2 Task 1: Monitoring of Water-Extractable Nutrients

2.2.1 Soil sampling

Three sampling stations were chosen within each of the two study sites. Two soil cores were taken with a stainless steel probe to a depth of 60 cm at each of the six stations at

approximately monthly intervals from May 1991 to January 1992. Each core was sectioned at 5 cm intervals in the field. Samples were stored in plastic sample bags which were kept in a cooler and transported back to the laboratory. Samples were stored at 4°C until analyzed.

2.2.2 Redox Potential

Soil redox potential was measured at 2 cm intervals when soil were collected. samples Redox electrodes were constructed according to Faulkner et al. (1989). The platinum electrode was pressed into the soil at 2 cm intervals and a reference electrode was inserted into the soil surface and wetted with deionized water to ensure good contact. Both electrodes were connected to a millivolt meter. Readings were recorded after meter stabilization, usually within 3-5 minutes. The meter readings were corrected by adding 244 mV to express the values in reference to a standard hydrogen electrode. Duplicate readings were taken at each sampling station.

2.2.3 Laboratory procedures

Soil moisture content was determined by drying subsamples at 105°C for 48 hours (Gardner, 1986). Bulk density was calculated by relating the dry weight of the entire increment to the volume of the increment. Soil pH was measured in a water saturated soil with a combination glass electrode. Water extractable elements were obtained by weighing a known amount of wet soil (1.0 g dry weight equivalent) into a 50 ml

centrifuge tube, and adding distilled water to obtain a soil:liquid ratio of 1:20 on a dry weight basis. After shaking for 1 hour, the soil suspensions were centrifuged at 8,000 rpm for 15 minutes and then filtered through Gelman 0.45 μ m membrane filters. The water extracts were analyzed for ammonium, nitrate, and soluble reactive phosphorus with autoanalyzer methodologies (EPA method 515.2, 353.2, and 365.2, respectively) and sulfate with ion chromatography method 4110 (APHS, 1989).

Finely ground oven-dried soil samples were analyzed for total nitrogen, sulfur and carbon with a Carlo-Erba NA-1500 CNS analyzer (Haak-Buchler Instruments, Saddlebrook, New Jersey).

2.3 Task 2: Effects of Water Table Manipulation in Laboratory Soil Columns on Nutrient Transformation

Four soil columns were collected from both sites by driving a plexiglass tube (6.5 cm i.d.)into the soil to a depth of 45 cm. The columns were set-up in the laboratory. Ceramic porewater samplers were embedded in the columns at 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, and 40 cm intervals from the soil surface. Each porewater sampler was connected via Tygon tubing to sample containers.

Platinum electrodes were also installed at 2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 and 40 cm below the soil surface in duplicate columns. The redox potential at different depths was measured with a voltmeter and a reference electrode (see Section 2.2.2).

All columns were flooded initially for four weeks, followed by two weeks of draining, four weeks of flooding, and four weeks of draining. Porewater samples were collected weekly. Redox potentials were measured every two days. The porewater samples were analyzed for ammonium, nitrate, soluble reactive phosphorus and sulfate using the methods described in Section 2.2.3.

2.4 Task 3: Sulfate Reduction and Organic Matter Decomposition.

A bulk soil sample was collected from the top 10 cm of soil in the open water area of the Hopkins Prairie sampling site. The sample was mixed thoroughly and all large roots were removed. Equal amounts of wet soil (1 gm dry basis) were weighed into a series of 50 ml centrifuge tubes. Three replicates of each treatment were used. The soils were treated with glucose and/or sulfate as follows:

- (1) Soil only.
- (2) Soil + 300 μ g of carbon (glucose) per gm of dry soil.
- (3) Soil + 300 μ g of carbon and 100 μ g of sulfur per gm dry soil.
- (4) Soil + 300 μ g carbon and 200 μ g sulfur per gm dry soil.
- (5) Soil + 300 μ g carbon and 400 μ g Sulfur per gm dry soil.

The soil was brought to saturation with deionized water and the centrifuge tubes were sealed with airtight septum caps. The tubes were purged of air with purified nitrogen gas

at the beginning of the experiment and periodically during the incubation at room temperature. The soils of each treatment were extracted with oxygen-free deionized water at 0, 3, 7, 14, 21, 28, 42 and 63 days of incubation using a 1:20 soil to water ratio.

Another set of samples subjected to the same treatments were incubated at room temperature and head space gas samples were collected after 0, 3, 7, 14, 21, 28, 42 and 63 days of incubation. Head space was purged with purified nitrogen gas after each sampling. The gas samples were analyzed for carbon dioxide concentrations with a Hewlett Packard 5840A Gas Chromatograph using a 2 m PROPAK-N column and a thermal conductivity detector.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

All statistics were performed using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS 1990). Analysis of variance was carried out with PROC GLM procedure. The Least Significant Difference Test was used for the comparisons of total carbon, nitrogen, sulfur, redox potentials and nutrients among different depths within a soil profile. The comparisons between the two soils were carried out with the Bonferroni corrected T Test using the LSMEANS/PDIFF statement. The Duncan's Multiple Range Test was used for the comparisons among different treatments in Task 3.

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Task 1. Pore Water Monitoring

3.1.1 Hydrology

The hydrologic cycle plays a very important role in nutrient transformations in Hopkins Prairie soils (Graetz, 1991). There was no overlying water during this study, therefore, the effect of hydrology was mainly through the water table depth fluctuation in the soil profile. The monthly rainfall during the study period ranged from 0 to 155 mm with most of the rainfall occurring during the period from March to September 1991. There was essentially no rainfall from October 1991 through January 1992 (Fig. 1). The rainfall changes were also reflected in corresponding water table The water table in the open water site peaked fluctuations. in June and then decreased with time with the lowest level appearing in December 1991 (Fig. 1). The water table was generally lower, relative to ground surface elevation, in the sawgrass area than in the open water area although the same general trend in water table fluctuation was observed as noted for the open water area.

The water table never reached the soil surface during this study, providing oxidized conditions in the upper layer of soil. This affected the nutrient distribution and transformation within the profile.



Figure 1. Rainfall and water table changes in Hopkins Prairie. OW-WT= water table for open water and SG-WT=water table for sawgrass site.

3.1.2 Soil Characterization

Major Nutrient Elements

Characterization of soil profiles showed that the soil in Hopkins Prairie sampling site is a typical organic wetland soil with high organic matter content and little mineral matter (Graetz, 1991). this The soil for vear's characterization was collected when no overlying water was present. During the previous study (Graetz, 1991) the soil was characterized when overlying water was present. The basic physical and chemical parameters did not show much change even though the hydrologic conditions under which the samples were collected were markedly different.

Data obtained by 5 cm intervals (Appendix Table 1) were combined into 0-30 and 30-60 cm increments for summary purposes. Total carbon content was generally lower in the 0-30 cm layer of both soils than in the 30-60 cm layer (Table 1). Nitrogen content was greater in the 0-30 cm layer than in the However, nitrogen content was 30-60 cm layer (Table 1). relatively uniform with depth in the sawgrass site. Carbon/nitrogen ratio of the open water soil was lower in the surface soil (0-30 cm). Differences in C/N ratio between the two soil depths and the two soils were not significant.

In Hopkins Prairie, the water level drops below the soil surface on a cyclic basis (Clough, 1992) resulting in the

	- <u></u>			
	Denth	Soi	1	
	-cm-	WO	SG	P>T
C, gm kg ⁻¹	0-30 30-60 P>T	488 521 0.0016*	459 495 0.001*	0.0032* 0.0057*
N, gm kg ⁻¹	0-30 30-60 P>T	28.7 22.4 0.0255	26.9 28.3 0.5607	0.4596 0.0332
S, gm kg ⁻¹	0-30 30-60 P>T	17.0 30.9 0.0001*	8.4 12.5 0.0521	0.0015* 0.0001*
C/N,gm kg ⁻¹	0-30 30-60 P>T	18.1 23.7 0.0141	17.2 18.4 0.505	0.6111 0.0182
C/S,gm kg ⁻¹	0-30 30-60 P>T	30.7 17.5 0.0609	55.9 45.6 0.1247	0.0032* 0.0017*

Table 1. Comparisons of total carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur contents and C/N and C/S ratios between open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils in Hopkins Prairie(n=3).

* Significant at 1.25% level based on Bonferroni T Test. surface soil becoming aerobic during drawdown periods. Organic matter undergoes more rapid decomposition under these aerobic conditions resulting in lower soil carbon content in the surface soil. The soil below 30 cm remains saturated and anaerobic during much longer time periods than the surface soil and probably becomes aerobic only under very severe drought conditions. Under anaerobic conditions, organic matter decomposition is much slower than under aerobic and therefore the organic matter tends to accumulate more at deeper depths.

Total sulfur content was lower in the 0-30 cm layer than in the 30-60 cm layer of the open water soil (Table 1). The biogeochemical cycling of sulfur behaves similar to that of carbon. Thus, over the life of the prairie, more organic sulfur was likely oxidized to sulfate and in the 0-30 cm layer than in the 30-60 cm layer. This sulfate would be subject to loss through sulfate reduction under anaerobic conditions.

Total carbon and sulfur contents were higher in the open water soil than in the sawgrass soil. The nitrogen contents of the two soils were not different. Since both open water and sawgrass sites have the same geology, the difference was likely caused by the existing plant communities in the two areas. However, the role of plants in nutrient cycling in these study sites is not clear at present.

Moisture Content and Bulk Density

The moisture contents were measured at 5 cm intervals (Appendix Table 2) in both open water and sawgrass areas

throughout the study period and summarized by 0-30 and 30-60 cm increments (Table 2). Although the water table depth fluctuated during this period, this was not reflected by soil moisture content. The water-holding capacity of these soils is sufficiently high that even under non-saturated conditions the moisture content remains high. At saturation, these soils contain approximately 89-90% water.

Soil bulk density in the open water location was relatively uniform throughout the 60-cm soil profile (Table 3). There was no apparent compaction of the soil with depth. Problems obtaining intact soil cores in the sawgrass location due to root mass interference during coring did not allow us to determine bulk density in the sawgrass location.

Soil reaction (pH)

Soils in both open water and sawgrass communities showed an acid reaction. Soil pH increased consistently with depth at both sites with none showing more than a one unit increase (Appendix Table 3 and Table 4). The pH of the sawgrass soil was generally lower than that of the open water soil. The lower pH near the soil surface results from accumulation of organic acids during aerobic decomposition of organic matter. The sawgrass soil is also generally more aerobic than the open water soil which would account for the differences in pH.

