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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This study examines the effects of water level fluctuations on above and below ground plant community structure and soil and plant tissue nutrient dynamics at Hopkins Prairie, a wet prairie in the Ocala National Forest, Florida. Hopkins Prairie is situated within the St. Johns River basin near the western shore of Lake George, one of the St. Johns River chain of lakes. It is classified as an emergent marsh on organic soils (Cowardin 1979).

Water level on Hopkins Prairie is characterized by recurring periods of flooded conditions (surface water present) and drawdown conditions (water table below soil surface) of varying frequency and duration. Water level at the study site has fluctuated between flooded and drawdown conditions on a 2 to 3 year cycle over the past 11 years. During this study the site shifted from flooded to drawdown conditions.

When standing water was present, the above ground plant community was dominated by the aquatic species, Nymphaea odorata, Eleocharis elongata, and Eriocaulon compressum. Two emergent species, Rhynchospora inundata and Amphicarpum muhlenbergianum, appeared in the last month of flooding. One month after drawdown, Nymphaea dropped out of dominance and the site became dominated by Eriocaulon, Rhynchospora; and Amphicarpum. After 16 months of drawdown conditions, the aquatic community had been replaced by a sedge/grass community dominated by Rhynchospora and Amphicarpum.

Below ground dynamics at Hopkins Prairie played a primary role in maintenance of the plant community and persistence of species under fluctuating environmental conditions. Nymphaea and Eleocharis which dropped out of aboveground dominance persisted below ground as live rhizomes throughout the drawdown period. Similarly, Rhynchospora which favored drawdown conditions was present below ground during the flooded period. The high moisture content of the soil during the drawdown period allowed rhizomes of the aquatic species to survive in the absence of standing water. Presumably, reflooding will occur and conditions will again be favorable for reestablishment of the aquatic community.

Repeated cycles of flooding and drawdown are a "normal" component of many ecosystems (Gopal 1986). The resulting vegetational changes have been termed circular succession, cyclic replacement or oscillatory fluctuations (Rabotnov 1974,

Smith 1974) and describe well established sets of species repeatedly replacing each other as environmental conditions change. This type of "cyclic or transient climax" fluctuates in time but is stable overall (Ricklefs 1979). Hopkins Prairie has experienced repeated 2 to 3 year cycles of flooded and drawdown conditions. The shift from the aquatic plant community to the sedge/grass community is presumably cyclic. Reflooding should cycle conditions back to favor the persistent aquatic species. Repeated fluctuations in water level on the prairie result in a dynamic but stable plant community.

The extremely high C/N/P (carbon/nitrogen/phosphorus) ratios in Hopkins Prairie soil suggest that $P$ may be limiting in the soil, particularly during non-flooded periods. The fluctuating hydrology controls nutrient availability by changing the aeration status (and redox) of the soil. Flooding promotes anaerobic conditions while drawdown results in aerobic conditions. The temporal and spacial distribution of anaerobic and aerobic conditions influences nutrient transformations. Available amonium ( $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$) and soluble reactive $P$ (SRP) were greatest under flooded conditions. Following drawdown nitrification increased nitrate ( $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$). This shifted N dominance from $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$to $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$. Phosphorus availability decreased due to increased microbial uptake under aerobic conditions. Microbial activity controlled $P$ availability in the peat soil with low mineral content.

Decomposition releases $P$, however, biological immobilization quickly binds the low levels released from the high C/N/P ratio soil. Slower decomposition during flooding would reduce microbial immobilization resulting in more plant available $P$. The $C / N$ of the soil and the high N/P availability ratio suggest that N is not limiting.

Nitrogen and $P$ concentration was slightly greater in above ground tissues than below ground throughout the period. However, below ground total biomass averaged 35 times greater than above ground. The large below ground biomass compared to above ground resulted in a much greater storage of $N$ and $P$ in below ground tissues. This may be important in providing nutrients for regeneration of each suite of species under the cyclic hydrology. For example, Nymphaea remaining in live rhizomes during the drawdown period will have sufficient $N$ and $P$ reserves (in addition to an increase in soil nutrients) to regenerate upon reflooding.

The location of the prairie in the landscape results in cyclic hydrology with fluctuating periods of flooded and drawdown conditions. The plant community is well adapted to these fluctuations and responds by cyclic changes in species dominance with each hydrologic shift. When drawdown occurs, a productive emergent community becomes established. With reflooding, an aquatic community becomes reestablished. Decomposition during drawdown periods breaks down organic matter which accumulated during the previous flooded cycle.

This cycle provides constant build up and turnover of vegetation and cyclic decomposition (nutrient release) and accretion of soil organic matter.

The moist below ground environment during drawdown periods allows persistence of aquatic species as live rhizomes and seeds. The high moisture content of the soil protects the peat and below ground propagules from fire damage and reduces soil subsidence. Periodic fires which preclude invasion by woody species are more probable during this time when water levels are low and above ground biomass is high. Fire would act primarily on the above ground biomass releasing nutrients during a time when they are at their lowest availability. Upon reflooding, the emergent species retreat to below ground and the aquatic community regenerates from the dormant below ground propagules. Reflooding would provide a flush of nutrients from rapid decomposition during the drawdown period facilitating regeneration of the aquatic species. Decomposition would be slowed and turnover of the emergent community would add peat to the soil.

The combined effects of hydrology and fire maintain the prairie plant communities and promote peat accumulation. Hydrology induces cyclic vegetation turnover with fire interacting on the above ground community during low water periods. Together, these physical factors promote pulses of primary production and nutrient availability which contribute to the overall stability of the prairie.
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## INTRODUCTION

Hydrology is the primary environmental factor controlling structure of wetland plant communities (Carter et al. 1978; Gosselink and Turner 1978, LaBaugh 1986). Depth, duration, frequency and timing of inundation or drawdown are variable, from wetlands that are continuously flooded to those experiencing infrequent flooding or continuously non-flooded conditions with saturated soils. Flooded and non-flooded conditions and changes between these hydrologic conditions have profound effects on wetland plant community structure and nutrient dynamics. Improving our understanding of the ecology of wetlands may provide information to aid wise management and stewardship of these beneficial ecosystems. A knowledge of the role of water level fluctuations on wetland community dynamics is essential, particularly in wetlands which experience wide variations in water level as occurs at Hopkins Prairie.

Presence or absence of surface water in wetlands varies with climatic conditions, landscape topography and hydrogeology. Flooding reduces soil oxygen concentration and alters nutrient availability resulting in dramatically different germination and growing conditions (Patrick and Mikkelsen 1971, Ponnamperuma 1984). Flooding depth, duration,
frequency and timing influence environmental conditions and plant species distributions by selecting for or against certain species (Gill 1970, DeLaune and Pezeshki 1991). Fluctuating water levels with changes between flooded and drawdown conditions produce an "environmental sieve" which controls the establishment or extirpation of species as a function of physical factors (van der Valk 1981). Hydrologic control of plant species distribution results from the combined effects of variable environmental conditions and life history traits of adults and propagules (Shay and Shay 1986).

Permanently flooded non-forested wetlands are typically dominated by submersed, floating and floating-leaved species (Botts and Cowell 1988, Gopal 1986, van der Valk 1981). Permanently drained conditions with moist or saturated soils favor predominance by emergent species. Many wetlands experience cyclic changes between inundation and drawdown. Cyclic shifts in hydrology can lead to a stable plant community with oscillating shifts in species dominance in time and space (Bolen et al. 1989, Duever 1982, Gopal 1986, Gerritsen and Greening 1989, Greening and Gerritsen 1987, Laclaire and Franz 1991). Repeated disturbance, such as alternating hydrology, may promote cyclic replacement of dominant species coincident with changes in environmental conditions (Knapp 1974, Odum 1983, Ricklefs 1979, Rabotnov 1974, Smith 1974).

Wetlands generally exhibit high productivity (Odum 1983), serving as sinks, sources or transformers of nutrients (Best 1987, Mitsch and Gosselink 1986). Wetland vegetation utilizes nutrients during growth periods, while seasonal release of nutrients may result from dieback and mineralization of plant material during the non-growing season (Boyd 1969, 1970, Kadlec 1989, Reddy et al. 1989). Nutrient assimilation capacity by plants is a function of growth rate, standing crop, tissue nutrient concentration and physicochemical (soil and water) characteristics (Reddy and DeBusk 1987). The capacity for long term storage of nutrients by vegetation varies with species, age and habitat (Boyd and Goodyear 1971, Reddy and DeBusk 1987). Long term storage may exist in woody and below ground biomass and accumulated soil organic matter (Day 1984, Dierberg and Brezonik 1983, Brinson et al. 1981). Hydrology is the primary factor controlling nutrient availability and dynamics in wetland ecosystems (DeLaune and Pezeshki 1991, R. Kadlec 1989, Patrick and Mikkelsen 1971). Flooding reduces oxygen $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ available to the soil which may result in anaerobic conditions. Temporal and spacial distribution of anaerobic and aerobic conditions influences nutrient dynamics within the soil, overlying water column (when present) and rhizosphere of hydrophytic vegetation (J. Kadlec 1989, Ponnamperuma 1984). In general, ammonium ( $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$) and soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) are more available under flooded conditions (DeLaune and Pezeshki 1991, Kadlec 1989).

Nitrification increases nitrate $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right)$under non-flooded conditions and adsorption processes and biological immobilization decrease SRP availability. Adjacent aerobic and anaerobic conditions in the rhizosphere or alternate flooding and draining may lead to loss of nitrogen (N) through nitrification-denitrification and alternate availability (flooded) and immobilization (non-flooded) of phosphorus (P) (Patrick and Mikkelsen 1971). Fluctuating hydrology may lead to more extensive decomposition and increased nutrient cycling compared to either continuously flooded or non-flooded conditions (Brinson et al. 1981, Reddy and Patrick 1983).

Objectives of this study were to examine the effects of naturally fluctuating water levels in a wet prairie on (1) structure and composition of the plant community and (2) the relationship between plant tissue $N$ and $P$ content and available $N$ and $P$ in the soil. This study was part of a more comprehensive research project to investigate the effects of fluctuating water levels on wetland functions and ecological processes. The study was funded in significant part by the St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD) headquartered in Palatka, Florida. This research was conducted simultaneously with investigations of surface water quality, soil biogeochemistry and fish and macroinvertebrate population dynamics. Information is to be used by regulatory agencies as a baseline study of a hydrologically unaltered wetland. Development of management goals for similar wetlands
which have been altered may be facilitated by reference to the ecology of Hopkins Prairie in an unaltered state.

## SITE DESCRIPTION

Hopkins Prairie is located in the Ocala National Forest approximately 8 km southwest of the town of Salt Springs, Marion County, Florida (Figure 1). Hopkins Prairie is approximately 5 km in length encompassing about 285 ha . This wetland is a wet prairie, classified as an emergent wetland with organic soils (Cowardin et al. 1979).

Hopkins Prairie is situated within the St. Johns River basin near the western shore of Lake George, one of the St. Johns River chain of lakes. It is one of many prairies within the St. Johns River Valley located in basins where sediment and vegetation accumulated during high sea level.

Topographically, Hopkins Prairie is in the transition area between Lake George to the east and the Marion uplands to the west. The hydrology of Hopkins Prairie is influenced by sea levels on a geologic time scale. Local hydrology both temporally and spatially is influenced by regional rainfall and groundwater flow patterns. Inflows to the prairie are through precipitation and groundwater only. Rainfall in the surrounding uplands readily percolates through the porous sandy soils then flows down gradient toward the basin where the prairie is located. Evapotranspiration by prairie vegetation is the primary hydrologic outflow affecting water


Figure 1. Study site of plant community and nutrient dynamics study on Hopkins Prairie, Marion County, Florida.
levels, particularly during the growing season. During dry periods, water level on the prairie is regulated by piezometric head relative to the surrounding area. Ground water outflow from the prairie toward the St. Johns River may occur during extreme drought conditions. Water level on Hopkins Prairie is characterized by recurring periods of flooded conditions (surface water present) and non-flooded conditions (water table below soil surface) of varying frequency (recurrence interval) and duration.