1	Denth	Moistu	Moisture content, %						
DATE	-cm-	OW	SG	P>T					
MAY 91	0-30 30-60 P>T	88.8 88.5 0.7356	87.5 88.7 0.1353	0.1185 0.7998					
JUNE 91	0-30 30-60 P>T	88.4 89.0 0.4747	87.8 90.0 0.0285	0.4989 0.2614					
JUL. 91	0-30 30-60 P>T	87.8 88.8 0.3362	87.2 89.4 0.0517	0.5564 0.5352					
AUG. 91	0-30 30-60 P>T	88.4 88.7 0.5839	87.6 89.6 0.0083*	0.2257 0.1487					
SEPT. 91	0-30 30-60 P>T	87.4 88.8 0.2831	87.1 89.0 0.1635	0.791 0.9154					
NOV. 91	0-30 30-60 P>T	87.3 86.9 0.6063	87.6 89.6 0.036	0.7498 0.0096*					
DEC. 91	0-30 30-60 P>T	88.3 88.9 0.5443	86.6 89.4 0.0232	0.1342 0.6306					
JAN. 92	0-30 30-60 P>T	86.3 88.7 0.1005	87.5 89.4 0.082	0.3882 0.4039					

Table 2. Comparisons of moisture contents (%) between open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils in Hopkins Prairie (n=3).

* Significant at 1.25% level based on Bonferroni T Test.

BULK DENSITY										
DEPTH	5/1	6/5	7/9	8/30	9/27	11/5	MEAN			
cm			g	Cm ⁻³						
0-5	0.08	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.10	CDE*		
5-10	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.11	CD		
10-15	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.12	В		
15-20	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.10	DE		
20-25	0.10	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.12	AB		
25-30	0.13	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.14	A		
30-35	0.07	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.10	E		
35-40	0.11	0.14	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.10	CDE		
40-45	0.15	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.12	CDE		
45-50	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.15	0.12	BC		
50-55	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.15	0.12	BCD		
55-60	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.11	BCD		

Table 3. Bulk density of soil samples collected from the open water area of Hopkins Prairie (n=3).

* Means within a column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly, Alpha=0.05, based on Least Significant Difference Test.

		pH							
DATE	DEPTH	OW	SG	P>T					
MAY 91	0-30 30-60 P>T	4.3 5.0 0.0001*	4.1 4.6 0.0001*	0.0109* 0.0005*					
JUNE 91	0-30 30-60 P>T	4.4 5.0 0.1096	4.0 4.6 0.0144	0.0875 0.239					
JUL. 91	0-30 30-60 P>T	4.3 4.9 0.0015*	3.7 4.2 0.0022*	0.0011* 0.0008*					
SEPT. 91	0-30 30-60 P>T	4.3 5.2 0.0002*	3.7 4.4 0.0007*	0.0024* 0.0005*					
NOV. 91	0-30 30-60 P>T	4.3 5.1 0.0025*	3.7 4.4 0.0032*	0.0054* 0.0041*					
DEC. 91	0-30 30-60 P>T	4.1 4.9 0.0001*	3.5 4.4 0.0001*	0.0001* 0.0003*					
JAN. 92	0-30 30-60 P>T	4.2 5.0 0.0006*	3.5 4.3 0.0008*	0.0032* 0.0024*					

Table 4.	Comparisons of pH between open water
	(OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils in
	Hopkins Prairie (n=3).

* Significant at 1.25% level based on Bonferroni T Test.

3.1.3. Redox Potential

Soil redox potential (Eh) is a good indicator of soil oxidation-reduction conditions. By measuring the Eh at narrow intervals in the soil profile, very clear redox profiles were observed (Fig. 2).

Although the water table depth fluctuated during the study period, the redox potentials in the upper 10 cm of open water soil were always greater than +300 mV, indicating that an oxidizing condition existed in this soil layer throughout the study period (Fig. 2; Table 5). For May 1991 and September 1991 through January 1992, there was a distinct transition of the redox profile at the water table. Soil above the water table was uniformly oxidized as evidenced by the high redox potentials and small variations in this zone (Fig. 2). Redox potential began to decrease near the water table and continued to decrease until it stabilized below the water table. Therefore, a transition zone, within which the redox potentials underwent a drastic change, was developed. The redox potential below the transition zone was significantly lower than that above the transition zone for all sampling dates (Table 5). In spite of the great change in redox potential around the water table, the redox potentials in the lower depths remained anaerobic with only a small variation with time (Fig 2).



Figure 2. Soil redox potential profiles for open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) communities of Hopkins Prairie (Error bars = ± 1 std. error) (WT-OW = Water table for open water and WT-SG = water table for sawgrass)



Figure 2. Continued. OW = Open water, SG = Sawgrass, WT-OW = Water table for open water and <math>WT-SG = Water table for sawgrass

DATE DEPTH ⁺ OW SG P MAY 91 above 533 $^{++}$ $below$ -104 $$ P>T 0.0001* -104 $$ -104 $$ JUNE 91 above 440 $$ -121 $$ JUNE 91 above -121 $$ $$ P>T 0.0001^* $$ $$ JUL. 91 above 534 $$ P>T 0.0003^* $$ $$ AUG. 91 above 299 427 0.000^{-1} Ferm 0.0001^* 0.0001^* 0.0001^* 0.0001^*	REDOX POTENTIAL, mV								
MAY 91 above 533 $^{++}$ below -104 $$ P>T 0.0001^* JUNE 91 above 440 $$ below -121 $$ P>T 0.0001^* $$ JUL. 91 above 534 $$ below 37 $$ P>T 0.0003^* $$ AUG. 91 above 299 427 0.000^* F 0.0001^* 0.0001^* 0.0001^* 0.0001^*	T <								
below -104 P>T 0.0001* JUNE 91 above 440 below -121 P>T 0.0001* JUL. 91 above 534 below 37 P>T 0.0003* AUG. 91 above 299 427 0.0 below 24 185 0.0 P>T 0.0001* 0.0001*									
P>T 0.0001^* JUNE 91 above 440 $$ below -121 $$ P>T 0.0001^* JUL. 91 above 534 $$ below 37 $$ P>T 0.0003^* AUG. 91 above 299 427 0.0001^* F 0.0001^* 0.0001^* 0.0001^*									
JUNE 91 above 440 below -121 $P>T$ 0.0001^* JUL. 91 above 534 below 37 $P>T$ 0.0003^* AUG. 91 above 299 427 0.0003^* AUG. 91 above 24 185 0.0001^* SEDT 01 above 421 446 0.0001^*									
below -121 P>T 0.0001* JUL. 91 above 534 below 37 P>T 0.0003* AUG. 91 above 299 427 0.0 below 24 185 0.0 P>T 0.0001* 0.0001*									
P>T 0.0001^* JUL. 91above 534 below 37 P>T 0.0003^* AUG. 91above 299 427 0.0003^* AUG. 91above 24 185 0.0001^* $P>T$ 0.0001^* 0.0001^* 0.0001^*									
JUL. 91above below $P>T$ 534 37 $$ AUG. 91above below $P>T$ 299 24 185 427 0.0001^* AUG. 91above below $P>T$ 299 0.0001^* 0.0001^*									
below 37 P>T 0.0003* AUG. 91 above 299 427 0.0 below 24 185 0.0 P>T 0.0001* 0.0001* 0.0001*									
P>T 0.0003* AUG. 91 above 299 427 0.0 below 24 185 0.0 P>T 0.0001* 0.0001*									
AUG. 91 above 299 427 0.0 below 24 185 0.0 P>T 0.0001* 0.0001*									
below 24 185 0.0 P>T 0.0001* 0.0001*	0002*								
P>T 0.0001* 0.0001*	0001*								
GEDE 01 above 401 446 0.5									
SEPT. 91 above 421 446 0.2	2792								
below -85 105 0.0	0001*								
P>T 0.0001* 0.0001*									
NOV. 91 above 553 555 0.9	9128								
below -67 143 0.0	0001*								
P>T 0.0001* 0.0001*									
DEC. 91 above 548 598 0.1	1881								
below -73 56 0.	.006*								
P>T 0.0001* 0.0001*									
JAN. 92 above 604 610 0.9) 322								
below -7 156 0.	039								
P>T 0.0001* 0.0001*									

Table 5. Comparisons of redox potentials between open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils in Hopkins Prairie (n=3).

* Above and below the water table.

++ Not measured.

* Significant at 1.25% level based on Bonferroni T Test. During June 1991 through August 1991 the redox potential profile did not reflect the water table depth as well as it did during the other sampling dates (Figure 2). Heavy rainfall during this period apparently caused the water table to fluctuate within the soil profile. The redox potential response was not sufficiently rapid to reflect the fluctuating water table.

The sawgrass community showed the same trend in the redox profile (Fig 2). However, the redox potentials were generally higher in the sawgrass community than in the open water area (Table 5). The upper oxidation layer of the sawgrass community was much deeper than that of the open water site, but also corresponded to water table fluctuations. The difference between the water table depth is due to the elevation of the two areas. However, the higher redox potentials in the deep layer of the sawgrass community is not very well understood. The root system of the sawgrass community is more fibrous compared to that in the open water community which could be the reason for the more open soil structure found in the sawgrass area. The open structure could affect the movement of gases in the sawgrass soil. In addition, the sawgrass roots may be capable of pumping oxygen into the soil, although no indication of this is available in the literature.

3.1.4. Extractable-nutrient concentrations

Water-extractable nutrient concentrations were used to monitor the effect of water table fluctuation on biogeochemical

transformations within the soil profile. The nitrogen species, NH_4^+ and NO_3^- , soluble reactive phosphate (SRP) and SO_4^- were measured for each sampling period. The water-extractable component of these nutrients likely reflects the amount of nutrients in equilibrium with the pore water.

Nitrate(NO⁻)

The upper layer (above the water table) of the open water sediment was always under oxidizing conditions (Fig. 2) therefore the nitrate concentration tended to be higher in the soil above the water table (Fig.3). Nitrate concentrations were averaged for the 0-30 and 30-60 cm soil layers to differentiate between soil that was generally under oxidized conditions versus that which was generally anaerobic. Nitrate concentrations were higher in the 0-30 cm layer than in the 30-60 cm layer for all sampling dates (Table 6). Nitrate is the first oxidant (electron acceptor) utilized when O_2 is depleted. Thus, as the soil became depleted of oxygen below the water table, NO_3^- began to disappear. The concentration gradient between the upper and lower layers causes the downward diffusion of NO_3^- to the anaerobic zone where NO_3^- can be lost either to denitrification or dissimilatory NO_3^- reduction (Reddy 1984).

Concentration of NO_3^- in the zone above the water table of the open water sediment showed considerable variation with time. Two reasons could possibly contribute to this variation. Oxidation of organic matter in the zone above the water table is primarily controlled by O_2 , which in turn is influenced by the degree of saturation of the soil. As the water table level increased, the



 NO_3 -N (ug/g of dry soil)

Figure 3. Water extractable NO_3 -N profiles for open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) communities of Hopkins Prairie (Error bars = \pm 1 std. error) (WT-OW = Water table for open water and WT-SG = Water table for sawgrass)



Figure 3. Continued. OW = Open water, SG = Sawgrass, WT-OW = Water table for open water and <math>WT-SG = Water table for sawgrass

DATE	DEPTH Cm	<u>NO⁻₃−N,</u> OW	ug gm ⁻¹ SG	MEAN	P>T
MAY 91	0-30 30-60 MFAN	216 41	92 87	+ 	0.0001* 0.0074*
	P>T	0.0001*	0.7165		
JUNE 91	0-30 30-60	173 86	71 138		0.0071*
	MEAN	•••	100		0.10/1
	P>T	0.0161	0.0455		
JULY 91	0-30	105	170	137	
	30-60 MEAN	8 56	90 130	49	0 0116*
	P>T	50	150	0.0044*	0.0110
AUG.91	0-30	44	139	91	
	30-60 MEAN	3	43	23	0.0054*
	P>T	24	21	0.0049*	0.0054
SEPT. 91	0-30	118	236	177	
	30-60	12	72	42	0.001*
	P>T	65	154	0.0001*	0.001
NOV. 91	0-30	84	191	137	
	30-60	45	109	77	0.0050*
	MEAN P>T	64	120	0.03*	0.0058
DEC. 91	0-30	187	360	273	
	30-60	16	143	79	0.0002*
	P>T	101	201	0.0001*	0.0002
JAN. 92	0-30	120	189	154	
	30-60	20	103	61	0 0047*
	MEAN P>T	70	146	0.0014*	0.004/

Table 6. Mean water extractable NO⁻, -N concentrations for the 0-30 and 30-60 cm soil layers for open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils.

 * Significant at 1.25% level based on Bonferroni T Test.
 + Comparisons were made for each depth when the soil by depth interaction was significant. When the interaction was not significant, treatment means were used for comparisons. upper layer of the soil would have a higher water content or even be saturated. Therefore, the oxidation of organic matter tends to slow down since the oxygen supply is limited. When the water table becomes lower, such as in December 1991, the aeration of the soil will accelerate the rate of organic matter decomposition, and NH_4^+ produced by organic N mineralization will be quickly oxidized to NO_3^- . This wet-dry cycle effect was observed in June and December 1991, where the lowest and highest NO_3^- concentrations were found in the upper layer of the open water sediment corresponding to the highest and lowest water table levels, respectively.

Nitrate concentrations were higher in the sawgrass soil than in the open water soil (Table 6) except for the 0-30 cm layer during May and June 1991. The higher nitrate values in the sawgrass soil cannot be explained by soil nitrogen content (Table 1), carbon/nitrogen ratio (Table 1) or redox potential (Table 5) as values for these parameters were similar between the two soils. <u>Ammonium (NH,⁺)</u>

Water extractable ammonium levels were relatively uniform with soil depth for both soils (Fig. 4). Only occasional differences between soil layers were observed when ammonium concentrations were averaged for the 0-30 and 30-60 cm layers (Table 7). The ammonium concentration of the sawgrass soil was often higher than that of the open water soil through September 1991 (Table 7). Beyond September 1991, there were no differences in ammonium concentration between the two soils. Since ammonium is a cation, it is likely that considerable amounts of ammonium were not extracted by water.


Figure 4. Water extractable NH_4 -N profiles for open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) communities of Hopkins Prairie (Error bars = \pm 1 std. error) (WT-OW = Water table for open water and WT-SG = Water table for sawgrass)



 $\rm NH_4-N$ (ug/g of dry soil)

Figure 4. Continued. OW = Open water, SG = Sawgrass, WT-OW = Water table for open water and <math>WT-SG = Water table for sawgrass

	open water	(OW)	and sawgr	ass (SG)	soils.
DATE	Depth	NH4 ⁺ -N	, ug gm ⁻¹		·····
	CM	OW	SG	Mean	<u>P>T</u>
MAY 91	0-30	21.6	21.0	+	0.843
	30-60 MEAN	40.0	20.0		0.0001*
	P>T	0.0002	0.7125		
JUNE 91	0-30	19.5	26.7	23.1	
	30-60	27.5	16.4	21.9	
	MEAN	23.5	21.5		0.6645
	P>T			0.797	
JUL. 91	0-30	35.4	31.1		0.2199
	30-60	39.7	21.2		0.0004*
	MEAN				
	P>T	0.217	0.0158		
AUG. 91	0-30	30.9	17.8	24.3	
	30-60	32.0	14.6	23.3	
	MEAN	31.4	16.2		0.0026*
	P>T			0.774	
SEPT. 92	L 0-30	29.6	35.0		0.4203
	30-60	36.1	18.8		0.0259
	MEAN				
	P>T	0.3364	0.0339		
NOV. 91	0-30	27.9	29.6	28.8	
	30-60	30.3	26.6	28.5	
	MEAN	29.1	28.1		0.8855
	P>T			0.9634	
DEC. 91	0-30	33.9	44.2	39.0	
	30-60	31.6	25.2	28.4	
	MEAN	32.7	34.7		0.8121
	P>T			0.2204	
JAN. 92	0-30	32.4	29.5	31.0	
J.M. 72	30-60	30.6	23.5	27.1	
	MEAN	31.5	26.5		0.4626
	P>T			0.5611	

Table 7. Mean water extractable NH₄⁺-N concentrations for the 0-30 and 30-60 cm soil layers for open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG)

 * Significant at 1.25% level based on Bonferroni T Test.
+ Comparisons were made for each depth when the soil by depth interaction was significant. When the interaction was not significant, treatment means were used for comparisons. Thus, differences between soils or between soil depths were likely buffered by the ammonium held on the soil cation exchange sites. The soils in this area have been shown to retain significant amounts of ammonium on cation exchange sites (Clough, 1992).

Soluble Reactive Phosphorus (SRP)

Soluble reactive phosphorus concentration was low in the entire profile for both soils (Fig. 5). This indicates the P may be the limiting factor for plant growth in this ecosystem. There were generally no significant trends in differences between soils or between soil depths (Table 8). Soluble reactive phosphorus did not show any relationship to water table fluctuation in this field study although subsequent laboratory studies suggested that phosphorus concentrations were lower above than below the water table. (see Section 3.2.1).

Sulfate (SO,⁼)

Water-extractable SO,^{*} concentrations varied considerably for both sampling sites with time (Fig. 6). Concentrations went from relatively low levels at one sampling time to very high levels at the next sampling time, e.g., June 5 vs. July 9 and July 9 vs. August 30. This was also evident for later sampling dates although the trend was not consistent between the two sampling locations. We cannot explain this variation with any of the parameters measured during this study (pH,



Figure 5. Water extractable SRP profiles for open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) communities of Hopkins Prarie (Error bars = \pm 1 std. error) (WT-OW = Water table for open water and WT-SG = Water table for sawgrass)

×.



Figure 5. Continued. OW = Open water, $SG_* = Sawgrass, WT-OW = Water$ table for open water and WT-SG = Waetr table for sawgrass



Figure 6. Water extractable $SO_4 - S$ profiles for open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) communities of Hopkins Prairie (Error bars = ± 1 std. error) (WT-OW = Water table for open water and WT-SG = Water table for sawgrass)



 SO_4 -S (ug/g of dry soil)

Figure 6. Continued. OW = Open water, SG = Sawgrass, WT-OW = Water table for open water and <math>WT-SG = Water table for sawgrass

ယ သ

DATE	DEPTH Cm	<u>SRP, ug</u> OW	gm ¹ SG	MEAN	P>T
MAY 91	0-30 30-60 MEAN	0.07 0.90 0.48	0.08 0.00 0.04	0.07 0.45	0.3536
	P>T			0.4268	
JUNE 91	0-30	0.21	0.98	0.60	
	MEAN	0.05	0.35	0.20	0.1694
	P>T			0.2916	
JUL. 91	0-30	0.2	1.29	0.74	
	30-60 MEAN	0.16	0.37	0.26	0.0512
	P>T			0.1289	
AUG. 91	0-30	0.08	0.92	0.50	
	30-60 MEAN	0.09	0.50	0.30	0.0011*
	P>T		0072	0.1459	
SEPT. 91	0-30	0.24	1.98	1.11	
	30-60 MEAN	0.28	0.13	0.21	0.3419
	P>T			0.2832	
NOV. 91	0-30	0.44	1.79	1.12	
	30-60 MFAN	0.47	0.61	0.54	0.1347
	P>T	0140	1.20	0.2348	011017
DEC. 91	0-30	0.42	3.38	1,9	
	30-60 MFAN	0.29	0.20	0.24	0.2798
	P>T	0.33	1.//	0.2173	0.2790
JAN. 92	0-30	0.18	0.81	0.49	
	30-60 MFAN	0.17	0.21	0.19	0.2994
	P>T	V•1/		0.342	

Table 8. Mean water extractable SRP concentrations for the 0-30 and 30-60 cm soil layers for open water(OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils.

* Significant at 1.25% level based on Bonferroni T Test.

moisture content, redox potential). Sulfate reduction could occur after a rainfall event due to short term saturation of the soil. However, our sampling frequency was not sufficient to delineate these events. Furthermore, this would not explain the apparent rapid mineralization of organic sulfur which would have to occur to cause the increase in sulfate concentration for a sample date following a period with low SO_4^- concentration. At this time we cannot develop a scenario which would clearly explain our SO_4^- data.

It is also apparent that significant SO_4^- reduction did not occur below the water table during this study as evidenced by equal or higher SO_4^- concentrations below than above the water table (Fig. 6 and Table 9). This is in contrast to the results of the previous study (Graetz, 1991) which showed that SO_4^- concentrations decreased below the water table. Sulfate reduction only occurs in an anaerobic condition when Eh < -100 - 150 mV. The redox potentials during this study only occasionally reached or were below -150 mV below the water table (Fig 2).

DATE	DEPTH	<u>S0,⁼-S,</u>	g gm ⁻¹	MEAN	P>T
	CM	OW	SG		
MAY 91	0-30	258	225	241	
	30-60	208	307	258	
	MEAN	233	266		0.4648
	P>T			0.7153	
JUNE 91	0-30	97	64	80	
	30-60	251	196	223	
	MEAN	174	130		0.0928
	P>T			0.0003*	
JUL. 91	0-30	274	185	230	
	30-60	336	271	303	
	MEAN	305	228		0.0608
	P>T			0.0717	
AUG. 91	0-30	260	172	216	
	30-60	310	382	346	
	MEAN	285	277		0.8541
	P>T			0.0149*	
SEPT. 91	0-30	307	164	+	0.0013*
	30-60	310	332		0.491
	MEAN				
	P>T	0.9163	0.0005*		
NOV. 91	0-30	290	176		0.1027
	30-60	195	345		0.0407
	MEAN				
	P>T	0.1616	0.0255		
DEC. 91	0-30	306	272	289	
	30-60	378	356	367	
	MEAN	342	314		0.4629
	P>T			0.0709	
JAN. 92	0-30	387	159		0.0029*
	30-60	337	423		0.1491
	mean P>T	0.3758	0.0012*		

Table 9. Mean water extractable SO₄-S concentrations for the 0-30 and 30-60 cm soil layers for open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils.