The following description of plant communities is representative of the vegetation in 1986 when the vegetation map was developed. It should be noted that while the community types are well established, the relative extent of these communities may vary depending on local environmental conditions which are controlled primarily by hydrology and fire. Hopkins Prairie is comprised of many wetland plant community types. Open water and deep marshes with floatingleaved aquatic species such as white waterlily (Nymphaea odorata) and spatterdock (Nuphar luteum) occurred in the lower wetter portions of the prairie. Intermixed with these areas were another type of deep marsh with aquatic species and open water bordered by maidencane (Panicum hemitomon) which extended northward onto the mid-section of the prairie. An extensive sawgrass marsh (Cladium jamaicense) occupied a slightly higher soil elevation area in the north central portion of the prairie. Within this marsh were shrub swamp
islands with buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis) and bayheads with sweetbay (Magnolia virginiana) overstory and wax myrtle (Myrica cerifera) and buttonbush understory. The middle section of the prairie between the deep marshes to the south and the sawgrass marsh to the north was occupied by a marsh dominated by inundated beak rush (Rhynchospora inundata) with localized areas of shrub swamp interspersed. The northern portion of the prairie was comprised of a shallow marsh dominated by Panicum hemitomon.

The study plot was located in the inundated beak rush deep marsh of the middle section of the prairie. This area is transitional in soil elevation between the lower wetter southern part of the prairie and the higher northern part. As a result of this transitional situation, water levels at the study plot were variable with fluctuations relative to the soil surface producing shifts between flooded and non-flooded conditions.

The St. Johns River basin is underlain with fresh water shell marl, sponge-spicule clay, peat and muck materials (Snedaker and Lugo 1972). As described in the geomorphology section above, the stratigraphy of the basin resulted from rising and lowering sea levels with sedimentation and accumulated vegetation in solution areas in the floodplain. Fossiliferous marl and peats were lain down during the last sea level rise when the sea was approximately 8 m higher than it is today (Faulkner 1970). The shell marls underlying the
peats are estimated to be 16,500 to 17,000 years old. The overlying peat and muck soils are approximately 4,000 to 6,000 years old (Snedaker and Lugo 1972).

Peat depths on Hopkins Prairie increase from the perimeter inward and are 4 to 6 m in the deeper areas ( Dr . G.B. Hall, St. Johns River Water Management District, pers. comm.). The highly organic peat soils have a high water holding capacity resulting in moist to saturated conditions under both flooded and drawdown conditions. The nutrient status of soils is also influenced by hydrologic conditions and anaerobiosis of the soil profile. Hopkins Prairie is an oligotrophic system with low soil porewater nutrient concentrations (Graetz 1991). Phosphorus is particularly low and may be limiting in the prairie.

Fire is an important part of wet prairie ecosystems in the Ocala National Forest (Snedaker and Lugo 1972). The developmental history of Hopkins Prairie from a portion of an open water estuary to its present structure has been shaped by the combined effects of hydrology and fire. During dry periods with water levels low, the vegetation serves as fuel for periodic fires which repeatedly set back succession and exclude invasion by woody species. Only species able to survive the wet and dry periods and adapted to periodic fires survive for long periods on the prairie. This combination of physical factors acts to suppress hydrarch succession
preventing its progression toward the hydrarch climax of a forested system.

The U.S. Forest Service (Lake George Ranger District) has conducted five prescribed burns on part or all of the prairie and the understory vegetation of the surrounding forested buffer since 1976 (there are no records of prescribed burns prior to 1976). In 1976 and 1979 parts of the perimeter vegetation of the prairie were burned, in 1986 and 1989 the entire prairie was burned and in 1991 portions of the prairie were burned. The perimeter burns in 1976 and 1979 were spring burns conducted in March and February, respectively. The 1986 and 1989 burns were winter burns conducted in January and December, respectively.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A $10 \mathrm{~m} \times 18 \mathrm{~m}$ study plot was established within a plant community typical of the lower elevation areas in Hopkins Prairie. The plot was divided into six subplots $10 \mathrm{~m} X 3 \mathrm{~m}$. Each subplot was further divided into $30,1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ sampling sites. Monthly measurements were collected in replicates of six by sampling one randomly chosen $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ site within each subplot. Monthly measurements included water level, plant species percent cover, above ground plant biomass and below ground plant biomass. Above and below ground biomass was separated by species. Live and dead above ground biomass was also
categorized. Appendix A presents an expanded outline of the protocol.

Water levels relative to the soil surface were recorded at each sample site by measuring the water depth (flooded conditions) or the depth to the water table (non-flooded conditions). Hydrologic data for the past 11 years were provided by the St. Johns River Water Management District. Stage data from 1981 to 1991 was used to examine hydrologic variability for comparison with conditions during the study period.

A 25 cm X 25 cm sample frame was placed 10 cm deep into the soil in the center of the randomly chosen $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ sample site. A hand pump was used to remove any standing water within the sample frame. Percent cover of each species was estimated visually. Above ground biomass was collected by cutting shoots at the soil surface. Soil was excavated to 10 cm to determine below ground biomass from $0-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. A 10.2 cm diameter ( 4 in ) PVC core was pushed 20 cm into the soil at the center of the hole created by the $0-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ sample. This sample was cut in half and processed to determine below ground biomass at $10-20$ and $20-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ soil depths. Below ground biomass samples were sieved through a U.S. standard no. 14 sieve then placed in a pan of water, forceps were used to remove below ground plant materials. Unidentified biomass was placed in a general category. Above ground, below ground and
algae biomass was oven dried at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to constant weight for dry weight measurements.

Net above ground primary productivity was calculated as the difference in standing crop between each sampling event. A litter sac study was done to account for biomass loss to decomposition during the period. Two litter sacs were placed on the soil surface at each sample site, one containing live plant material and the other containing dead plant material. The sacs were collected at the following monthly sampling event, dried at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the plant material weighed. Weight loss during the period was attributed to decomposition.

Several measures of species diversity are used in ecological research, the most widely used statistic is the Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index, H' (Colinvaux 1986) as follows:

$$
H^{\prime}=-\Sigma\left(p_{i} \log _{2} p_{i}\right)
$$

where, $p_{i}=$ proportion of species $i$ in total sample. Plant species richness ( $R$ ) is a direct count of the number of species recorded in a sampling event. The Shannon-Wiener index combines relative abundance and species richness to indicate the 'evenness' of the distribution of species.

Soil samples were collected within each $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ sampling site. Above ground litter was cleared from an area adjacent to the biomass sample to expose the soil surface. A 30 cm soil core was collected using a 6.4 cm diameter ( 2.5 in )
acrylic core. The sample was extruded with a rubber stopper and push rod for sectioning into $0-10,10-20$ and $20-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ samples. Plant "available" $N$ and $P$ was estimated from a sequential extraction of deionized water (approximate ratio of 40:1, ml extractant to dry weight soil), representing readily available $N$ and $P$, followed by a potassium chloride (KCl) extraction (approximate $70: 1$ extractant to soil), representing cation $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)$and anion ( $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ ) exchangeable N and P . Water extractable ammonium $N\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}-\mathrm{N}\right)$, nitrate + nitrite $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{N}\right)$ and soluble reactive $P$ (SRP) and KCl extractable $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$and $\operatorname{SRP}$ were determined on a Technicon Autoanalyzer II using standard methods (APHA 1989, ASA 1982, and EPA 1979). Soil moisture content was determined by measuring weight loss of oven dried samples at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Nitrogen and P content is reported in mg N or $P$ per $k g$ DWT of soil. General soil characterization was conducted in September 1990. Parameters included pH, bulk density, a P-fractionation scheme and oxalate extractable iron (Fe) and aluminum (Al). The P-fractionation consisted of sequential extraction with KCl , sodium hydroxide ( NaOH ), and hydrochloric acid (HCl) solutions. Treatment with KCl, NaOH, and HCl extracts exchangeable, Fe and Al bound, and calcium (Ca) bound $P$, respectively. Digestion of the NaOH extraction measures labile organic and humic and fulvic acid associated $P$ in addition to $F e$ and $A 1$ bound $P$. The oxalate extraction estimates the amount of phase I adsorbed (easily desorbed) Fe and Al oxides. Total carbon (C), $N$ and $P$ for soil
characterization were obtained from concurrent research on soil biogeochemistry conducted by the University of Florida Soil and Water Science Department (Graetz 1991).

Plant tissues were analyzed for total kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) and total phosphorus (TP). All plant species were combined in each tissue category, ie., above ground live, above ground dead and below ground biomass at three soil depths. Determination of TKN and TP was done on a Technicon Autoanalyzer II. Nitrogen and P content are reported in $g$ of $N$ or $P$ per $k g$ DWT of plant tissue. Linear regression analysis was used to test for correlations between soil available $N$ or P ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) and plant biomass N or $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.

Student-Newman Keuls (SNK) multiple comparison procedure was used to test for differences between monthly mean values of water level, plant biomass and soil nutrient content. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS/PC (SAS 1988).

## RESULTS

## Water Level and Soil Moisture

Mean annual water level alternated between flooded and non-flooded conditions over the last 11 years (Figure 2). Standing water was absent 1981-82, present 1983-84, absent 1985-86, present 1987-89 and absent 1990-91. Water level during the study period (March 1990 to December 1991) shifted


Figure 2. Mean, maximum and minimum annual stage at Hopkins Prairie from 1981 to 1991 ค
(data from St. Johns River Water Management District).
from flooded to drawdown conditions in June 1990 (Figure 3, Appendix B). The study was conducted during 3 months of flooding followed by 19 months of non-flooded conditions. Water level decreased from a maximum of 20 cm above the soil surface in March 1990 to a minimum of 47 cm below the soil surface in February 1991. From March through December 1991 water level fluctuated between 13 and 40 cm below ground.

Soil moisture content during the flooded period was only available for May when it ranged from $88 \%$ at a soil depth of $20-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ to $91 \%$ at $0-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Table 1). Average soil moisture during the non-flooded period ranged from $88 \%$ at $0-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ to 89\% at 10-20 and 20-30 cm. Soil moisture is expressed on a mass weight basis from 0 to 100\%. Peat depth at the study site was approximately 6 m.

## Plant Species Percent Cover

White waterlily (Nymphaea odorata), Spikerush (Eleocharis elongata), Soft-headed pipewort (Eriocaulon compressum), Inundated beak rush (Rhynchospora inundata) and Blue maidencane (Amphicarpum muhlenbergianum) were present in at least one month during both the flooded and drawdown periods (Figure 4, Appendix C). Nymphaea, Eleocharis and Eriocaulon occurred in all flooded months. Rhynchospora and Amphicarpum were present in the last month of flooded conditions. Under non-flooded conditions, Nymphaea was present in 4 of the 19 monthly samples. Eleocharis, Eriocaulon and Rhynchospora


Figure 3. Mean monthly water level ( $n=6$ ) at Hopkins Prairie from March 1990 to December 1991.

Table 1. Mean (standard deviation) percent moisture content of soil at Hopkins Prairie under flooded and nonflooded conditions. Values expressed on mass weight basis 0 to 100\% moisture. (Non-flooded data from S. Yan and D.A. Graetz, University of Florida).

| Soil Depth <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Flooded <br> $(5 / 90)$ | Non-flooded <br> $(6 / 90-12 / 90)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $0-10$ | $91(1.4)$ | $88(1.3)$ |
| $10-20$ | $89(1.1)$ | $89(0.4)$ |
| $20-30$ | $88(1.2)$ | $89(1.4)$ |



Figure 4. Mean percent cover ( $n=6$ ) of species present under both flooded and non-flooded conditions.
occurred throughout the drawdown period, Amphicarpum in all but 3 months.

Highest percent cover of Nymphaea and Eleocharis occurred in May. Nymphaea averaged $4 \%$ cover while flood water was present and less than 1\% during the drawdown period. Eleocharis averaged $13 \%$ cover when flooded compared to 1\% following drawdown. Percent cover of Eriocaulon averaged 14\% under flooded conditions and 9\% under drawdown. Highest Rhynchospora and Amphicarpum cover was during the drawdown period when Rhynchospora averaged $6 \%$ and Amphicarpum 4\%. Percent cover of both species was less than 1\% when flooded.

## Above Ground Relative Dominance

Total above ground live biomass during the flooded period was 58\% Eriocaulon and 30\% Eleocharis in March, 68\% Eriocaulon and 28\% Nymphaea in April and 44\% Nymphaea and 27\% Eriocaulon in May (Figure 5, Appendix D). In June, Rhynchospora made up 61\% of the total and Nymphaea 32\%. From August 1990 through June 1991, Eriocaulon had the greatest relative above ground biomass (except september) averaging $68 \%$ of the total. In July 1991, Rhynchospora and Amphicarpum totalled 59\% of all biomass, in August 89\% and in September 78\%. Amphicarpum and Eriocaulon made up $90 \%$ of total biomass in November and $96 \%$ in December.