 * Significant at 1.25% level based on Bonferroni T Test.
+ Comparisons were made for each depth when the soil by depth interaction was significant. When the interaction was not significant, the treatment means were used for comparisons.

3.2 Task 2: Effects of Water Table Manipulation in Laboratory Soil Columns on Nutrient Transformations.

Intact soil columns from the two sample locations were subjected to two flood/drain cycles. The first cycle consisted of four weeks flooded and two weeks drained; the second cycle consisted of four weeks flooded and four weeks drained.

Porewater from the columns was extracted with ceramic cups in contrast to the field sampling where soluble nutrients were extracted with deionized water. The same parameters were measured in the columns as in the field studies.

3.2.1 Redox Potentials

Redox potential was measured only in two columns of each soil type and the data are presented as averages of the duplicate values. Redox potential in the open water soil columns corresponded very well to the alternating flooded/drained cycles (Fig. 7). Before flooding, the top 30 cm of soil was aerobic with all redox potentials greater than 400 mV. Since the column was closed at the bottom with a rubber stopper, the water tended to accumulate in the bottom soil layer due to gravity. Thus, the soil at 40 cm remained anaerobic with redox potentials less than 200 mV (Fig. 7).

Upon flooding for the first time, the redox potential at the four depths decreased with time (Fig. 7). The rates of change varied for the different depths. The 2-5 and 10-20 cm soil layers had the greatest decreases during the initial flooding period. At



Figure 7. Redox potential changes in open water soil of Hopkins Prairie upon alternate flooding and draining in a laboratory column study (B.F. = before flooding)

the end of four weeks flooding, these two layers remained aerobic with redox potentials greater than 300 mV.

The redox potential at 30 cm decreased during the first week of flooding and remained relatively constant for the remaining three weeks. At 40 cm, the redox potential did not respond to the 4 week flooding period, remaining at about 200 mV. At the end of flooding, the redox potentials of both the 30 and 40 cm layers were under 300 mV indicating an anaerobic condition at the bottom of the columns.

Two weeks of draining following the first four week flooding period brought the redox potential of the top 30 cm back to oxidizing conditions indicating fast exchange of oxygen between the atmosphere and the soil pores. The redox potential at the 40 cm depth, however, did not reach above 300 mV during the two week period. This indicates that there was not sufficient time for oxygen to penetrate into the soil to the 40 cm depth.

The redox potentials showed the same general pattern during the second four week flooding period (Fig. 7). However, unlike the first flooding period, the whole column was depleted of oxygen with all redox potentials reaching less than 300 mV. The rate of decrease was also faster indicating that more readily-available soluble carbon for microbial respiration was present during this period. Drying of soils and sediments has been shown to increase the amount of carbon available to soil microorganisms, thus increasing their growth rate.

The redox potential during the second drainage period, which was two weeks longer than the first, increased into the oxidizing range at all depths (Fig. 7). The top two layers reached 800 mV in the first week of the period while the 30 and 40 cm depths took longer times to reach oxidizing redox potentials. This indicates that, given sufficient time, the whole column will become oxidized.

A third flooding cycle was monitored for a short period at the end of the second drainage cycle (Fig. 7). The redox potential decreased rapidly upon flooding for all depths. The rate at which the soil became reduced for the three flooding periods increased with each period, likely reflecting the above-noted increase in carbon-availability after each cycle.

Changes of redox potentials with repeated flooding and draining in sawgrass columns followed a similar pattern as in the open water soils (Fig. 8). Although the redox potential decreased and increased upon flooding and draining respectively, the difference among the different soil depths was not as great as that in the open water column. Even the 40 cm depth showed very high redox potential during the draining periods.

Redox potential is mainly affected by oxygen content and other oxidized redox species such as nitrate, ferric iron and sulfate. However, it seems that oxygen is a principle factor in controlling the redox potential in these soils. The oxygen diffusion into the



Figure 8. Redox potential changes in sawgrass soil of Hopkins Prairie upon alternate flooding and draining in a labarotory column study (B.F. = before flooding)

soil columns is primarily determined by the porosity of the soil core. It was observed during sampling that the sawgrass soil had a much more open structure than the soil at the open water site. Therefore, oxygen can reach the deeper layers of the column during the drained period more readily since the larger pores tend to allow more rapid diffusion of air.

3.2.2. Pore Water Chemistry

<u>Nitrate (NO₃⁻)</u>

Before flooding, soils from both sites had high pore water $NO_3^$ concentrations in the top portion of the column (Fig. 9). The $NO_3^$ concentrations decreased with depth (Appendix Table 4) reflecting what was observed in the field (Fig. 3). The sawgrass soil had much higher concentrations of NO_3^- than the open water soil (Appendix Table 5). As the columns became flooded for the first time, the NO_3^- concentrations began to decrease until they reached undetectable concentrations after three weeks of flooding.

Downward diffusion and subsequent denitrification was likely the reason for the NO_3^- disappearance. Nitrate was below detection limits during the two week drainage period and the following reflooding period (Fig. 9). However, during the second drainage period, NO_3^- was again present in both the open water and sawgrass columns and concentrations increased over time. At the end of the four week drainage period, the NO_3^- concentration in the pore water was about 20 mg/l. These high concentrations of NO_3^- in the soil column was probably due to the oxidation of NH_4^+ produced during



Figure 9. Pore water NO_3 -N profiles for open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils in laboratory column studies (Error bar = \pm 1 std. error) (B.F. = Before flooding, A.F. = After flooding)



Figure 9. Continued. OW = Open water, SG = Sawgrass, A.F. = After flooding and A.D. = After draining



Figure 9. Continued. OW = open water, SG = sawgrass, (A.TF. = after reflooding)



Figure 9. Continued. OW = open water, SG = sawgrass. (A.RD. = after redraining)

decomposition of organic matter. Diffusion of NH_4^- upward from more anaerobic zones was not likely contributing to the higher NO_3^- in the top layer since there was no upward gradient with respect to NH_4^+ (Fig. 10).

Ammonium-N (NH⁺)

Before flooding, the NH_4^+ concentration in open water and sawgrass soil columns had similar distributions as those observed in the field (Fig. 10). Ammonium concentrations in the soil columns decreased with depth upon flooding for both soils (Appendix Table 4). However, the decreases were more consistently significant for the sawgrass soil (Appendix Table 4). During the first two week draining period, the NH_4^+ concentration decreased in the upper layer of both open water and sawgrass columns.

The same pattern of NH_4^+ concentrations was found in the second wet-dry cycle (Fig. 10). The decrease of NH_4^+ concentrations during the second flooding period was relatively small compared to that in the first flooding period. During the second draining period, NH_4^+ concentration in the upper layer of both soil columns decreased significantly relative to the lower layers (Appendix Table 4) and reached their lowest concentrations at the end of flooding period. Differences between the two soil types were generally not significant (Appendix Table 5). The decrease in NH_4^+ concentrations during both drainage periods (Fig. 10) and the increase in $NO_3^$ concentrations (Fig. 9) indicate that nitrification was occurring in both soils.



Figure 10. Pore water NH_4 -N profiles for open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils in laboratory colomn studies (Error bars = \pm 1 std. error) (B.F = Before flooding, A.F. = After flooding)



Figure 10. Continued. OW = Open water, SG = Sawgrass, A. F. = After flooding A. D. = After drainning







Figure 10. Continued. OW = open water, SG = sawgrass (A.RD. = after redraining)

The lower portion of the columns did not show very much variation in NH_4^+ concentration during the entire study period (Fig. 10). There apparently was not sufficient oxygen present to allow nitrification to occur in the lower part of the soil profile.

Soluble Reactive Phosphorus

Concentrations of SRP in the open water columns were very low and quite often below the detection limit during the study period (Fig. 11). Soluble reactive phosphate concentrations were generally higher for the sawgrass soil than the open water soil, especially in the upper 30 cm layer (Appendix Table 5). Concentrations of SRP in the sawgrass soil were relatively high in the upper layer and showed a response to the alternate flooding and draining cycles (Appendix Table 4). The concentrations of SRP in the upper layer of the sawgrass soil increased upon flooding and decreased upon draining (Fig. 11). The same trend was found in the second wet/dry cycle. Since phosphorus is not directly affected by redox potential, the variation of SRP during the cycles was probably governed by the solubility of phosphate in the pore water.

Since Hopkins Prairie soil has an acidic pH, phosphorus is not likely bound to Ca-containing materials. Hopkins Prairie soil contains a moderate amount of Fe and Al (D. Ivanoff, personal communication), therefore, Fe and Al and their oxides could play an important role in regulating the solubility of phosphate ions. Phosphate can be bound to Fe and Al oxides during drained conditions, taking the phosphate out of solution. When the soil is



Figure 11. Pore water SRP profiles for open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) soil in laborary column studies (Error bar = \pm 1 std. error) (B.F. = Before flooding and A.F. = After flooding)



Figure 11. Continued. OW = Open water, SG = Sawgrass, A.F. = After flooding, A.D. = After drainning



Figure 11. Continued. OW = open water, SG = sawgrass, (A.RF. = after reflooding)



Figure 11. Continued. OW = Open water, SG = Sawgrass, (A.RD. = after redrainig)

flooded, Fe^{+3} is reduced to Fe^{+2} releasing phosphate back into the solution.

<u>Sulfate (SO, ⁻</u>)

Sulfate concentrations did not show much change in response to alternate flooding and draining (Fig. 12; Appendix Table 4). Redox potentials in all depths of the two soil columns were always greater than 0 mV (Figs. 7 and 8). Since SO₄ reduction occurs at a redox potential of -200 mv, it was not likely that SO, reduction occurred during the study period. The changes in SO₄[−] concentrations between flooded and drained periods may reflect the addition effects of removal or of water more than anv biogeochemical process. Sulfate concentrations were generally lower in the sawgrass soil than in the open water soil, especially during the periods of flooding (Appendix Table 5). This trend was also observed quite often in the field (Table 9) and is likely due to the lower total sulfur concentrations in the sawgrass soil (Table 1).

During the two flooding periods, SO_4^- did not disappear, even in the lower part of the column, however, NO_3^- was quickly depleted indicating the redox system may be poised by other redox couples such as Fe and Mn. Coincidently, the redox potentials, at the end of flooding periods, were always stabilized between 100-300 mV which is within the range that Fe and Mn reductions normally occur. Due to the lack of Fe and Mn data for these soils, this hypothesis cannot be verified.