Figure 5. Mean relative dominance $(n=6)$ as above ground live biomass.

## Species Richness and Diversity

Species richness was 3 in March and April 1990 (Table 2). Two more species were encountered in May. Six species were present in September 1990 and 8 in October. Twelve species (11 Angiosperms and 1 Pteridiophyte) were sampled during the study with a maximum species richness of 8 during any one sampling event. Initial appearance of additional species occurred in May, September and October 1990, June and July 1991.

Species diversity ranged from 1.07 to 1.86 during the flooded period and 0.55 to 2.36 when non-flooded. Maximum and minimum diversity occurred during the non-flooded period.

## Above and Below Ground Biomass

Maximum above ground live biomass of Nymphaea ( $7.40 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) and Eleocharis ( $3.17 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) occurred in May 1990 (Figure 6 and 7, Appendix E). Maximum biomass of Rhynchospora ( $17.69 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) and Amphicarpum ( $37.57 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) was in July and November 1991, respectively. Nymphaea above ground biomass was significantly greater during the last month of flooding compared to all months during the non-flooded period except June 1990 ( $p=0.02$ ); however, May (flooded) was also significantly lower (Appendix F). Rhynchospora above ground biomass was significantly greater in July 1991 than March and April 1990, and January through March and December 1991 ( $p=0.04$ ).

Table 2. Plant species richness (R) and Shannon-Wiener diversity index ( $H^{\prime}$ ) of plant community at Hopkins Prairie.

| Date | Richness (R) | Diversity (H') |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| MAR 1990 | 3 |  |
| APR | 3 | 1.35 |
| MAY | 5 | 1.07 |
| JUN | 5 | 1.86 |
| JUL | 3 | 1.34 |
| AUG | 3 | 1.30 |
| SEP | 6 | 1.22 |
| OCT | 8 | 1.71 |
| NOV | 8 | 1.60 |
| DEC | 7 | 1.16 |
| JAN 1991 | 8 | 1.60 |
| FEB | 6 | 0.55 |
| MAR | 8 | 1.43 |
| MAY | 7 | 0.88 |
| JUN | 7 | 0.97 |
| JUL | 7 | 1.77 |
| AUG | 8 | 2.36 |
| SEP | 5 | 1.55 |
| OCT | 7 | 1.79 |
| NOV | 6 | 1.99 |
| DEC | 6 | 1.04 |



Figure 6. Mean above and below ground biomass ( $n=6$ ) of species shifting above ground relative dominance (note difference in $y$-scale of paired graphs). Means with same letter are not significantly different.


Figure 7. Mean above and below ground biomass ( $n=6$ ) of species shifting above ground relative dominance. Means with same letter are not significantly different.

Eleocharis ( $p=0.02$ ) and Amphicarpum ( $p=0.01$ ) showed no significant differences between flooded and non-flooded conditions except during November 1991 when Amphicarpum biomass was greatest.

Nymphaea, Eleocharis and Rhynchospora were present in below ground samples during all months of the study. Nymphaea below ground biomass averaged $484.09 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ during the three months of flooded conditions compared to $262.60 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ through the drawdown period. Eleocharis averaged 38.20 and 14.05 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ during flooded and non-flooded conditions, respectively. Average flooded period Rhynchospora below ground biomass was $152.44 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ compared to $77.37 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ during the drawdown period. Amphicarpum was present in below ground samples from October 1990 through December 1991 averaging $5.62 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. No significant differences were found in Nymphaea ( $p=0.08$ ) or Amphicarpum ( $p=0.10$ ) below ground biomass. Eleocharis ( $p=0.01$ ) and Rhynchospora ( $p=0.001$ ) was significantly greater at the start of the study (flooded) compared to the end (nonflooded).

## Net Above Ground Primary Productivity

Net above ground primary productivity was variable throughout the study period (Figure 8). Values alternated between positive production and no net production with 8 of 21 months showing no net production. Decomposition of above ground live biomass ranged from approximately 20\% to 30\%


Figure 8. Mean above ground primary productivity (dashed line includes decomposition).
between sampling dates. Above ground dead decomposition ranged from approximately 1 to 13\%.

## Soil Characterization

The peat at Hopkins Prairie was approximately 47-54 \% C, 2-3 \% N and $0.01-0.02 \% \mathrm{P}$ in the top 60 cm (Table 3). Ratios of $C, N$ and $P$ in the top 10 cm of soil were $14(C / N), 1983$ ( $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{P}$ ) and 146 (N/P). Soil pH ranged from 4.6-5.2 and bulk density $0.13-0.14 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$.

## Soil Available Nitrogen and Phosphorus

Total water extractable $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$averaged $113 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ from May through August reaching its maximum of $152 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ in September then decreasing to $46 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ by December (Figure 9, Appendix G). Water extractable $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$increased during the study period from $0.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ in May to $22 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ in June and continued to increase to a maximum of $190 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ in December. Maximum $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ was in the top 10 cm in December. Maximum water extractable SRP was $23 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ in May. From June through December SRP ranged from 2 to $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Exchangeable $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$as KCl extractable averaged $130 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ from May to September, then decreased to $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ in December (Figure 10, Appendix H). Potassium chloride extractable $S R P$ was $13 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ in May and ranged from 1.1 to $2.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ June through September. In October, KCl extractable SRP decreased, averaging $0.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ from October to December.

Table 3. Characterization of soil at Hopkins Prairie study site (data in top table from D.A. Graetz, University of Florida).

| Depth <br> cm | pH | C <br> q | TN <br> \% | TP <br> \% | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-10$ | 4.6 | 47.5 | 3.49 | 0.024 | 14 | 1983 | 146 |
| $10-20$ | 5.0 | 49.7 | 3.14 | 0.013 | 16 | 3737 | 236 |
| $20-60$ | 5.2 | 53.5 | 2.38 | 0.006 | 22 | 9727 | 433 |



Figure 9. Mean $(n=6)$ water extractable ammonium nitrogen (NH4-N), nitrate nitrogen (NO3-N) and soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP).


Figure 10. Mean ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) potassium chloride (KCl) extractable ammonium nitrogen ( $\mathrm{NH} 4-\mathrm{N}$ ) and soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP).

Available $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$as water extractable plus KCl extractable in the top 10 cm of soil was $71.10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ when flooded and $25.92 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ at the end of the non-flooded sampling period (Figure 11). Ammonium values from October through December were significantly lower than the maximum in July ( $p=0.003$ ). Available $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ was lowest when flooded increasing significantly from 0.26 to $123.90 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ through the study ( $p=0.001$ ). Total available N as $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$plus $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$in the top 10 cm ranged from 71 to $149 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Figure 12). Nitrogen was significantly lower during May compared to the end of the study period ( $p=0.06$ ). Ammonium availability was greater than $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ from May through September; $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$was greater from October through December. Total available SRP was $18.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ in May then showed a significant drop to $2.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ in June ( $p=0.001$ ) and remained less than $1.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ through the remainder of the study.

## Plant Tissue Nitrogen and Phosphorus

Tissue N content averaged $20.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ in above ground live biomass and $13.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ in below ground ( $0-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) biomass (Figure 13, Appendix I). Above and below ground tissue $P$ averaged 0.5 and $0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$, respectively (Figure 14). Maximum $N$ and $P$ content in above ground tissues was in September. Tissue N and P in below ground biomass was greatest in the top 10 cm in all months. No significant differences were found in tissue content between flooded and non-flooded conditions ( $p>0.13$ ).


Figure 11. Mean ( $n=6$ ) available nitrogen as water extractable plus potassium chloride (KCl) extractable ammonium nitrogen (NH4-N) and water extractable nitrate nitrogen (NO3-N). Means with same letter are not significantly different.


Figure 12. Mean ( $n=6$ ) available nitrogen and phosphorus as water extractable ammonium nitrogen (NH4-N), nitrate nitrogen (NO3-N) and soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) plus potassium chloride (KCl) extractable $\mathrm{NH} 4-\mathrm{N}$ and $\operatorname{SRP}$ in the top 10 cm of soil. Means with same letter are not significantly different.
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Figure 13. Mean ( $n=6$ ) above and below ground plant tissue nitrogen content. Means with same letter are not significantly different.


Figure 14. Mean ( $n=6$ ) above and below ground plant tissue phosphorus content. Means with same letter are not significantly different.

Above ground biomass increased and below ground biomass decreased through the study period (Figure 15). Below ground total biomass averaged 35 times greater than above ground biomass. The total amount of $N$ and $P$ in plant biomass (as tissue concentration multiplied by plant biomass) was greatest below ground in all months, averaging 22 times more $N$ and 18 more $P$ than in above ground biomass (Figures 16 and 17). Biomass N and P was highest in below ground $0-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ biomass. Linear regression analyses of available $N$ or $P\left(m g / m^{2}\right)$ with total biomass (above and below ground) $N$ or $P\left(m g / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ revealed several significant correlations (Appendix J). Plant biomass $N$ was negatively correlated ( $r^{2}=0.57$ ) with available $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-} \quad(p=0.05)$. Biomass P was positively correlated ( $r^{2}=0.72$ ) with available $P(p=0.05)$. Biomass $N$ and $P$ were highest when available $N$ was low and available $P$ was high. These correlations were calculated from monthly means ( $n=6$ ). Correlations using all observations ( $n=48$ ) revealed no significant linear regressions, caution is therefore recommended in interpreting the plots.

Ratio of $N: P$ available in the soil increased from 4:1 in May to 44:1 in June then ranged from 92:1 to 633:1 through the non-flooded period (Table 4). Plant tissue $N: P$ in above ground live tissues ranged from 35:1 to 58:1 through the entire study period and below ground from 11:1 to 15:1.


Figure 15. Mean ( $n=6$ ) above and below ground plant biomass and shoot:root ratios (Note difference in $y$-scales).


Figure 16. Mean ( $n=6$ ) above and below ground mass tissue nitrogen (tissue content times biomass).


Figure 17. Mean ( $n=6$ ) above and below ground mass tissue phosphorus (tissue content times biomass).

Table 4. Nitrogen:phosphorus ratios in soil and plant tissues at Hopkins Prairie.

| Ratio | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Available N:P | 4 | 44 | 633 | 142 | 97 | 92 | 538 | 258 |
| Tissue N: P |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Above ground live | 35 | 41 | 49 | 58 | 39 | 43 | 54 | 42 |
| Below ground O-10 cm | 14 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 11 | 14 | 12 | 11 |
| Mass N:P (Whole plant) | 98 | 94 | 107 | 103 | 64 | 58 | 58 | 44 |

DISCUSSION

## Hydrology

Hopkins Prairie is situated in a closed basin. Hydrology on the prairie is controlled by climate and hydrogeology. Ground water seepage from the surrounding uplands and direct precipitation are the primary inflows. Water level at the study site has fluctuated between flooded and drawdown conditions on a 2 to 3 year cycle over the past 11 years. During this study the site shifted from flooded to drained conditions. Sampling was conducted during the last 3 months of an approximately 3 year flooded cycle followed by 19 months of drawdown conditions. Soil moisture content remained high following drawdown due to the high water holding capacity of the peat soil.

## Plant Community Dynamics

Above ground. Five species (Nymphaea odorata, Eleocharis elongata, Eriocaulon compressum, Rhynchospora inundata and Amphicarpum muhlenbergianum) occurred under both flooded and non-flooded conditions. Under flooded conditions the site was dominated by the aquatic species Nymphaea, Eleocharis and Eriocaulon. Two emergent species, Rhynchospora and Amphicarpum appeared in the last month of flooding. Immediately following drawdown relative dominance shifted to Rhynchospora and Nymphaea. After only one month of non-
flooded conditions, Nymphaea dropped out of dominance and the site became dominated by Eriocaulon, Rhynchospora and Amphicarpum. Nymphaea is a floating-leaved aquatic species which requires standing water. Eleocharis is a sedge adapted to growing in standing water or on exposed soil but seemed to favor flooded conditions. Eriocaulon showed the greatest ecological amplitude growing well under both flooded and nonflooded conditions. Rhynchospora (a sedge) and Amphicarpum (a grass) grew best under non-flooded conditions. Drawdown occurred during the spring growing season. Rhynchospora which flowers in the spring and summer, showed peak growth immediately after drawdown in 1990 and again in the spring and summer of 1991. Amphicarpum flowers in the fall and became well established during the first fall of non-flooded conditions. After 16 months of non-flooded conditions, the aquatic community had been replaced by a sedge/grass community dominated by Rhynchospora and Amphicarpum.

Secondary succession is a progression toward higher species richness and diversity (Odum 1963). Species richness increased through the drawdown period as secondary succession was initiated on the newly exposed soil. seeds in a persistent seed bank (mainly Rhynchospora) and seeds possibly transported to the site from outside sources germinated under favorable drawdown conditions. van der valk (1981) describes a "sieve" of environmental factors which change with shifts in hydrology affecting establishment and extirpation of wetland
species. Species richness increased; however, maximum and minimum Shannon-Wiener diversity occurred during the nonflooded period. Fluctuations in the species diversity index was likely due to dominance by a few species during most months. January 1991 had the highest species richness value but the lowest diversity when $92 \%$ of the biomass was Eriocaulon. In contrast, July 1991 had the highest diversity with each of seven species accounting for no more than $30 \%$ of the total. Species richness is a good measure for comparing ecosystems while species diversity gives information on dominance (Colinvaux 1986).

Net above ground primary productivity was variable without obvious trends. Hopkins Prairie primary productivity was low compared to other freshwater wetlands (Clough and Best 1991). The oligotrophic nature of the prairie and the potentially limiting effects of low available phosphorus may contribute to the low productivity observed during the study. The variability of productivity measurements may be due to the clumped distribution of species present at the study site. Replicate samples of six may not have been large enough to adequately represent standing crop each month. Although production measurements were variable, the shift in relative species abundances was clearly observable.

Other studies have described similar shifts in above ground species dominance following changes between inundation and drawdown (Bolen et al. 1989, Duever 1982, Gopal 1986,

Laclaire and Franz 1990). Oscillatory hydrology in wet prairies in the Okefenokee Swamp of Georgia resulted in two distinct suites of plant species (Greening and Gerritsen 1987, Gerritsen and Greening 1989). Aquatic species such as Nymphaea odorata and Nuphar luteum dominated under flooded conditions and emergent species, primarily Rhynchospora inundata, dominated during drawdown periods. The cyclic shift from flooded to non-flooded conditions at Hopkins Prairie resulted in a similar replacement. Presumably, reflooding will occur and conditions will again be favorable for reestablishment of the aquatic community. The filamentous algae community may also become reestablished. A sample of the dry mat of algae on the soil surface during the nonflooded period was collected and submerged as a qualitative laboratory experiment. Viable spores in the mat regenerated suggesting that the filamentous algae community may reoccupy the water column upon reflooding.

Below ground. Below ground dynamics at Hopkins Prairie revealed a primary role in maintenance of the plant community and persistence of species under fluctuating environmental conditions. Nymphaea and Eleocharis which dropped out of above ground dominance persisted below ground as live rhizomes throughout the drawdown period. Similarly, Rhynchospora which favored non-flooded conditions was present below ground during the flooded period. The exception to this was Amphicarpum which did not become well established below ground until after
drawdown when it germinated from seeds. Rhynchospora (numerous in the seed bank) germinated from seeds initially then through vegetative expansion from rhizomes after the growing season. The high moisture content (88-89\%) of the soil during the non-flooded period allowed rhizomes of the aquatic species to survive in the absence of standing water. Grime (1979) describes a plant community type adapted to periodic disturbance in which the disturbance does not completely destroy existing plants. Vegetative structures and propagules (rhizomes, bulbs, tubers etc.) persist below ground, species regenerate through vegetative expansion or germination of seeds in a persistent seed bank. Vegetative expansion allows regeneration from a parent plant producing genetically "successful" individuals with low risk of mortality due to connection to the parent plant. However, reaction to severe disturbance may be catastrophic since all individuals are similar and in close proximity. Regeneration from a seed bank allows establishment of numerous independent individuals dispersed from the parent plant. Persistent seed banks are common in habitats with intermittent disturbance such as fire or flooding (Grime 1979, Gopal 1986). Seeds remain dormant in the soil until environmental conditions become favorable for germination. Possessing both strategies may widen the range of conditions in which a species can persist and is particularly advantageous under fluctuating environmental conditions.

Life history characteristics of species present under flooded and non-flooded conditions at Hopkins Prairie shows some similarities in regeneration strategies (Table 5). Reed (1988) assigns a wetland indicator category to wetland plant species based on their association with wetland habitats. Obligate wetland species (OBL) have greater than 99\% probability of occurring under natural conditions in wetlands; facultative wetland (FACW) 67-99\%; facultative (FAC) 34-66\%; facultative upland (FACU) 1-33\%; and obligate upiand (UPL) less than $1 \%$. All of the listed species with the exception of Amphicarpum are obligate wetland plants. There is a trend of decreasing flood tolerance from Nymphaea which requires standing water to germinate and grow to Amphicarpum requiring drawdown conditions. Eleocharis, Eriocaulon and Rhynchospora show greater adaptability to fluctuating hydrology. All 5 species are perennials with vegetative expansion and seed reproduction strategies. Perenniating below ground rhizomes and a persistent seed bank allow rapid response to changes in water level. Nymphaea and Eleocharis surviving the drawdown but moist soil conditions can become reestablished above ground upon reflooding. Less flood tolerant species such as Rhynchospora and Amphicarpum would decrease following reflooding until the next non-flooded cycle.

Restocking the seed bank is important to allow this cycle to continue. Flooding induced Rhynchospora inundata to flower and seed in the Okefenokee Swamp (Gerritsen and Greening

Table 5. Selected life history characteristics of five plant species occurring at Hopkins Prairie. Obligate wetland species (OBL) have greater than $99 \%$ probability of occurring under natural conditions in wetlands and facultative wetland species (FACW) have between 67-99\% probability (Reed 1988).

| Species | Wetland Index | Habit | Duration | Reproduction | Germination | Growing Season |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nymphaea odorata | OBL | Floatingleaved | Perennial | Vegetative Seed | Flooded | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spring- } \\ & \text { Fall } \end{aligned}$ |
| Eleocharis <br> elongata | OBL | Submersed Emersed Moist soil | Perennial | Vegetative Seed | Flooded Drawdown | SpringSummer |
| Eriocaulon compressum | OBL | Submersed Emersed Moist soil | Perennial | Vegetative Seed | Flooded Drawdown | SpringSummer |
| Rhynchospora inundata | OBL | Emersed <br> Moist soil | Perennial | Vegetative Seed | Flooded Drawdown | SpringSummer |
| Amphicarpum muhlenbergianum | FACW | Moist soil | Perennial | Vegetative Seed | Drawdown | Fall |

1989). This strategy insures restocking of the seed bank. Proximity to external seed sources plays a role in species richness and diversity during succession. All species which invaded the site during the non-flooded period are found elsewhere on the prairie in areas with favorable growing conditions.
van der Valk Model Applied to Predictions
van der Valk (1981) developed a qualitative model of plant succession in wetlands experiencing shifts between flooded and non-flooded conditions. By examining three life history traits, life span, propagule longevity and propagule establishment requirements, each species can be given a life history type. Twelve life history types were identified with predicted presence or absence under fluctuating hydrology. Determining the life history type of species present as adults or in the seed bank under one hydrologic state allows predictions of presence (as adults or in the seed bank) or extirpation under the alternate hydrologic state. The model was applied to the five dominant species present under both flooded and non-flooded conditions in Hopkins Prairie, and a prediction of species composition under reflooding was postulated.

Each species was classified according to life history type (Table 6) as defined by Gerritsen and Greening (1989), Godfrey and Wooten (1979) and van der Valk (1981). Nymphaea

Table 6. Life history type of 5 dominant species at Hopkins Prairie based on van der Valk (1981) model of succession in wetlands. ( $V=$ vegetatively reproducing perennial; $S=$ longlived; Type $I=$ drawdown, Type $I I=$ inundation).

| Species Li | Life Span | Propagule <br> Longevity | Propagule Establishment Requirements | $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nymphaea odorata | V | S | Type II | VSII |
| Eleocharis elongata | v | S | Type I/II | VSI/II |
| Eriocaulon compressum | V | $s$ | Type I/II | VSI/II |
| Rhynchospora inundata | V | $s$ | Type I | VSI |
| Amphicarpum muhlenbergianum | $m \quad \mathrm{~V}$ | S | Type I | VSI |

(Type VSII) is a vegetatively reproducing perennial (V) with long-lived seeds and vegetative propagules (S) requiring inundation for germination/regeneration (Type II). Eleocharis and Eriocaulon are types VSII or VSI germinating under both drawdown and inundated conditions. Rhynchospora and Amphicarpum are VSI species germinating under drawdown conditions only.
van der Valk's (1981) schematic representation of life history types under fluctuating water levels predicts that VSII species (the aquatic species at Hopkins Prairie) are present above ground as adults under flooded conditions; where as, VSI species (the emergent species) are present as adults under drawdown conditions (Figure 18). Solid lines indicate changes within the hydrologic state, dashed lines changes between states. During unfavorable conditions both types retreat to the seed bank or vegetative propagules but never become extinct. Type VSII species retreat under drawdown conditions and VSI under flooded. When conditions become favorable, each type regenerates above ground. Species changes during this study agree with predictions based on this model. Under flooded conditions Nymphaea, Eleocharis and Eriocaulon (VSII species) were present as adults and propagules. Following drawdown, Rhynchospora and Amphicarpum came into the site as adults from the seed bank and vegetative propagules present during the flooded period. Type VSII are expected to retreat to propagules during drawdown; Nymphaea

## VSII



VSI

e
e (extinct)

Figure 18. Predicted presence/ absence of wetland plant life history types under fluctuating hydrology (van der Valk 1981). VSI=vegetatively reproducing perennial (V), long-lived seeds (S), germinating during drawdown (I); VSII=germinating when flooded (II).
did disappear for the most part as adults above ground. However, Eleocharis and Eriocaulon as VSI or VSII species remained as adults under both flooding and drawdown. With reflooding, the predicted change would be reestablishment of VSII species regenerating from persistent propagules and a reduction of VSI species resulting in the postulated cyclic replacement of dominant species.

## Cyclic Succession or Oscillatory Fluctuations

Repeated cycles of flooding and drawdown are a "normal" component of many ecosystems (Gopal 1986). The resulting vegetational changes have been termed "cyclic succession" or "cyclic replacement" in which a disturbance shifts the plant community to an upgrade or downgrade stage of succession (Smith 1974). Succession proceeds from that point until the disturbance recurs. These plant community changes may be "oscillatory fluctuations" which are not successional (Rabotnov 1974). Succession may occur at the same time; however, oscillatory fluctuations occur when well established sets of species repeatedly replace each other as environmental conditions change. A "cyclic or transient climax" may result which is dynamic but stable overall (Ricklefs 1979). Hopkins Prairie has experienced repeated 2 to 3 year cycles of flooded and non-flooded conditions (Figure 2). The shift from the aquatic plant community to the sedge/grass community is presumably cyclic. Succession was clearly operating on the
exposed soil following drawdown at the same that species dominance shifted. Reflooding would cycle conditions back to favor the persistent aquatic species. Stresses induced by flooding in addition to competition with established aquatic species may limit invasion by successional species. Both cyclic succession and oscillatory fluctuations seem to be operating at Hopkins Prairie resulting in a dynamic but stable plant community. During the non-flooded cycle, secondary succession and regeneration proceed while flooding favors regeneration of persistent species. Shifts in species dominance above ground are reversible cycling back and forth between flooded and non-flooded conditions. The persistent below ground plant community provides stability under the fluctuating environmental conditions.

## Soil Characterization

The C/N/P (Carbon/nitrogen/phosphorus) ratio of soil organic matter controls decomposer activity in soils (Paul and Clark 1989). Many systems are P limited due to high relative C and N contents. Redfield (1958) suggests that C/N/P ratios of organisms parallels that in the environment. He suggested an optimum ratio of 105:15:1 for non limiting growth in phytoplankton. Ratios above this optimum results in net immobilization and below in net release. Therefore, $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ ratios above 7 and $C / P$ ratios above 105 would result in immobilization and possible limits of $N$ or $P$. The N/P optimum
ratio of 15 would also lead to immobilization/release of $P$. Hopkins Prairie $C / N$ ratio was slightly higher than the Redfield ratio suggesting that sufficient substrate (C) and $N$ are available for microbial activity with net release occurring during decomposition. The extremely high $C / P$ and $N / P$ ratios however, suggest that $P$ may be limiting in the soil, particularly during the non-flooded period when $P$ was less available.