Figure 12. Pore water SO_4 -S profiles for open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils in laborary column studies (Error bar = \pm 1 std. error) (B.F. = Before flooding, A.F. = After flooding)



Figure 12. Continued. OW = Open water, SG = Sawgrass, A.F. = After flooding and A.D. = After drainning



Figure 12. Continued. OW = open water, SG = sawgrass, (A.RF. = after reflooding)



Figure 12. Continued. OW = open water, SG = sawgrass, (A.RD. = after redraining)
3.3. Task 3: Sulfate Reduction and Organic Matter Decomposition

CO₂ production and soluble organic carbon (SOC) release

Decomposition of organic matter in a wetland soil is the major source of nutrients for plant and microbial growth, and the rate of organic matter decomposition directly affects the persistence of the wetland ecosystem. Under flooded conditions, the decomposition of organic matter is mainly conducted by facultative and anaerobic microorganisms. The rate of decomposition is determined by the type and the amount of substrate and inorganic oxidants (electron acceptors).

Surface soil from the Hopkins Prairie open water area was amended with glucose and different levels of SO_4^- to evaluate the effect of SO_4^- on organic matter decomposition under anaerobic conditions. Glucose was added as an energy source to ensure high microbial activity and to deplete any NO_3^- present in the system.

Carbon dioxide production is a good indicator of organic matter decomposition. During the first week of incubation, all the soils with glucose addition showed rapid CO_2 production compared to the control soil (Fig. 13). The CO_2 production in the soil with only glucose addition was most rapid. This fast production of CO_2 upon glucose addition has been referred to as a "priming effect" (Reddy et al. 1986). During this period, microorganisms consumed the labile carbon (C) source (glucose) using NO₃ as the electron



Figure 13. Cumulative CO_2 production as a function of incubation time under different levels of SO_4 for Hopkins Prairie soil (TRT 1 = Blank, TRT II = Soil only, TRT III = SOil + 300 ug of glucose-C/gram of dry soil, TRT IV = Soil + glucose + 100 ug of SO_4 -S/gram of dry soil, TRT V = Soil + glucose + 200 SO_4 -S/gram of dry soil, TRT VI = Soil + glucose + 400 ug of SO_4 -S/gram of dry soil, (Error bar = \pm 1 std. error)

acceptor. The pulse of CO_2 production suggests that organic matter decomposition in this soil is limited by readilydecomposable organic matter.

The rate of CO_2 production slowed after the first week and leveled off at the 6th week of incubation. The change in the rate of CO_2 production reflected the change of substrate used by the microorganisms. After the most labile C source (glucose) was consumed during the first week, the microbes began to use the native organic C which is more resistant to the decomposition, therefore the rate of CO_2 production decreased. The rate of CO_2 production during the first week of incubation was highest when glucose was added to the soil (Table 10). It also appeared that when the soil was amended with C, sulfate had an inhibitory effect on CO_2 production (Fig. 13), but this trend was not statistically significant with the number of replications used (Table 10).

After the first week of incubation, CO_2 production rates were relatively constant for all treatments (Fig. 13 and Table 10). Glucose and SO_4^- amendments did not have any significant effect on CO_2 production (Table 10). This was unexpected since it was hypothesized that SO_4^- would act as an electron acceptor and increase the amount of CO_2 produced and the amount of organic matter decomposed. The redox potential in Hopkins Prairie soil

Mrootmont	Clucoso	Sulfato	Rate of	CO ₂ production*
#	Added	Added	0-7 day	7-42 day
I	0	0	0	b ‡ 0.79
II	0	0	7.03	b 4.92
III	300	0	58.76	a 13.33
IV	300	100	33.03	ab 15.14
v	300	200	15.67	b 10.25
VI	300	400	30.35	ab 14.17

Table 10. Effect of glucose and sulfate amendments on CO₂ production from anaerobically incubated Hopkins Prairie sediment.

* rate = μ g of CO₂-C/g of dry soil/day

+ Means within a column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly, P<0.05, based on Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

did not reach sufficiently low levels to allow SO₄⁼ reduction in the previous study (Task 2), and apparently we did not add enough glucose in this study to drive the redox potential sufficiently low to allow SO_4 reduction. It appears that the matter in this soil is organic quite resistant to decomposition and that it takes a longer incubation period than nine weeks to drop the redox potential sufficiently low to allow SO^{*} reduction. We do know that under field conditions, the redox potential can decrease to levels which allow SO, reduction (Fig. 2). However, it apparently takes a relatively long period of time to reach these low levels.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

4.1 Task 1

There was no water overlying the prairie surface during this study, therefore the effect of hydrology was mainly through the water table depth fluctuation in the soil profile. Although the water table depth fluctuated during the study period, the redox potentials in the top 10 cm of open water soil were always greater than +300 mV, indicating that an oxidizing condition existed in the surface soil throughout the study. The redox potential decreased in the zone near the water table and the soil remained anaerobic below the water table throughout the study.

The redox potentials were generally higher in the sawgrass community than in the open water area. The root

system of the sawgrass community is more fibrous compared to that in the open water community resulting in a more open soil structure. This could affect the movement of gases in the sawgrass soil and allow more rapid aeration of the sawgrass soil.

Nutrient concentrations and transformations were affected by water table depth. Ammonium concentrations in water extracts of the two soil types were relatively uniform with depth. However, water extraction likely did not remove all the ammonium from the soil due to the soil's cation retention ability. Nitrate concentrations were highest in the oxidized soil layer indicating that nitrification was occurring in both soils. In the field studies, SRP concentration did not show any effect due to water table fluctuation. Soluble reactive phosphorus concentration was low in the entire profile for both soils. This indicates the P may be the limiting factor for plant growth in this ecosystem.

Sulfate concentrations varied considerably over time in a non-consistent manner. This may be related to fluctuations in water table depth between sampling periods. It was also apparent that significant sulfate reduction did not occur below the water table as evidenced by higher sulfate concentrations below than above the water table. Apparently the organic matter deeper in the soil profile is not sufficiently available to microorganism to drive the sulfate reduction process at a measurable rate. When the water table

is closer to the soil surface, sulfate reduction has been shown to occur (Graetz 1991).

4.2 Task 2

Intact soil columns were brought to the laboratory where the effect of flooding and drainage could be studied under controlled conditions, and the effects of rainfall events between field sampling dates could be eliminated. The soil redox potential decreased rapidly upon flooding and increased during drainage cycles. The rate at which the soil became reduced increased with each flooding period likely reflecting increased carbon availability after each cycle. Nitrogen transformations were also influenced by flooding and drainage cycles. Ammonium accumulated during flooding periods due to organic matter mineralization and was nitrified (converted to nitrate) during drainage periods. Nitrate was subsequently denitrified (converted to nitrogen gas) upon flooding. Concentrations of SRP from the open water site were often below detectable levels. However, concentrations of SRP in the sawgrass soil were relatively high in the upper soil layer and showed a response to alternate flooding and draining cycles, which was not observed in the field study. Concentrations of SRP in the upper layer of the sawgrass soil increased upon flooding and decreased upon draining in laboratory columns. In the field studies, SRP did not show any effect of fluctuating water table. Since phosphorus is not directly affected by redox potential, the variation of SRP during the cycles was

probably governed by the solubility of phosphate in the pore water. Hopkins Prairie soil contains a moderate amount of Fe and Al, therefore, Fe and Al and their oxides could play an important role in regulating the solubility of phosphate ions. Phosphate can be bound to Fe and Al oxides during drained conditions, thereby taking the phosphate out of solution. When the soil is flooded, Fe^{+3} is reduced to Fe^{+2} releasing phosphate back into the solution.

Sulfate concentrations did not show much change in response to alternate flooding and draining. Redox potentials at all depths of the two soil columns were always greater than 0 mV indicating SO_4^{-} reduction was not likely occurring during the study period.

4.3 Task 3

Organic matter decomposition in this soil, as measured by CO_2 production, was limited by low amounts of readilydecomposable organic matter. Glucose and SO_4^- amendments did not have any significant effect on CO_2 evolution after the first week of incubation. This was unexpected since it was hypothesized that SO_4^- would act as an electron acceptor and increase the amount of CO_2 evolved and the amount of organic matter decomposed. It appears that the organic matter in this soil is quite resistant to decomposition and that it takes an incubation period longer than nine weeks to drop the redox potential sufficiently low to allow SO_4^- reduction. Under field conditions, the redox potential can decrease to levels which allow SO₄⁼ reduction. However, it apparently takes a relatively long period of time to reach these low redox potential levels.

5.0 REFERENCES

American Public Health Association (APHA). 1985. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 16th ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C. 1268 pp.

Clough, K. 1992. Hydrology, Plant Community Structure and Nutrient Dynamics of a Wet Prairie in North Central Florida. Masters Thesis, University of Florida.

Faulkner, S. P., W. H. Patrick and R. P. Gambrell. 1989. Field techniques for measuring wetland soil parameters. Soil. Sci. Soc. Am. J. 53:883-890.

Gardner, W.H. 1986. Water content. p. 493-544. In Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 1. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI.

Graetz, D. A. 1991. Water-column sediment nutrient interactions as a function of hydrology (Hopkins Prairie). Report to the St. Johns River Water Management District (1989-1990).

Mitsch, W. J., and J. G. Gosselink. 1986. Wetlands. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, NY.

Reddy, K. R., and W. H. Patrick Jr. 1984. Nitrogen transformations and loss in flooded soils and sediments. CRC Critical Reviews in Environmental Control 13(4):273-309.

SAS 1985. SAS User's Guide. Statistics, 6th Ed. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1979. Methods for chemical analysis of water and wastes (EPA-600/4-79-020). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. EMSL. Cincinnati, OH.

6.0 APPENDIX

Sample			••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
depth	Carbon	Nitrogen	Sulfur	C/N	C/S
cm		gm/kg Open	water		
0-5 5-10 10-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55 55-60	457E ⁺ 466ED 485CD 501CB 509CBA 512 BA 516 BA 523 BA 515 BA 534 A 519 BA 521 BA	34A 33AB 32AB 27CB 22CD 20 D 21 D 21CD 22CD 23CD 23CD 23CD 23CD Saw	11F 13FE 15FE 17FED 20CED 23C D 25CBD 26CB 31AB 34A 32AB 35A 29grass	13C 13C 15C 18CB 23AB 24AB 24AB 25A 24AB 23AB 24AB 23AB 24AB 21AB	38A 35AB 31CB 28CD 26CDE 23FDE 21FGE 20FG 16 G 15 G 16 15 G
0-5 5-10 10-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55 55-60	449FG 461FGE 464F E 468F ED 475C ED 439 G 483CBED 489CBAD 493CBA 493CBA 498 BA 500 BA 500 BA	26AB 27AB 29AB 28AB 26 B 24 B 38A 24 B 26 B 27AB 26 B 27AB 26 B	7 D 7 D 8 DC 9 DC 8 DC 9 DC 10DC 11 CB 10DC 11 CB 15 AB 16 A	16AB 17AB 16AB 16AB 18AB 18AB 12 B 19A 18AB 18AB 18AB 18AB 18AB	62A 61AB 53ABC 50ABC 54ABC 48ABC 47ABC 41ABC 49AB 42ABC 33 BC 30 C

Table 1. Mean carbon, nitrogen and sulfur contents of soil samples collected from open water and sawgrass areas of Hopkins Prairie (n=3).