## Soil Available Nitrogen and Phosphorus

Nitrogen was present only as $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$in May when flood water was present. The absence of $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ indicates that nitrification processes were insignificant under anaerobic flooded conditions. Increased aeration of the soil following drawdown increased nitrification resulting in higher $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$concentration. The increase in $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$did not reduce $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$levels during the beginning of the non-flooded period as mineralization release of $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$and diffusion toward zones of nitrification replaced oxidized $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$. The water table drop in october which increased aerobic conditions in the soil profile resulted in higher nitrification as seen by the dramatic increase in $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ and reduction in $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$(mainly KCl extractable). Concurrent research on biogeochemistry adjacent to the study site indicated that nitrification-denitrification processes were active under non-flooded conditions resulting in $N$ loss from the system (Graetz 1991). Available $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$increased
slightly through the study with a shift in the dominant species from $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$when flooded to $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$through the non-flooded period. Since many plants are able to utilize both $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$, the shift in relative concentrations would not limit N availability. However, $N$ limitation could occur if plants preferentially uptake $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$. The $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio of the soil and the high N/P availability ratio suggest that $N$ is not limiting. Available $P$ was greatest under flooded conditions and decreased when the water table fell below the soil surface. Slower decomposition under anaerobic conditions (flooded) decreased microbial immobilization of limited $P$ resulting in higher availability due to the low mineral content of the soil. Release of soluble phosphates under anaerobic conditions was not likely important in the peat soil. Increased decomposition with drawdown releases P. However, in a P limited system, biological immobilization would quickly bind the relatively small amount of $P$ mineralized from the high C/P ratio materials. The high N/P ratio of available forms which increased following drawdown, also suggests that demand would exceed supply of $P$, particularly under nonflooded conditions when mineralization is slowed. Following drawdown, $P$ was reduced and may have become limiting. Nitrogen was high during flooding and seemed not to be limiting during drawdown when $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$became the dominant species.

A filamentous algae community is present in the water column under flooded conditions. Blue-green algae if present
could provide additional $N$. input through biological $N_{2}$ fixation. Interestingly, the algae forms a dry crust on the soil surface following drawdown. Viable spores persist in the crust during non-flooded periods allowing regeneration upon reflooding. Over time sufficient $N$ supplies would build up in the prairie while the limited $P$ input may lead to the high C/P and $N / P$ ratios seen in the soil and plants.

## Plant Tissue Nitrogen and Phosphorus

Nitrogen and $P$ concentrations were slightly greater in above ground tissues than below ground throughout the study. Above ground biomass increased and below ground decreased. The replacement of plant species following drawdown occurred during the spring growing season favoring above ground production. Reduced below ground biomass likely resulted from lower Nymphaea odorata growth (an aquatic species with large below ground rhizomes). The large below ground biomass compared to above ground resulted in a much greater storage of $N$ and $P$ in below ground tissues. This may be important in providing nutrients for regeneration of each suite of species under cyclic hydrology. For example, Nymphaea remaining in live rhizomes during the non-flooded period contained $N$ and $P$ reserves which could facilitate regenerate upon reflooding. Increased decomposition during drawdown would be expected to produce a flush of inorganic nutrients in the soil upon reflooding further aiding regeneration.

Increased nutrient availability in soil may increase plant uptake and storage (Gerritsen and Greening 1989, Shaver and Melillo 1984, Tilman 1988). Higher biomass, shoot:root ratios and seedling growth may also result from higher nutrient availability (Reddy and Portier 1987)

Phosphorus in plant biomass was highest at the start of the study period when flooding resulted in maximum $P$ concentration in the soil. Since microbial activity was likely controlling $P$, reduced decomposition under flooded conditions resulted in higher P availability. Availability of $P$ decreased rapidly following drawdown, biomass $P$ also decreased. This suggests that $P$ was limited during the nonflooded period. Upon reflooding, increased availability of $P$ would likely increase plant uptake and storage. Biomass N was highest when $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$were lowest. The increase in N availability did not result in higher biomass N suggesting that N was not limiting.

CONCLUSIONS

Water level at Hopkins Prairie fluctuates between flooding and drawdown on a 2 to 3 year cycle. During this study water level shifted from flooded to non-flooded conditions. When standing water was present, the above ground plant community was dominated by Nymphaea odorata, Eleocharis elongata and Eriocaulon compressum. One month after drawdown, dominance shifted to Eriocaulon, Rhynchospora inundata and

Amphicarpum muhlenbergianum. After 16 months of drawdown conditions the aquatic plant community had been replaced by a sedge/grass community dominated by Rhynchospora and Amphicarpum. Establishment of Rhynchospora following drawdown was from seeds in a persistent seed bank and vegetative expansion of rhizomes, Amphicarpum germinated from seeds. Live rhizomes of Nymphaea and Eleocharis persisted below ground throughout the non-flooded period. Presumably, reflooding will occur and conditions will shift back to favor the aquatic species. Perenniating below ground rhizomes will allow regeneration of Nymphaea and Eleocharis when flooding returns. Predictions of changes upon reflooding were supported by van der Valk's (1981) model of succession in wetlands. Gopal (1986) suggests that repeated cycles of flooding and drawdown are a "normal" component of many ecosystems. Cyclic replacement of two distinct suites of species is common in systems with repeated shifts in hydrology. Rhizomes and a persistent seed bank provide a reservoir for regeneration of each set of species resulting in a dynamic above ground plant community but a more stable below ground community. Hydrology induces reversible shifts in species dominance which are repeated with each recurring cycle in hydrology.

The shift from flooded to non-flooded conditions resulted in lower $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$and higher $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$in the soil due to increased nitrification under aerobic conditions. Nitrogen seemed not to be limited under flooded or non-flooded conditions though
the dominant N form shifted to $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$following drawdown. Phosphorus availability was reduced following drawdown primarily due to increased microbial uptake under aerobic conditions. Phosphorus seemed to be limiting during the nonflooded period as available $P$ was depleted.

Storage of $N$ and $P$ in perreniating below ground plant biomass provides a reservoir of nutrients. Persistent rhizomes of aquatic species dormant below ground during the non-flooded period can utilize this reservoir to regenerate when reflooding occurs. Reflooding would reduce nitrification increasing $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$. Available P would increase as anaerobic conditions reduced decomposition, decreasing P immobilization. This flush of nutrients with reflooding would also aid regeneration of aquatic species.

APPENDIX A<br>FIELD AND LABORATORY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

## Sampling Design

A 10 m by 18 m study plot was established within a plant community typical of the lower elevation areas in Hopkins Prairie. The plot was divided into six subplots 10 m by 3 m . Each subplot was further divided into 30 sampling sites $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Sampling was conducted monthly. Measurements were collected in replicates of six by sampling one randomly chosen $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ site within each subplot. Water level measurements were recorded. Above ground biomass and below ground biomass samples to -10 $\mathrm{cm}(0-10 \mathrm{~cm})$ depth were collected within a 25 cm by 25 cm sampling frame. Below ground biomass samples to $-30 \mathrm{~cm}(10-20$ and $20-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) were collected using a 10.16 cm diameter PVC core. Soil samples to $-30 \mathrm{~cm}(0-10,10-20$ and $20-30)$ were collected using a 6.35 cm acrylic core. Nitrogen (N) and Phosphorus (P) analyses were conducted using standard methods (APHA 1989, ASA 1982 and EPA 1979). Biomass (separated by species) and soil were separated into the following categories:

Biomass
Above ground-live Above ground-dead Below ground $0-10 \mathrm{~cm} \quad 0-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ Below ground $10-20 \mathrm{~cm} \quad 10-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ Below ground $20-30 \mathrm{~cm} \quad 20-30 \mathrm{~cm}$

Water Level Measurements

Flooded Conditions
a. Record distance from soil surface to water surface (cm) at each subplot sample site.
a. Record distance from soil surface to water table (cm) within hole created by soil core (see below) at each subplot sample site. Allow at least 15 minutes equilibration time following soil core removal).

Above and Below ground Biomass

## Field Methods

## Above ground and below ground $0-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ biomass

a. At each sample site, place 25 cm by 25 cm metal sample frame (Center for Wetlands -CFWmacroinvertebrate sampler) 10 cm deep into soil in center of $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Bars on outside of sample frame denote 10 cm .
b. If standing water is present, record water depth as described above then remove water from inside sampling frame with hand pump.
c. Record percent cover as described below.
d. With a machete, cut vertically into soil (at least 10 cm deep) along inside four sides of sample frame.
e. If no standing water is present, sample frame can be removed at this point.
f. Excavate by hand all above and below ground material within the sample frame to a depth of 10 cm , avoid disturbing soil surface.
g. Place sample on flat surface for removal of above ground plant biomass.
h. Use scissors to collect live and dead shoot materials.
i. Remove any soil material from shoot samples to avoid contamination with soil N and P .
j. Place samples in labeled \#1 brown paper bags separated by species and live and dead tissues.
k. Collect dried periphyton layer (drained conditions) in labeled \#1 paper bag.

1. Place remainder of sample in labeled plastic bag for transport to Lab. After processing this sample will represent below ground $0-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ biomass.

Below ground $10-20$ and $20-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ biomass
a. Insert labeled 10.16 cm diameter PVC core into soil to a depth of 20 cm within the hole created by the 010 cm sample. The bevelled edge facilitates insertion and provides a means of identification of sample top and bottom.
b. Place core in plastic bag for transport to Lab. This sample is split during processing and will represent below ground $10-20$ and $20-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ biomass.
c. Insert flag into soil at sample site to prevent resampling at later date.

## Laboratory Methods

## Above ground biomass

a. Oven dry bagged samples (live and dead shoots and periphyton) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $72 \mathrm{hrs}$.
b. Remove samples from oven, allow to cool 2-3 min then record dry weight to nearest 0.001 g (dry weights will be used for biomass calculations).
c. Save samples for $N$ and $P$ analysis (see below).

Below ground biomass
a. Sieve $0-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ sample through U.S. Standard no. 14 sieve collecting all roots greater than 0.5 cm diameter.
b. Place collected roots in labeled paper bags separated by species.
c. Homogenize sieved sample by mixing and breaking apart clumps of biomass.
d. Quarter homogenized sample using CFW macroinvertebrate sample splitter.
e. Divide one quarter in half by weight.
f. Retain one half (one eighth of total sample) for root biomass measurement, store remainder of sample in refrigerator.
g. Place one eighth sample in tray of water for picking.
h . Use forceps to remove all roots until a mixture of fine roots and organic soil remains (Be consistent with effort spent on each sample).
i. Place roots in labeled paper bags separated by species and including a general category for unidentifiable roots.
j. Oven dry and weigh all bagged samples as described above ( a - c )

## Percent Cover

## Field Methods

a. Visually estimate percent cover of each species (live shoots) within the sample frame.
b. Categories include $0,<1,1,3,5$ and intervals of 5 to 100\%.

Plant Tissue Nitrogen and Phosphorus

## Laboratory methods

a. Grind all plant tissue samples (above and below ground) in Whiley mill combining all species in each category, ie., above ground live, above ground dead, etc.
b. Place 0.100 g of ground plant material into labeled digestion tubes.
c. Add 0.5 g Sel-dahl digestion powder (copper sulfate, potassium sulfate mixture) and 5 ml concentrated sulfuric acid ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ).
d. Place tubes on block digester with glass funnels on each tube.
e. Digest samples at $380^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5-7 \mathrm{hrs}$.
f. Allow samples to cool before removing from block.
g. Add deionized water to tubes to 50 ml total volume.
h. Vortex completely and pour aliquot into labeled 20 ml scintillation vial, retain excess samples to be handled according to hazardous waste procedures (corrosive containing Cu and Se ).
i. Determine total kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) and total phosphorus (TP) content on Technicon Autoanalyzer II using methods EPA 351.2 (EPA 1979) and APHA 4500-P-F (APHA 1989), respectively.
$j$. Report $N$ and $P$ content per dry weight of plant biomass ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ )

Soil Nitrogen and Phosphorus

Sequential extraction with water and potassium chloride.

## Field methods

a. Expose soil surface by removing above ground material (live and dead shoots and periphyton) from approximately $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ area directly adjacent to sampling frame.
b. Insert 6.35 cm diameter ( 2.5 in ) acrylic core $30-35$ cm deep into soil using a wooden 2 by 4 as a hammer. If soil compaction occurs, remove core from ground and repeat in other location.
c. While core is in ground, place no. 13.5 rubber stopper into top to provide suction with core removal.
d. To assist retention of soil in core, tilt core to approximately $45^{\circ}$ angle and remove from ground.
e. Place no. 12 stopper inside core at bottom to serve as plunger for sample extrusion.
f. Place push rod ( 1.5 in PVC) with attached meter stick on no. 12 stopper and push soil upward inside core until original soil surface is even with top of soil core.
g. Note measurement on meter stick then extrude 10 cm of soil into labeled zip lock plastic bag.
h. This sample represents $0-10 \mathrm{~cm}$.
i. Repeat step $g$ above to collect $10-20$ and $20-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ samples.
j. Remove air from bags, seal and place on ice for transport to Lab (minimize time from sample collection to placing on ice, do not set in direct sunlight for extended period).

## Laboratory methods

a. Perform sequential extraction within 7 days of sample collection (preferably the following day).
b. Record total wet weight of sample to nearest 0.1 g (adjusted for weight of plastic bag) for bulk density calculations.
c. Homogenize sample by thoroughly mixing in bag.
d. Place 3.00 g of fresh sample in labeled 40 ml centrifuge tube.
e. Place additional portion of fresh sample in aluminum tray for moisture content determination by recording initial wet weight and oven dried weight after drying 72 hrs at $70^{\circ}$.
f. Retain oven dried sample in labelled brown bag for future reference.
g. Add 12.0 ml of deionized water (water extractant) to centrifuge tube.
h. Shake on mechanical shaker for 1 hr .
i. Centrifuge at 6000 rpm for 18 min .
j. Filter through 0.47 um membrane filter paper (Gelman \#60173) into labeled 20 ml scintillation vial. Retain culture tube with soil sample for sequential KCl extraction.
$k$. Acidify with 1 drop of purified concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.

1. Store in refrigerator for analysis.
m . Add 20.0 ml of 1.0 N KCl extract solution.
n. Repeat steps $\mathrm{h}-1$.
o. Determine ammonium nitrogen $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}-\mathrm{N}\right)$, nitrate plus nitrite nitrogen $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)$ and soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) content in samples on Technicon Autoanalyzer II using methods EPA 351.2 (undigested) $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}-\mathrm{N}\right)$, APHA $4500-\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}-\mathrm{F} \quad\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}-\mathrm{N}\right)$ and APHA 4500-P-F (SRP).
p. Report $N$ and $P$ content per unit volume of soil (bulk density basis).

Water Column Nitrogen and Phosphorus

## Field Methods

a. Collect one 125 ml sample from within each subplot in an area of undisturbed soil surface and water column.
b. Place thumb over opening to sample bottle and insert to approximately mid-water column.
c. Remove thumb, allow sample bottle to fill.
d. Cap bottle and place on ice for transport to Lab. (minimize time from sample collection to placing on ice, do not set in direct sunlight for extended period).

## Laboratory Methods

a. Filter portion of original sample into labelled 20 scintillation vial through 0.45 um membrane filter paper (Gelman \#60173) for analysis of inorganic forms of $N$ and $P$.
b. Acidify with 1 drop of purified concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, store in refrigerator for analysis.
c. Analyze for $\mathrm{NH}_{4}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NO}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ and SRP as described in Soil $N$ and $P$ laboratory methods-o, above.
d. Digest 10 ml portion of original sample as described below for analysis of organic forms of $N$ and $P$.
e. Pipet 10 ml of sample into labelled digestion test tube.
f. Add 5 ml of total Kjeldahl digestion reagent (EPA method 351.2) and 2-3 boiling chips.
g. Place tubes on block digester, set temperature at $150^{\circ}$ C for 2-3 hrs (until volume is reduced to 5 ml or less).
h. Place glass funnels of tubes and digest 2-3 hrs at $375^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
i. Allow samples to cool before removing from block.
j. Add deionized water to tubes to 25 ml total volume.
k. Vortex completely and place in labeled scintillation vial, retain excess samples to be handled according to hazardous waste procedures (corrosive containing $\mathrm{Hg})$.

1. Determine $N$ and $P$ content of samples as described in plant tissue nitrogen and phosphorus laboratory methods-i, above.
m . Report N and P content per volume of water (mg/L)

## APPENDIX B <br> MONTHLY WATER LEVEL

| Year | Month | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\mathrm{Subpl}_{4}$ | \# 5 | 6 | Mean | S.D. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | MAR | 26.5 | - - - - Water depth (cm) - - - - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | APR | 17.0 | 15.5 | 14.0 | 9.8 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 14.32 | 2.43 |
|  | MAY | 5.0 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 9.6 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 5.43 | 3.21 |
|  | JUN | -9.0 | -10.0 | -11.5 | -13.5 | -15.5 | -14.0 | -12.25 | 2.50 |
|  | JUL | -5.5 | -9.0 | -8.0 | -7.0 | -9.0 | -8.5 | -7.83 | 1.37 |
|  | AUG | -22.0 | -13.5 | -16.0 | -14.0 | -16.5 | -15.0 | -16.17 | 3.08 |
|  | SEP | -14.5 | -15.5 | -18.8 | -17.5 | -18.5 | -20.5 | -17.55 | 2.22 |
|  | OCT | -10.0 | -12.0 | -10.0 | -11.0 | -12.5 | -15.0 | -11.75 | 1.89 |
|  | NOV | -31.0 | -34.0 | -30.0 | -31.0 | -30.0 | -36.0 | -32.00 | 2.45 |
|  | DEC | -35.5 | -39.0 | -35.5 | -40.0 | -40.0 | -44.0 | -39.00 | 3.21 |
| 1991 | JAN | -43.0 | -41.0 | -44.0 | -41.0 | -48.0 | -47.0 | -44.00 | 2.97 |
|  | FEB | -47.0 | -47.5 | -47.0 | -46.0 | -43.0 | -50.0 | -46.75 | 2.27 |
|  | MAR | 19.6 | -20.0 | -20.5 | -21.1 | -27.3 | -20.9 | -15.03 | 17.18 |
|  | A/M | -38.5 | -41.0 | -39.0 | -39.0 | -39.0 | -41.0 | -39.58 | 1.11 |
|  | JUN | -13.5 | -11.0 | -14.5 | -15.5 | -13.0 |  | -13.50 | 1.70 |
|  | JUL | -21.5 | -23.5 | -23.5 | -27.0 | -24.0 | -29.0 | -24.75 | 2.73 |
|  | AUG | -17.0 | -18.0 | -17.5 | -17.0 | -21.0 | -22.5 | -18.83 | 2.34 |
|  | SEP | -32.0 | -31.0 | -30.0 | -37.0 | -34.0 | -34.0 | -33.00 | 2.53 |
|  | OCT | -14.0 | -18.0 | -17.0 | -17.5 | -18.0 | -20.0 | -17.42 | 1.96 |
|  | NOV | -33.0 | -32.0 | -37.0 | -35.0 | -37.0 | -46.5 | -36.75 | 5.19 |
|  | DEC | -42.0 | -42.8 | -44.7 | -45.1 | -43.5 | -50.5 | -44.77 | 3.04 |

# APPENDIX C <br> PLANT SPECIES PERCENT COVER 

| Species | Subplot no. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | - - | Perce | c | er | ) - | - - - | - |
| Nymphaea odorata | 1990 | M | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.40 | 0.89 |
|  |  | A | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 20 | 7.50 | 8.22 |
|  |  | M | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 5.00 | 7.75 |
|  |  | $J$ | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.00 | 4.00 |
|  |  | $J$ - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | S | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.83 | 2.04 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1991 | J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
|  |  | F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | M | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.41 |
|  |  | A/M | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | $s$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.41 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Eleocharis elongata | 1990 | M | 10 | 15 | 25 |  | 0 | 10 | 12.00 | 9.08 |
|  |  | A | 15 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 35 | 40 | 21.67 | 14.38 |
|  |  | M | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 4.50 | 1.22 |
|  |  | J | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.52 |
|  |  | J | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 5.17 | 5.31 |
|  |  | A | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1.50 | 2.07 |
|  |  | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 1.55 |
|  |  | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2.67 | 3.72 |
|  |  | N | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1.50 | 1.97 |
|  |  | D | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 3.67 | 3.39 |
|  | 1991 | J | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.39 |
|  |  | F | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.35 | 0.50 |
|  |  | M | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
|  |  | A/M | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.35 | 0.50 |
|  |  | J | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.52 |
|  |  | $J$ | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | A | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 2.00 |
|  |  | S | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.83 | 1.17 |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.00 | 1.10 |
|  |  | N | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.35 | 0.50 |
|  |  | D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.41 |
| Eriocaulon compressum | 1990 | M | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 50 | 20 | 14.00 | 21.91 |
|  |  | A | 40 | 10 | 25 | 30 | 5 | 1 | 18.50 | 15.48 |
|  |  | M | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 20 | 9.17 | 8.61 |


|  |  | J | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.33 | 0.52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | J | 10 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 4.17 | 4.92 |
|  |  | A | 1 | 40 | 20 | 30 | 20 | 4 | 19.17 | 14.92 |
|  |  | s | 20 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 7.50 | 9.87 |
|  |  | 0 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 10 | 25 | 30 | 16.17 | 13.86 |
|  |  | N | 80 | 40 | 50 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 34.33 | 28.47 |
|  |  | D | 2 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 40 | 25 | 15.00 | 16.02 |
|  | 1991 | J | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 15 | 6.17 | 6.94 |
|  |  | F | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2.17 | 1.33 |
|  |  | M | 0 | 5 | 25 | 1 | 5 | 25 | 10.17 | 11.67 |
|  |  | A/M | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 40 | 14.18 | 16.84 |
|  |  | J | 5 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 5.50 | 5.86 |
|  |  | J | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 50 | 5 | 11.33 | 19.28 |
|  |  | A | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 5.50 | 12.06 |
|  |  | $s$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.68 | 1.20 |
|  |  | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4.50 | 7.71 |
|  |  | N | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 7.33 | 16.05 |
|  |  | D | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1.00 | 1.10 |
| Rhynchospora | 1990 | M | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| inundata |  | A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | M | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.33 | 0.82 |
|  |  | J | 0 | 15 | 5 | 20 | 30 | 5 | 12.50 | 11.29 |
|  |  | J | 5 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 12.50 | 5.24 |
|  |  | A | 25 | 25 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 14.50 | 10.17 |
|  |  | s | 10 | 25 | 15 | 20 | 7 | 5 | 13.67 | 7.79 |
|  |  | 0 | 3 | 2 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 6.33 | 6.80 |
|  |  | N | 0 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 5.17 | 4.26 |
|  |  | D | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1991 | J | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.22 | 0.39 |
|  |  | F | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.39 |
|  |  | M | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1.35 | 1.35 |
|  |  | A/M | 3 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2.33 | 2.34 |
|  |  | J | 3 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3.50 | 3.62 |
|  |  | J | 40 | 2 | 50 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 15.68 | 22.94 |
|  |  | A | 50 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 11.18 | 19.37 |
|  |  | $s$ | 15 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5.17 | 6.18 |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 20 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5.83 | 7.36 |
|  |  | N | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2.00 | 3.95 |
|  |  | D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| Amphicarpum | 1990 | M | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| muhlenbergianum |  | A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | M | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.17 | 0.41 |
|  |  | J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.41 |
|  |  | J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | $s$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 5.00 | 12.25 |
|  |  | N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 3.33 | 8.16 |
|  |  | D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.33 | 0.82 |
|  | 1991 | J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0.83 | 2.04 |
|  |  | F | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1.83 | 4.02 |
|  |  | M | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1.33 | 2.16 |
|  |  | A/M | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.50 | 0.55 |
|  |  | J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1.67 | 4.08 |
|  |  | J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 40 | 60 | 17.50 | 26.03 |
|  |  | A | 0 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 50 | 5 | 15.00 | 17.89 |
|  |  | $s$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 2.33 | 2.34 |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5.83 | 3.76 |
|  |  | N | 5 | 3 | 25 | 10 | 5 | 15 | 10.50 | 8.34 |
|  |  | D | 0 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4.83 | 5.23 |