* Means within a column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly, Alpha=0.05, based on Least Significant Difference Test.

DEPTH	5/1/91	6/5/91	7/9/91	8/30/91	9/27/91	11/5/91	12/17/9	91 1/27/92
Cn								***
				OPEN WA	TER			-
0-5	86.6 B*	85.8 B	86.2 BC	86.5 C	84.2 B	88.1 ABCD	83.8 B	86.1 D
5-10	89.1 AB	88.2 AB	88.3 AB	88.7 AB	88.1 A	89.9 λ	87.9 A	87.6 BCD
10-15	88.7 A	89.0 A	88.5 AB	89.2 AB	88.5 A	87.8 ABCD	88.4 A	88.8 ABC
15-20	89.6 A	89.7 A	90.2 A	89.9 A	89.1 A	89.7 AB	90.1 A	86.6 CD
20-25	90.1 AB	89.9 A	88.0 ABC	88.2 ABC	87.4 A	84.9 BCD	90.2 A	85.9 D
25-30	88.8 AB	87.4 AB	85.6 C	87.8 BC	87.3 A	83.7 D	89.1 A	88.5 ABCD
30-35	88.7 AB	88.2 AB	88.5 AB	89.1 AB	88.6 A	86.9 ABCD	89.9 A	87.7 BCD
35-40	88.2 AB	88.5 AB	88.4 AB	89.0 AB	87.7 A	88.6 ABCD	88.3 A	89.4 AB
40-45	87.4 AB	89.0 A	89.1 À	89.4 AB	88.1 A	89.1 ABC	87.6 A	90.7 A
45-50	89.2 AB	89.9 A	88.5 AB	89.1 AB	89.9 A	84.8 CD	89.1 A	89.0 ABC
50-55	89.3 AB	89.1 A	89.8 À	87.4 BC	89.9 A	84.8 CD	89.0 A	90.0 AB
55-60	88.3 AB	89.1 À	88.7 AB	88.1 BC	88.8 A	87.5 ABCD	89.4 A	89.2 AB
	*****			SAWGRAS	S			
0-5	85.4 C	86.4 BC	81.4 B	84.9 B	84.1 C	84.9 B	80.7 B	84.0 C
5-10	86.8 BC	87.4 ABC	87.2 A	86.4 B	86.0 BC	86.4 B	87.2 A	86.3 BC
10-15	87.9 ABC	89.0 ABC	88.5 A	88.4 A	87.4 ABC	88.4 A	87.8 A	89.2 A
15-20	88.2 AB	89.2 ABC	88.7 À	88.5 A	88.3 AB	88.5 A	87.9 A	88.6 AB
20-25	88.4 AB	85.6 C	88.8 A	89.1 A	88.7 AB	89.1 A	88.1 À	88.4 AB
25-30	88.1 AB	89.3 AB	88.6 À	88.5 A	88.2 AB	88.5 A	88.0 À	88.7 AB
30-35	89.0 AB	90.0 AB	89.5 À	89.3 A	88.3 AB	89.3 A	88.3 A	89.7 A
35-40	89.5 A	89.6 AB	89.2 A	90.0 A	88.5 AB	90.0 A	89.4 A	90.4 A
40-45	88.6 AB	90.0 AB	67.6 A	90.0 A	87.9 AB	90.0 A	88.4 A	90.0 A
45-50	89.0 AB	89.5 AB	89.6 A	89.5 A	89.5 A	89.5 A	90.1 A	89.1 A
50-55	88.6 AB	90.0 A	89.5 À	89.2 A	90.5 A	89.2 A	89.9 A	88.2 AB
55 - 60	87.9 ABC	90.7 A	89.2 À	89.8 À	89.4 AB	89.8 A	90.3 A	89.1 A

Table 2. Moisture contents of soil samples collected from open water and sawgrass areas of Hopkins Prairie (n=3).

* Means within a column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly, Alpha=0.05, based on Least Significant Difference Test.

DEPTH	5/1/91	6/5/91	7/9/91	9/27/91	11/5/91	12/17/91	1/27/92
cm -			0	PEN WATER			***
0-5 5-10 10-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55	3.85 H* 4.08 G 4.36 F 4.48 EF 4.60 ED 4.64 ED 4.68 CD 4.84 C 5.08 B 5.13 AB 5.20 AB	4.06 H 4.19 H 4.46 G 4.55 GF 4.65 E F 4.76 ED 4.71 E F 4.90 DC 4.91 DC 5.02 BC 5.13 AB	3.71 G 3.90 F 4.37 E 4.50 ED 4.58 CD 4.67 CD 4.69 C 4.73 CB 4.89 AB 4.98 A 4.97 A	3.57 E 3.86 DE 4.34 DEC 4.53 DBC 4.67 DBC 4.79 BC 4.72 BC 4.72 BC 4.93 BC 6.00 A 5.06 BC 5.13 BC	3.71 I 3.96 H 4.34 G 4.55 F 4.64 FE 4.77 D 4.69 DE 4.98 C 5.06 C 5.19 B 5.22 AB	3.43 I 3.67 H 4.11 G 4.39 F 4.53 E 4.67 D 4.63 DE 4.87 C 4.95 BC 4.95 BC 4.96 ABC 5.01 AB	3.62 H 3.76 H 4.13 G 4.43 F 4.53 FE 4.53 FE 4.70 CD 4.65 DE 4.83 C 5.03 B 5.11 AB 5.18 AB
55~60	5.30 Å	5.25 Å	4.96 Å	5.24 AB	5.32 Å	5.07 Å	5.23 Å
0-5 5-10 10-15 15-20 20-25 25-30 30-35 35-40 40-45 45-50 50-55 55-60	3.98 GH 3.96 GH 3.95 H 4.12 GHF 4.23 GEF 4.32 DEF 4.39 DEC 4.51 DBC 4.68 AB 4.63 BC 4.75 AB 4.90 A	4.14 CED 4.10 CED 3.90 E 3.95 E 4.02 ED 4.11 CED 4.05 CED 4.26 CBD 4.33 CB 4.33 CB 4.33 CB 4.53 AB 4.63 A	3.73 DEF 3.52 F 3.63 EF 3.69 DEF 3.75 DEF 3.81 CDEF 3.94 CDE 3.98 CD 4.11 CB 4.32 AB 4.47 A 4.57 A	3.63 D 3.55 D 3.59 D 3.77 D C 3.91 DBC 3.88 DBC 3.26 D 4.45 ABC 4.53 ABC 4.65 AB 4.79 A 4.93 A	3.46 G 3.47 G 3.55 FG 3.74 EFG 3.87 EFGD 3.91 EFGD 3.98 EFCD 4.13 EBCD 4.30 ABCD 4.46 ABC 4.57 AB 4.75 A	3.39 G H 3.33 H 3.42 G H 3.55 G H 3.61 GFH 3.66 GF 3.90 EF 4.15 ED 4.33 CD 4.45 CB 4.67 AB 4.75 A	3.49 E 3.39 E 3.48 E 3.62 DE 3.61 DEC 3.77 DBC 4.01 BC 4.01 BC 4.17 ABC 4.25 AB 4.37 AB 4.51 A 4.55 A

Table 3. Soil pH of samples collected from open water and sawgrass areas of Hopkins Prairie (n=3).

* Means within a column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly, Alpha=0.05, based on Least Significant Difference Test.

Table 4. Pore water nutrient profiles for open water and sawgrass soils in laboratory column studies (n=4). BF, AF, and AD represent before flooding, after initiation of flooding, and after initiation of drainage, respectively.

			OPEN	WATER		SAWGRASS					
DATE	DEPTH	NH4	SRP	.1NO3	SO4	NH4	SRP	NO ₃	SO4		
	CH		my 1				wy 1				
BF	0-5	2.3 Å*	0.022 A	28.4 A	72 A	1.6 A	0.343 A	63.6 A	32 A		
	5-10	1.2 A	0.023 A	15.6 AB	65 AB	0.0 B	0.126 AB	50.7 B	36 AB		
	10-15	0.6 A	0.025 A	7.6 B	58 CB	0.0 B	0.029 B	43.9 B	42 AB		
	15-20	0.7 Å	0.027 A	1.3 B	50 CD	0.4 AB	0.021 B	31.7 C	41 AB		
	20-30	1.7 A	0.023 A	0.2 B	40 ED	0.2 B	0.018 B	8.7 D	49 AB		
	30-40	2.4 Å	0.026 A	0.2 B	29 E	0.4 AB	0.025 B	0.4 D	43 B		
1 WK AF	0-5	2.4 À	0.018 À	12.6 A	65 A	2.0 A	0.543 À	14.9 A	23 BC		
	5-10	1.5 À	0.020 A	6.7 AB	54 B	1.5 AB	0.260 A	16.6 A	28 ABC		
	10-15	0.8 A	0.021 A	2.8 AB	50 BC	0.5 AB	0.036 A	20.5 A	45 A		
	15-20	0.7 A	0.019 A	0.4 B	41 C	0.5 AB	0.022 À	15.8 A	47 A		
	20-30	1.8 A	0.021 A	0.6 B	28 D	0.3 B	0.019 A	4.8 B	36 AB		
	30-40	1.5 Å	0.024 A	0.2 B	13 E	0.2 B	0.021 Å	0.5 B	13 C		
2 WK AF	0-5	3.1 A	0.017 A	2.7 A	61 A	2.9 A	0.552 À	0.7 A	17 B		
	5-10	2.0 A	0.019 λ	2.4 A	62 A	1.7 B	0.327 AB	1.1 A	29 AB		
	10-15	1.2 A	0.018 A	0.2 A	52 B	1.4 BC	0.036 B	6.2 À	40 A		
	15-20	0.9 A	0.019 A	0.0 A	45 B	1.2 BC	0.028 B	4.9 A	43 A		
	20-30	1.9 A	0.019 A	0.0 Å	35 C	0.7 C	0.024 B	1.6 A	35 AB		
	30-40	1.6 A	0.020 A	0.0 A	13 D	0.4 C	0.026 B	0.4 A	17 B		
3 WK AF	0-5	3.4 A	0.023 A	0.1 A	40 BC	2.3 À	0.398 À	0.1 A	19 A		
	5 - 10	2.7 AB	0.023 A	0.1 À	56 A	2.3 A	0.295 AB	0.1 A	22 A		
	10-15	1.7 AB	0.022 A	0.1 A	51 AB	2.0 AB	0.028 B	0.7 A	27 A		
	15-20	1.1 B	0.024 A	0.0 A	47 ABC	1.8 AB	0.021 B	1.6 A	25 A		
	20-30	1.9 AB	0.021 A	0.0 A	35 C	1.0 CB	0.021 B	0.2 A	36 A		
	30-40	1.8 AB	0.027 A	0.0 A	16 D	0.6 C	0.066 B	0.0 A	26 A		
4 WK AF	0-5	3.3 A	0.021 A	0.0 AB	39 B	2.2 AB	0.446 A	0.1 Å	9 D		
	5-10	3.1 A	0.021 A	0.1 A	59 AB	2.7 A	0.346 AB	0.1 A	22 BDC		
	10-15	2.1 À	0.020 A	0.0 AB	63 A	2.4 A	0.063 B	0.1 A	34 B C		
	15-20	1.4 A	0.021 A	0.0 AB	59 AB	2.1 AB	0.033 B	0.4 A	38 B		
	20-30	2.0 A	0.024 A	0.0 B	46 AB	1.2 CB	0.029 B	0.0 A	41 .		
	30-40	1.9 Å	0.021 À	0.0 B	18 C	0.8 C	0.025 B	0.0 A	17 D		
1 WK AD	0-5	2.5 À	0.002 B	0.1 À	16 B	1.6 BC	0.123 AB	0.7 A	5 C		
	5-10	2.7 A	0.009 AB	0.1 A	30 A	2.3 AB	0.232 A	0.4 B	12 BC		
	10-15	2.3 A	0.011 AB	0.2 A	36 A	2.5 A	0.093 AB	0.1 C	25 BA		
	15-20	1.7 A	0.009 AB	0.0 A	34 A	2.3 AB	0.004 B	0.1 C	28 A		
	20-30	1.8 A	0.007 AB	0.0 A	37 A	1.5 BC	0.007 B	0.0 C	27 A		
	30-40	2.4 A	0.016 A	0.0 Å	29 Å	1.0 0	0.011 B	0.0 C	24 BA		