## APPENDIX D <br> ABOVE GROUND BIOMASS AND RELATIVE DOMINANCE

| Date | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Biomass } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{g} \text { DWT/ } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | S.D. | Relative Dominance <br> (\% total) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nymphaea odorata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.20 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.04 | 2.33 | 12.08 |
| Apr-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.91 | 6.34 | 0.00 | 14.46 | 3.62 | 5.86 | 28.33 |
| May-90 | 20.61 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.76 | 7.40 | 11.49 | 44.41 |
| Jun-90 | 16.64 | 0.00 | 10.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.46 | 7.21 | 31.76 |
| Ju1-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aug-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sep-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.83 | 4.49 | 10.45 |
| Oct-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Nov-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dec-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jan-91 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.32 |
| Feb-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Mar-91 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.26 | 0.32 |
| Apr-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jun-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jul-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aug-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sep-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Oct-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Nov-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dec-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Eleocharis elongata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar-90 | 4.13 | 0.00 | 6.40 |  | 0.00 | 2.43 | 2.59 | 2.75 | 30.11 |
| Apr-90 | 0.90 | 1.04 | 0.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 3.80 |
| May-90 | 0.67 | 1.58 | 7.07 | 0.00 | 1.10 | 8.56 | 3.17 | 3.67 | 18.99 |
| Jun-90 | 0.00 | 1.89 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.79 | 3.33 |
| Jul-90 | 4.51 | 2.88 | 3.01 | 0.46 | 7.14 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 2.64 | 29.16 |
| Aug-90 | 0.22 | 3.62 | 0.00 | 3.60 | 0.83 | 0.64 | 1.49 | 1.67 | 5.55 |
| Sep-90 | 0.00 | 1.84 | 1.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.81 | 2.90 |
| Oct-90 | 1.10 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 1.07 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 1.69 |
| Nov-90 | 0.00 | 1.49 | 0.83 | 0.80 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.57 | 0.58 | 0.98 |
| Dec-90 | 0.34 | 0.53 | 0.24 | 1.34 | 0.69 | 0.10 | 0.54 | 0.45 | 2.56 |
| Jan-91 | 0.58 | 1.02 | 0.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 1.68 |
| Feb-91 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 1.22 |
| Mar-91 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.16 |
| Apr-91 | 0.00 | 1.54 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.57 | 1.16 |
| Jun-91 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 1.31 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 1.95 |
| Jul-91 | 1.42 | 0.00 | 11.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.19 | 4.69 | 3.66 |
| Aug-91 | 1.50 | 1.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.48 | 0.67 | 1.98 |
| Sep-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.47 | 0.00 | 1.78 | 0.61 | 0.64 | 0.80 | 6.48 |
| Oct-91 | 0.64 | 3.60 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.77 | 1.41 | 2.72 |
| Nov-91 | 1.44 | 1.92 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.83 | 1.41 |
| Dec-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.26 | 1.32 |


| E | On com | ssum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 11.92 | 12.96 | 4.98 | 6.82 | 57.81 |
| Apr-90 | 20.77 | 5.09 | 8.02 | 15.39 | 2.27 | 0.48 | 8.67 | 7.91 | 67.87 |
| May-90 | 6.72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.74 | 3.78 | 11.09 | 4.55 | 4.26 | 27.32 |
| Jun-90 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.17 | 0.29 | 0.49 | 2.05 |
| Jul-90 | 1.31 | 1.46 | 0.00 | 3.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.10 | 1.50 | 10.70 |
| Aug-90 | 0.98 | 34.88 | 14.40 | 24.61 | 17.25 | 0.53 | 15.44 | 13.40 | 57.66 |
| Sep-90 | 20.85 | 7.46 | 0.00 | 13.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.95 | 8.71 | 39.62 |
| Oct-90 | 0.14 | 33.17 | 0.00 | 15.89 | 23.28 | 44.66 | 19.52 | 17.90 | 51.87 |
| Nov-90 | 130.96 | 29.17 | 70.62 | 0.00 | 5.38 | 29.92 | 44.34 | 49.23 | 75.87 |
| Dec-90 | 0.26 | 29.71 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 21.94 | 33.58 | 14.40 | 15.80 | 68.49 |
| Jan-91 | 0.00 | 36.53 | 2.96 | 3.54 | 31.49 | 66.64 | 23.53 | 26.33 | 92.05 |
| Feb-91 | 6.21 | 4.78 | 5.66 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 19.98 | 6.12 | 7.32 | 63.80 |
| Mar-91 | 0.00 | 46.13 | 52.06 | 0.18 | 22.32 | 53.01 | 28.95 | 24.97 | 85.91 |
| Apr-91 | 0.62 | 63.70 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 85.87 | 37.82 | 31.37 | 37.31 | 84.07 |
| Jun-91 | 15.49 | 0.00 | 52.10 | 0.88 | 27.89 | 0.00 | 16.06 | 20.91 | 61.22 |
| Ju1-91 | 12.86 | 1.65 | 8.37 | 0.00 | 7.01 | 5.44 | 5.89 | 4.67 | 9.85 |
| Aug-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.76 | 0.00 | 2.21 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 1.03 | 2.73 |
| Sep-91 | 5.23 | 2.88 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.40 | 2.19 | 14.09 |
| Oct-91 | 52.32 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 2.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.21 | 21.14 | 32.37 |
| Nov-91 | 1.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.64 | 22.40 | 4.16 | 8.97 | 8.98 |
| Dec-91 | 0.00 | 8.64 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 1.28 | 3.84 | 2.37 | 3.39 | 29.37 |
| Rhynchospora inundata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Apr-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| May-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.81 | 1.30 | 3.19 | 7.81 |
| Jun-90 | 2.27 | 3.50 | 2.05 | 7.81 | 25.66 | 10.21 | 8.58 | 8.98 | 61.17 |
| Jul-90 | 2.34 | 9.17 | 7.78 | 5.33 | 5.74 | 6.77 | 6.19 | 2.35 | 60.14 |
| Aug-90 | 8.22 | 23.12 | 1.20 | 9.68 | 10.08 | 6.80 | 9.85 | 7.25 | 36.79 |
| Sep-90 | 6.94 | 17.87 | 11.42 | 7.23 | 2.03 | 0.86 | 7.73 | 6.28 | 44.08 |
| Oct-90 | 1.50 | 9.18 | 6.45 | 1.87 | 5.14 | 1.49 | 4.27 | 3.19 | 11.35 |
| Nov-90 | 4.96 | 6.96 | 7.47 | 0.24 | 2.51 | 4.21 | 4.39 | 2.73 | 7.51 |
| Dec-90 | 2.30 | 4.48 | 10.00 | 4.48 | 1.68 | 4.43 | 4.56 | 2.93 | 21.70 |
| Jan-91 | 0.82 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.33 | 0.95 |
| Feb-91 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.86 |
| Mar-91 | 3.60 | 0.30 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 1.02 | 0.40 | 1.05 | 1.31 | 3.11 |
| Apr-91 | 2.82 | 7.78 | 2.50 | 0.00 | 2.46 | 0.00 | 2.59 | 2.84 | 6.95 |
| Jun-91 | 6.26 | 3.22 | 16.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 4.37 | 6.24 | 16.67 |
| Jul-91 | 28.82 | 3.15 | 71.97 | 1.12 | 1.10 | 0.00 | 17.69 | 28.79 | 29.59 |
| Aug-91 | 33.66 | 0.54 | 4.93 | 0.05 | 10.96 | 0.03 | 8.36 | 13.10 | 34.50 |
| Sep-91 | 13.92 | 9.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.57 | 4.50 | 5.92 | 45.35 |
| Oct-91 | 21.98 | 7.09 | 4.80 | 0.00 | 5.36 | 0.00 | 6.54 | 8.11 | 22.99 |
| Nov-91 | 0.00 | 9.12 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 1.80 | 3.61 | 3.89 |
| Dec-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.99 |
| Amphicarpum muhlenbergianum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Apr-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| May-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.47 | 0.25 | 0.60 | 1.47 |
| Jun-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.42 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.58 | 1.69 |
| Jul-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aug-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sep-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Oct-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 75.09 | 12.51 | 30.65 | 33.25 |
| Nov-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 47.98 | 8.00 | 19.59 | 13.68 |
| Dec-90 | 0.00 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.26 | 0.51 |
| Jan-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.80 | 1.13 | 2.78 | 4.43 |
| Feb-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.46 | 1.47 | 3.43 | 15.35 |
| Mar-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.51 | 0.77 | 1.21 | 2.61 | 3.60 |
| Apr-91 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.20 | 1.60 | 0.58 | 0.70 | 1.57 |
| Jun-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.99 | 2.50 | 6.12 | 9.53 |


| Jul-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.53 | 32.56 | 70.06 | 17.86 | 28.55 | 29.86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug-91 | 0.00 | 8.11 | 12.86 | 2.70 | 54.08 | 3.49 | 13.54 | 20.37 | 55.86 |
| Sep-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.32 | 9.98 | 2.35 | 4.74 | 3.23 | 3.75 | 32.60 |
| Oct-91 | 0.00 | 16.10 | 8.37 | 17.60 | 2.38 | 18.00 | 10.41 | 7.98 | 36.59 |
| Nov-91 | 5.12 | 3.20 | 43.84 | 32.00 | 13.28 | 128.00 | 37.57 | 47.06 | 81.11 |
| Dec-91 | 0.00 | 7.52 | 10.40 | 5.60 | 1.92 | 6.72 | 5.36 | 3.81 | 66.34 |
| Rhynchospora tracyi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Apr-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| May-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jun-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jul-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aug-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sep-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 1.10 | 2.57 |
| Oct-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Nov-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dec-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jan-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Feb-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Mar-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.34 | 0.56 | 1.37 | 1.65 |
| Apr-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.52 | 1.25 | 3.07 | 3.36 |
| Jun-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.54 |
| Jul-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aug-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sep-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Oct-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Nov-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dec-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Xyris spp. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Apr-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| May-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jun-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jul-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aug-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sep-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.38 |
| Oct-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.27 |
| Nov-90 | 4.27 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.03 | 1.10 | 1.74 | 1.88 |
| Dec-90 | 0.00 | 3.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 1.47 | 0.98 | 1.47 | 4.65 |
| Jan-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.41 |
| Feb-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.67 | 0.00 | 8.02 | 1.78 | 3.24 | 18.57 |
| Mar-91 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.32 | 0.05 | 1.74 | 4.20 | 5.17 |
| Apr-91 | 0.00 | 2.24 | 1.07 | 0.00 | 2.62 | 0.19 | 1.02 | 1.17 | 2.74 |
| Jun-91 | 2.19 | 0.46 | 2.06 | 0.00 | 1.06 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 3.67 |
| Jul-91 | 2.98 | 1.50 | 42.91 | 0.85 | 3.28 | 0.00 | 8.59 | 16.86 | 14.36 |
| Aug-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 2.82 | 0.40 | 0.59 | 1.11 | 2.43 |
| Sep-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 1.48 |
| Oct-91 | 3.36 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 1.37 | 1.97 |
| Nov-91 | 0.00 | 1.60 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 10.24 | 0.00 | 2.11 | 4.04 | 4.55 |
| Dec-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Lachnanthes caroliniana |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Apr-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| May-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jun-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jul-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aug-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sep-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Oct-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.31 | 0.55 | 1.35 | 1.47 |