Table 4. Continued.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			OPEN WAT	rer					<u> </u>	SA	IGRAS	SS			
DATE	DEPTH CN	NH ₄	SRP mg 1 ⁻¹ -	NO ₃		SO4		NH ₄		SRP	1-1	NO ₃		SO4	
2 WK AD	0-5	1.0 A	0.007 A	0.4	λ	17 C		0.1	В	0.011	A	0.6	A	10	с
	5-10	1.5 A	0.006 A	0.3	Å	32	В	0.1	В	0.007	A	0.4	λB	13	С
	10-15	1.4 A	0.006 A	0.2	AB	39 A	B	0.7	λB	0.006	A	0.3	λB	24	СВА
	15-20	3.1 À	0.007 Å	0.2	AB	42 A	B	1.2	A	0.006	A	0.3	CB	27	BA
	20-30	1.3 Å	0.007 Å	0.1	AB	46 A		0.7	AB	0.006	A	0.0	С	27	BA
	30-40	2.0 À	0.008 Å	0.0	В	37 A	B	0.9	AB	0.041	X	0.1	С	29	A
1 WK AF	0-5	1.3 A	0.000 A	0.2	A	53	D	0.5	A	0.028	A	0.2	A	37	B
	5-10	1.4 A	0.000 A	0.1	A	68	C	0.4	Å	0.025	λB	0.1	A	40	λB
	10-15	1.3 A	0.000 A	0.2	A	76 A	BC	0.6	A	0.001	AB	0.2	λ	51	AB
	15-20	1.0 Å	0.000 A	0.2	A	83 A	B	1.0	A	0.000	В	0.3	A	56	A
	20-30	1.8 A	0.000 A	0.1	A	87 A		0.4	A	0.000	В	0.1	A	57	A
	30-40	2.1 Å	0.000 Å	0.0	A	73	BC	0.5	A	0.000	В	0.1	A	43	AB
2 WK AF	0-5	1.8 A	0.000 A	0.1	A	40 C		1.1	AB	0.084	A	0.1	A	24	B
	5-10	1.8 A	0.000 A	0.0	AB	52 C	B	1.1	AB	0.052	A	0.1	AB	29	AB
	10-15	1.5 A	0.000 A	0.0	ÀВ	58 A	B	1.1	AB	0.007	A	0.0	λB	39	AB
	15-20	1.1 À	0.000 A	0.0	AB	66 A	B	1.3	A	0.003	A	0.0	AB	43	Å
	20-30	1.9 À	0.000 A	0.0	λB	71 A		0.6	AB	0.099	A	0.0	В	44	A
	30-40	2.2 Å	0.000 Å	0.0	B	59 A	B	0.5	B	0.000	A	0.0	B	36	AB
3 WK AF	0-5	2.2 A	0.000 À	0.0	AB	35 D		1.2	AB	0.121	A	0.1	A	22	C
	5-10	2.1 À	0.000 A	0.0	A	46 C		1.6	A	0.074	AB	0.0	λB	28	BC
	10-15	1.8 A	0.000 A	0.0	λB	54 C	B	1.6	A	0.033	CB	0.0	λB	38	AB
	15-20	1.3 A	0.000 A	0.0	λB	62 A	B	1.6	A	0.000	С	0.0	AВ	42	A
	20-30	2.0 A	0.000 A	0.0	В	66 A		0.8	AB	0.001	С	0.0	В	42	X
	30-40	2.4 À	0.000 Å	0.0	AB	57 A	B	0.7	В	0.008	СВ	0.0	В	35	ABC
4 WK AF	0-5	2.2 A	0.000 Å	0.1	A	22 C		1.2	AB	0.133	A	0.1	A	13	С
	5-10	2.1 A	0.000 A	0.0	AB	37 B		1.7	A	0.081	λB	0.0	AB	22	CB
	10-15	1.8 A	0.000 A	0.0	В	44 B	A	1.8	A	0.015	CB	0.0	ABC	31	AB
	15-20	1.3 A	0.000 A	0.0	В	53	A	1.8	A	0.000	С	0.0	ABC	35	Å
	20-30	2.0 A	0.000 A	0.0	В	55	A	0.9	AB	0.000	С	0.0	BC	37	A
	30-40	2.3 A	0.000 Å	0.0	B	49 B	λ	0.6	B	0.000	С	0.0	C	27	λB
1 WK AD	0-5	1.0 A	0.002 Å	8.9	A	14 D	I	0.2	В	0.010	Å	10.9	A	9	C
	5-10	1.3 A	0.003 A	6.0	AB	22 C		0.2	В	0.010	A	8.8	AB	12	BC
	10-15	1.1 A	0.005 A	3.6	В	28 C	B	0.3	BA	0.009	A	7.6	В	19	AB
	15-20	1.0 A	0.006 A	4.4	AB	32 A	B	0.9	Å	0.009	X	6.2	CB	22	¥
	20-30	1.8 A	0.005 À	2.3	В	38 À		0.4	BA	0.010	A	4.3	С	23	A
	30-40	2.3 A	0.005 A	1.5	В	32 A	B	0.5	BA	0.010	A	1.2	D	18	ABC

Table 4. Continued.

			OPEN W	ATER			SAWGRA	SS	
DATE	DEPTH CM	NH ₄	SRP mg 1	1NO3	so ₄	NH ₄	SRP ng 1 ⁻¹	NO ₃	so ₄
2 WK AD	0-5 5-10 10-15 15-20 20-30 30-40	0.3 B 0.8 B 0.7 B 0.8 B 1.6 BA 2.3 A	0.009 A 0.009 A 0.011 A 0.010 A 0.009 A	14.6 Å 10.5 B 8.8 CB 6.9 C 2.8 D 0.0 D	18 D 28 DC 34 BC 38 BA 46 A 39 BA	0.1 B 0.1 B 0.6 Å 0.2 B 0.4 BÅ	0.011 A 0.011 A 0.011 A 0.010 A 0.012 A 0.013 A	16.7 Å 10.7 B 8.6 BC 7.6 C 6.3 C 3.2 D	13 B 15 B 20 BA 25 A 25 A 20 BA
4 WK AD	0-5 5-10 10-15 15-20 20-30 30-40	0.2 B 0.2 B 0.2 B 0.3 B 1.6 A 2.2 A	0.000 A 0.000 A 0.000 A 0.000 A 0.000 A 0.000 A	17.7 Å 15.1 ÅB 13.6 ÅB 11.5 CB 7.9 C 6.4 C	48 C 53 C 62 CB 73 AB 80 A 74 AB	0.2 Å 0.1 Å 0.1 Å 0.2 Å 0.2 Å 0.1 Å	0.000 A 0.000 A 0.000 A 0.000 A 0.000 A 0.000 A	22.5 Å 15.1 B 13.0 BC 12.4 BC 10.3 DC 8.3 D	42 AB 41 B 50 AB 54 AB 56 A 54 AB

* Means within a column followed by the same letter do not differ significantly, ALPHA=0.05, based on Least Significant Difference Test.

		Ammonium			SRP		Nitr	ate		Sulfate		
DATE	DEPTH CTR	<u>OW</u> ng 1	<u>SG</u> 1 ⁻¹	P>T	<u>OW SG</u> ng 1 ⁻¹	P>T	<u>OW</u> ng	<u>SG</u> 1 ⁻¹	Р>Т	<u>OW</u> mg 1	<u>SG</u> P>T -1	
ס ס	0-5	 2 2	1.6	0 5064	0 022 0 242	0.0010*	20 4	62 6	0.0001*	72	22.0.0001*	
D .f.	0-5 5-10	2.3	1.0	0.0004	0.022 0.343	0.0010	20.4 15 C	03.0 50.7	0.0001	/ <u>2</u>	32 0.0001	
	0-10 10-15	1.2	0.0	0.2300	0.025 0.120	0.20/0	15.0	JU./	0.0001	00 50	30 0.0001	
	15-20	0.0	0.0	0.3339	0.025 0.029	0.9000	/.0	43.7	0.0001	00 50	42 0.0200	
	10-20	0./	0.4	0.1000	0.027 0.021	0.9409	1.3	31.7	0.0004	00	41 0.18/	
	20-30	1./	0.2	0.13/9	0.023 0.018	0.9552	0.2	8./	0.2773	40	49 0.2207	
	30-40	2.4	0.4	0.05/	0.026 0.025	0.9849	0.2	0.4	0.9/38	29	43 0.0484	
1 WK A.F.	0-5	2.4	2.0	0.6493	0.018 0.543	0.0162	12.6	14.9	0.616	65	23 0.0001*	
	5-10	1.5	1.5	0.9796	0.020 0.260	0.2567	6.7	16.6	0.0366	54	28 0.001 [*]	
	10-15	0.8	0.5	0.7682	0.021 0.036	0.9448	2.8	20.5	0.0004	50	45 0.4859	
	15-20	0.7	0.5	0.8414	0.019 0.022	0.9874	0.4	15.8	0.0017*	41	47 0.4157	
	20-30	1.8	0.3	0.1504	0.021 0.019	0.9907	0.6	4.8	0.3528	28	36 0.2829	
	30-40	1.5	0.2	0.1929	0.024 0.021	0.9904	0.2	0.5	0.9365	13	13 0.98	
	A F		• •	0 0010	A A19 A FEA	o			0.4600	(1	17 0 0001*	
2 WK A.F.	0-5	3.1	2.9	0.8018	0.017 0.552	0.0003	2.1	0./	0.4638	61	17 0.0001	
	5-10	2.0	1.7	0.7591	0.019 0.327	0.02/5	2.4	1.1	0.6334	62	29 0.0001	
	10-15	1.2	1.4	0.8069	0.018 0.036	0.8904	0.2	6.2	0.0367	52	40 0.077	
	15-20	0.9	1.2	0.6843	0.019 0.028	0.948	0.0	4.9	0.0909	45	43 0.7052	
	20-30	1.9	0.7	0.1781	0.019 0.024	0.9666	0.0	1.6	0.5658	35	35 0.998	
	30-40	1.6	0.4	0.1536	0.020 0.026	0.9635	0.0	0.4	0.8954	13	17 0.6332	
3 WK A.F.	0-5	3.4	2.3	0.1724	0.023 0.398	0.0014*	0.1	0.1	0.9817	40	19 0.0505	
•	5-10	2.7	2.3	0.7021	0.023 0.295	0.0164	0.1	0.1	0.9951	56	22 0.0013*	
	10-15	1.7	2.0	0.6661	0.022 0.028	0.9551	0.1	0.7	0.3386	51	27 0.0199	
	15-20	1.1	1.8	0.4194	0.024 0.021	0.9767	0.0	1.6	0.0241	47	25 0.0373	
	20-30	1.9	1.0	0.2912	0.021 0.021	0.9983	0.0	0.2	0.7998	35	36 0.9011	
	30-40	1.8	0.6	0.1504	0.027 0.066	0.7235	0.0	0.0	0.9996	16	26 0.3091	
				0 1000	0 001 0 446	a	• •	0.1	0 7011	20	0 0 0010*	
4 WK A.F.	. 0-5	3.3	2.2	0.1/92	0.021 0.446	0.0009	0.0	0.1	0./011	39	22 0 0002*	
	5-10	3.1	2.7	0.624/	0.021 0.346	0.009	0.1	0.1	0.94/3	29	22 0.0003	
	10-15	2.1	2.4	0.7229	0.020 0.063	0./180	0.0	0.1	0.708	03	34 0.0029	
	15-20	1.4	2.1	0.3482	0.021 0.033	0.9161	0.0	0.4	0.013	59	38 0.0209	
	20-30	2.0	1.2	0.3097	0.024 0.029	0.9692	0.0	0.0	0.8962	40	41 0.5993	
	30-40	1.9	0.8	0.1541	0.021 0.025	0.9724	0.0	0.0	0.9//2	18	1/ 0.9182	
1 WK A.D.	0-5	2.5	1.6	0.1927	0.002 0.123	0.0784	0.1	0.7	0.0001.*	16	5 0.1088	
	5-10	2.7	2.3	0.5262	0.009 0.232	0.0021*	0.1	0.4	0.0077*	30	12 0.0084 [*]	
	10-15	2.3	2.5	0.6636	0.011 0.093	0.2274	0.2	0.1	0.4184	36	25 0.1093	
	15-20	1.7	2.3	0.3127	0.009 0.004	0.9416	0.0	0.1	0.7827	34	28 0.3196	
	20-30	1.8	1.5	0.6467	0.007 0.007	0.9997	0.0	0.0	0.9627	37	27 0.1326	
	30-40	2.4	1.0	0.0302	0.016 0.011	0.946	0.0	0.0	0.9917	29	24 0.4848	

Table 5. Comparison of pore water nutrient concentrations between open water (OW) and sawgrass (SG) soils in laboratory column studies.

Table 5. Continued.

		Annonium			SRP		Nitr	ate	Sulfate			
)ATE	DEPTH cm	<u>O₩</u> mg 1	<u>SG</u> 1 ⁻¹	P>T	<u>OW SG</u> mgl ⁻¹	P>T	<u>OW</u> ng	<u>SG</u> 1 ⁻¹	Р>Т	<u>OW</u> mg 1	<u>SG</u> P>T -1	
	0 F	1.0		0.0044	0 007 0 011	0.7540		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0.1005	17	10 0 2542	
2 WK A.D.	U-5	1.0	0.1	0.3844	0.007 0.011	0./043	0.4	0.0	0.1905	1/	10 0.2542	
	5-10 5-10	1.5	0.1	0.1/8/	0.006 0.007	0.9/49	0.3	0.4	0.2/31	32	13 0.0043	
	10-15	1.4	0.7	0.4/31	0.005 0.006	0.903/	0.2	0.3	0.0043	39	24 0.0230	
	15-20	3.1 1 2	1.2	0.0783	0.007 0.006	0.903/	0.2	0.3	0.5/80	42	27 0.02/9	
	20-30	1.3	0./	0.529	0.00/ 0.006	0.9094	0.1	0.0	0.41/0	40	2/ 0.0041	
	30-40	2.0	0.9	0.2764	0.008 0.041	0.0273	0.0	0.1	0.603	37	29 0.217	
WK R.F	0-5	1.3	0.5	0.2855	0.000 0.028	0.0039*	0.2	0.2	0.822	53	37 0.0403	
	5-10	1.4	0.4	0.2169	0.000 0.025	0.0073*	0.1	0.1	0.9171	68	40 0.0009*	
	10-15	1.3	0.6	0.3661	0.000 0.001	0.8982	0.2	0.2	0.9959	76	51 0.002*	
	15-20	1.0	1.0	0.9821	0.000 0.000	1.0000	0.2	0.3	0.8644	83	56 0.0009*	
	20-30	1.8	0.4	0.0563	0.000 0.000	1.0000	0.1	0.1	0.9683	87	57 0.0003*	
	30-40	2.1	0.5	0.0306	0.000 0.000	1.0000	0.0	0.1	0.7646	73	43 0.0004*	
uniz do tr	0-5	1 0	1 1	0 2802	0 000 0 084	0 0633	0.1	0 1	0 329	40	24 0 0313	
WR R.F.	0-J 5-10	1.0	11	0.2002	0.000 0.004	0.2469	0.1	0.1	0.325	52	29 0.0010	
	J-10 10-15	1.0	1 1	0.2003	0.000 0.052	0.0741	0.0	0.1	0.7001	52	20 0 0100	
	10-15	1.5	1.1	0.0040	0.000 0.007	0.0521	0.0	0.0	0.0094	50	39 0.0109	
	10+20	1.1	1.3	0.02/3	0.000 0.003	0.9031	0.0	0.0	0.5705	00 71	43 0.0030	
	20-30	1.9	0.0	0.0352	0.000 0.099	1 0000	0.0	0.0	0.0001	/1	44 0.0000	
	30-40	2.2	0.5	0.0152	0.000 0.000	1.0000	0.0	0.0	0.9001	29	20 0.0021	
WK R.F.	0-5	2.2	1.2	0.141	0.000 0.121	0.0001*	0.0	0.1	0.1677	35	22 0.025	
	5-10	2.1	1.6	0.4086	0.000 0.074	0.0031*	0.0	0.0	0.979	46	28 0.0025*	
	10-15	1.8	1.6	0.7661	0.000 0.033	0.1648	0.0	0.0	0.7417	54	38 0.0088	
	15-20	1.3	1.6	0.7245	0.000 0.000	1.0000	0.0	0.0	0.0955	62	42 0.0016*	
	20-30	2.0	0.8	0.0802	0.000 0.001	0.9497	0.0	0.0	0.6654	66	42 0.0002*	
	30-40	2.4	0.7	0.0097	0.000 0.008	0.7333	0.0	0.0	0.7235	57	35 0.0003*	
	0-5	1 1	1 0	0 1202	0 000 0 122	0.0001*	0 1	0.1	0 0478	22	13 0 151	
WK K.F.	0-0 6-10	5.6 2 1	17	0.1323	0.000 0.133	0.0001	0.1	0.1	0.04/0	22	22 0 0151	
	0-10 10-15	2.L	10	0.0100	0.000 0.001	0.5457	0.0	0.0	0.0002	з, ЛЛ	31 0 0320	
	15-20	1.0 1.0	1.0 1.0	U. 9490 0 5001	0.000 0.012	1 0000	0.0	0.0	0.0212	77 52	35 0 0062	
	10-20	1.5	1.0	0.0021		1 0000	0.0	0.0	0.4013	55	37 0 0054	
	20-30	2.0	0.9	0.008	0.000 0.000	1,0000	0.0	0.0	0.000	10	27 0.0030	
	30-40	2.3	0.6	0.0084	0.000 0.000	1.0000	0.0	0.0	0.9/0	47	27 0.001	
WK R.D.	0-5	1.0	0.2	0.2502	0.002 0.010	0.0205	8.9	10.9	0.3027	14	9 0.216	
	5-10	1.3	0.2	0.1345	0.003 0.010	0.0205	6.0	8.8	0.1516	22	12 0.0178	
	10-15	1.1	0.3	0.276	0.005 0.009	0.2129	3.6	7.6	0.0437	28	19 0.035	
	15-20	1.0	0.9	0.867	0.006 0.009	0.4184	4.4	6.2	0.373	32	22 0.0123	
	20-30	1.8	0.4	0.0633	0.005 0.010	0.119	2.3	4.3	0.3056	38	23 0.0008	
	30-40	2.3	0.5	0.174	0.005 0.010	0.1086	1.5	1.2	0.8775	32	18 0.0011*	

Table 5. Continued.

		Ammonium				Nitr	ate		Sulfate		
DATE	DEPTH CM	<u>OW</u> ng 1	<u>SG</u> 1 ⁻¹	P>T	<u>OW SG</u> mgl ⁻¹	P>T	<u>OW</u> ng	<u>SG</u> 1 ⁻¹	P>T	<u>O₩</u> mg 1	<u>SG</u> P>T -1
2 WK R.D.	0-5 5-10	0.3 0.8	0.1 0.1	0.801 0.1975	0.009 0.011 0.009 0.011	0.3441 0.2726	14.6 10.5	16.7 10.7	0.1694 0.9117	18 28	13 0.2238 15 0.008
	10-15 15-20 20-30	0.7 0.8 1.6	0.1 0.6 0.2	0.2227 0.8076 0.0066 [*]	0.011 0.011 0.010 0.010 0.009 0.012	0.8875 0.9487 0.2781	8.8 6.9 2.8	8.6 7.6 6.3	0.9078 0.6839 0.0284	34 38 46 29	20 0.0044 [*] 25 0.0047 [*] 25 0.0001 [*]
4 WK R.D.	0 - 5 5-10	0.2	0.2 0.1	0.7812	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000		17.7 15.1	22.5 15.1	0.0324	48 53	42 0.4557 41 0.1072
	10-15 15-20 20-30 30-40	0.2 0.3 1.6 2.2	0.1 0.2 0.2 0.1	0.7997 0.6335 0.0001 [*] 0.0001 [*]	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	*****	13.6 11.5 7.9 6.4	13.0 12.4 10.3 8.3	0.7929 0.7044 0.2723 0.3995	62 73 80 74	50 0.123 54 0.0161 56 0.0044 [*] 54 0.0122

* Significant at 0.83% levle based on Bonferroni T test.