| Nov-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dec-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jan-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Feb-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Mar-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Apr-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jun-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jul-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aug-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sep-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Oct-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Nov-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dec-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Triadenu | virgi | icum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Apr-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| May-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jun-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jul-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aug-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sep-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Oct-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Nov-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Dec-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| Jan-91 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.13 |
| Feb-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Mar-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Apr-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jun-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jul-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aug-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sep-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Oct-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Nov-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dec-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Eupatorium capillifolium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Apr-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| May-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jun-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jul-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aug-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sep-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Oct-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.09 |
| Nor-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| Dec-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.22 | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.89 | 2.08 |
| Jan-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Feb-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.19 |
| Mar-91 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.08 |
| Apr-91 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.16 |
| Jun-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.84 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.03 | 1.69 | 4.00 | 6.42 |
| Ju1-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.71 | 0.22 | 0.32 | 0.69 | 0.54 |
| Aug-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.38 |
| Sep-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Oct-91 | 0.02 | 4.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.70 | 1.69 | 2.47 |
| Nov-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dec-91 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.66 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Andropogon sp. } \\ \text { Mar-90 } & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Apr-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jun-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jul-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aug-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sep-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Oct-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Nov-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dec-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jan-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Feb-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Mar-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Apr-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jun-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jul-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 43.60 | 7.27 | 17.80 | 12.15 |
| Aug-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.81 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.74 | 1.24 |
| Sep-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Oct-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.38 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.55 | 0.90 |
| Nov-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.06 |
| Dec-91 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 1.32 |
| Lycopodium sp. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Apr-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| May-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jun-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Ju1-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aug-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sep-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Oct-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Nov-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dec-90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jan-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Feb-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Mar-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Apr-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jun-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jul-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Aug-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.28 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.52 | 0.88 |
| Sep-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Oct-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Nov-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Dec-91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar-90 | 4.13 | 0.00 | 11.60 | 0.00 | 11.92 | 15.39 | 8.61 | 6.66 | 100.00 |
| Apr-90 | 21.66 | 6.13 | 9.90 | 21.73 | 2.27 | 14.94 | 12.77 | 8.08 | 100.00 |
| May-90 | 28.00 | 1.63 | 7.07 | 5.74 | 4.88 | 52.69 | 16.67 | 20.01 | 100.00 |
| Jun-90 | 18.91 | 5.95 | 13.06 | 7.81 | 27.09 | 11.38 | 14.03 | 7.83 | 100.00 |
| Jul-90 | 8.16 | 13.50 | 10.78 | 9.63 | 12.88 | 6.77 | 10.29 | 2.63 | 100.00 |
| Aug-90 | 9.42 | 61.62 | 15.60 | 37.89 | 28.16 | 7.97 | 26.78 | 20.59 | 100.00 |
| Sep-90 | 27.79 | 27.17 | 23.63 | 23.31 | 2.03 | 1.26 | 17.53 | 12.44 | 100.00 |
| Oct-90 | 2.75 | 43.60 | 6.45 | 18.83 | 29.42 | 124.77 | 37.64 | 45.25 | 100.00 |
| Nov-90 | 140.19 | 37.92 | 78.93 | 1.07 | 8.20 | 84.37 | 58.45 | 52.94 | 100.00 |
| Dec-90 | 2.90 | 39.09 | 11.15 | 5.83 | 27.20 | 39.99 | 21.03 | 16.62 | 100.00 |
| Jan-91 | 1.44 | 38.56 | 3.94 | 3.76 | 31.49 | 74.16 | 25.56 | 28.60 | 100.00 |
| Feb-91 | 6.21 | 5.42 | 6.05 | 2.74 | 0.56 | 36.58 | 9.59 | 13.40 | 100.00 |
| Mar-91 | 4.24 | 46.85 | 53.02 | 0.18 | 40.30 | 57.58 | 33.70 | 25.11 | 100.00 |
| Apr-91 | 3.44 | 76.29 | 3.98 | 0.00 | 92.64 | 47.50 | 37.31 | 40.83 | 100.00 |
| Jun-91 | 24.62 | 4.37 | 81.42 | 0.88 | 30.11 | 15.98 | 26.23 | 29.29 | 100.00 |
| Jul-91 | 46.08 | 6.30 | 134.94 | 6.50 | 45.66 | 119.33 | 59.80 | 55.28 | 100.00 |
| Aug-91 | 35.17 | 9.81 | 20.02 | 2.75 | 73.57 | 4.14 | 24.24 | 27.00 | 100.00 |


| Sep-91 | 19.15 | 12.37 | 4.06 | 9.98 | 5.01 | 8.91 | 9.91 | 5.49 | 100.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Oct-91 | 78.32 | 31.54 | 14.55 | 20.50 | 7.76 | 18.02 | 28.45 | 25.65 | 100.00 |
| Nov-91 | 8.48 | 15.84 | 46.24 | 32.00 | 24.98 | 150.40 | 46.32 | 52.64 | 100.00 |
| Dec-91 | 0.00 | 16.48 | 10.40 | 6.40 | 4.64 | 10.56 | 8.08 | 5.69 | 100.00 |

## APPENDIX E <br> ABOVE AND BELOW GROUND BIOMASS OF FOUR SPECIES

| Species | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { nass } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DWT } / \mathrm{m} 2 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | Mean | S.D. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nymphaea odorata - Aboveground live |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAR'90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.20 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.04 | 2.33 |
| APR | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.91 | 6.34 | 0.00 | 14.46 | 3.62 | 5.86 |
| MAY | 20.61 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 23.76 | 7.40 | 11.49 |
| JUN | 16.64 | 0.00 | 10.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.46 | 7.21 |
| JUL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AUG | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SEP | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.83 | 4.49 |
| OCT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NOV | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DEC | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| JAN'91 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.20 |
| FEB | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MAR | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.26 |
| A/M | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| JUN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| JUL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AUG | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SEP | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OCT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| NOV | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DEC | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Belowground total

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MAR' 90 | 413.77 | 110.58 | 176.58 | 1.36 | 12.58 | 91.51 | 134.40 | 151.51 |
| APR | 172.34 | 175.04 | 20.72 | 1550.46 | 224.78 | 178.10 | 386.91 | 574.24 |
| MAY | 3222.76 | 51.20 | 113.19 | 632.01 | 65.50 | 1501.12 | 930.96 | 1253.68 |
| JUN | 1199.27 | 0.86 | 39.43 | 24.21 | 10.85 | 2.10 | 212.79 | 483.50 |
| JUL | 15.05 | 44.16 | 353.91 | 68.33 | 6.78 | 220.21 | 118.07 | 139.27 |
| AUG | 35.77 | 3.58 | 58.59 | 37.25 | 461.23 | 73.77 | 111.70 | 172.88 |
| SEP | 4.69 | 168.13 | 529.58 | 0.00 | 780.16 | 540.59 | 337.19 | 324.79 |
| OCT | 0.00 | 276.83 | 80.83 | 484.96 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 140.44 | 200.01 |
| NOV | 3.21 | 1625.87 | 135.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.32 | 295.52 | 653.91 |
| DEC | 0.00 | 0.00 | 135.36 | 106.08 | 0.00 | 108.79 | 58.37 | 64.76 |
| JAN'91 | 407.71 | 1284.92 | 829.59 | 886.53 | 726.82 | 0.00 | 689.26 | 440.27 |
| FEB | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1093.95 | 772.74 | 0.00 | 206.05 | 345.46 | 473.34 |
| MAR | 908.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 107.15 | 1490.42 | 415.62 | 486.97 | 601.38 |
| A/M | 84.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 142.34 | 0.00 | 234.64 | 76.95 | 96.92 |
| JUN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 61.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.27 | 25.15 |
| JUL | 253.92 | 892.29 | 0.00 | 375.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 253.58 | 350.90 |
| AUG | 527.36 | 580.74 | 0.00 | 1245.87 | 418.37 | 0.00 | 462.06 | 460.79 |
| SEP | 226.27 | 0.00 | 353.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 191.99 | 154.87 |
| OCT | 0.00 | 405.73 | 813.68 | 172.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 231.97 | 326.67 |
| NOV | 0.00 | 616.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 907.22 | 1088.80 | 435.43 | 500.22 |


| DEC | 35.92 | 619.88 | 279.78 | 207.20 | 157.47 | 312.96 | 268.87 | 197.86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eleocharis elongata - Aboveground live |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAR'90 | 4.13 | 0.00 | 6.40 |  | 0.00 | 2.43 | 2.59 | 2.75 |
| APR | 0.90 | 1.04 | 0.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.53 |
| MAY | 0.67 | 1.58 | 7.07 | 0.00 | 1.10 | 8.56 | 3.17 | 3.67 |
| JUN | 0.00 | 1.89 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.79 |
| JUL | 4.51 | 2.88 | 3.01 | 0.46 | 7.14 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 2.64 |
| AUG | 0.22 | 3.62 | 0.00 | 3.60 | 0.83 | 0.64 | 1.49 | 1.67 |
| SEP | 0.00 | 1.84 | 1.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.81 |
| OCT | 1.10 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 1.07 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.64 | 0.57 |
| NOV | 0.00 | 1.49 | 0.83 | 0.80 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.57 | 0.58 |
| DEC | 0.34 | 0.53 | 0.24 | 1.34 | 0.69 | 0.10 | 0.54 | 0.45 |
| JAN'91 | 0.58 | 1.02 | 0.98 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.50 |
| FEB | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.16 |
| MAR | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.12 |
| A/M | 0.00 | 1.54 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.57 |
| JUN | 0.69 | 0.69 | 1.31 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.30 | 0.51 | 0.49 |
| JUL | 1.42 | 0.00 | 11.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.19 | 4.69 |
| AUG | 1.50 | 1.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.48 | 0.67 |
| SEP | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.47 | 0.00 | 1.78 | 0.61 | 0.64 | 0.80 |
| OCT | 0.64 | 3.60 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.77 | 1.41 |
| NOV | 1.44 | 1.92 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.83 |
| DEC | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.26 |

Belowground total

| MAR'90 | 72.08 | 40.44 | 109.72 | 25.89 | 0.00 | 7.33 | 42.58 | 41.75 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| APR | 1.65 | 47.17 | 7.71 | 5.87 | 106.99 | 2.67 | 28.68 | 42.05 |
| MAY | 34.05 | 15.59 | 72.14 | 12.81 | 116.37 | 9.47 | 43.40 | 42.66 |
| JUN | 11.52 | 21.25 | 3.82 | 4.86 | 7.90 | 5.25 | 9.10 | 6.56 |
| JUL | 61.13 | 15.68 | 49.88 | 26.14 | 64.16 | 15.77 | 38.79 | 22.32 |
| AUG | 4.41 | 20.29 | 8.00 | 20.45 | 5.33 | 34.45 | 15.49 | 11.74 |
| SEP | 11.39 | 14.65 | 20.40 | 3.83 | 0.37 | 10.72 | 10.23 | 7.25 |
| OCT | 46.37 | 8.54 | 10.65 | 11.52 | 2.71 | 4.61 | 14.07 | 16.19 |
| NOV | 6.91 | 8.81 | 13.63 | 5.32 | 57.92 | 5.37 | 16.33 | 20.61 |
| DEC | 13.51 | 4.85 | 20.75 | 20.74 | 30.47 | 6.45 | 16.13 | 9.76 |
| JAN'91 | 15.66 | 48.71 | 44.13 | 36.10 | 1.79 | 2.93 | 24.89 | 20.81 |
| FEB | 5.87 | 11.72 | 7.46 | 8.32 | 41.94 | 4.98 | 13.38 | 14.19 |
| MAR | 0.25 | 39.99 | 34.81 | 3.30 | 7.06 | 21.51 | 17.82 | 16.91 |
| A/M | 0.62 | 44.57 | 13.84 | 10.77 | 16.13 | 15.52 | 16.91 | 14.70 |
| JUN | 26.86 | 26.22 | 23.49 | 10.17 | 12.80 | 8.87 | 18.42 | 8.34 |
| JUL | 8.75 | 1.41 | 32.26 | 5.15 | 4.61 | 12.18 | 10.73 | 11.18 |
| AUG | 17.26 | 6.59 | 0.14 | 2.58 | 8.29 | 5.66 | 6.75 | 5.92 |
| SEP | 0.94 | 4.13 | 6.85 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 3.98 | 2.80 |
| OCT | 11.42 | 15.07 | 20.96 | 3.12 | 8.43 | 11.31 | 11.72 | 6.03 |
| NOV | 6.16 | 4.71 | 4.42 | 2.92 | 4.70 | 7.10 | 5.00 | 1.46 |
| DEC | 1.04 | 3.05 | 1.21 | 3.98 | 3.63 | 5.70 | 3.10 | 1.77 |

Rhynchospora inundata - Aboveground live

| MAR'90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| APR | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MAY | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.81 | 1.30 | 3.19 |
| JUN | 2.27 | 3.50 | 2.05 | 7.81 | 25.66 | 10.21 | 8.58 | 8.98 |
| JUL | 2.34 | 9.17 | 7.78 | 5.33 | 5.74 | 6.77 | 6.19 | 2.35 |
| AUG | 8.22 | 23.12 | 1.20 | 9.68 | 10.08 | 6.80 | 9.85 | 7.25 |
| SEP | 6.94 | 17.87 | 11.42 | 7.23 | 2.03 | 0.86 | 7.73 | 6.28 |
| OCT | 1.50 | 9.18 | 6.45 | 1.87 | 5.14 | 1.49 | 4.27 | 3.19 |
| NOV | 4.96 | 6.96 | 7.47 | 0.24 | 2.51 | 4.21 | 4.39 | 2.73 |
| DEC | 2.30 | 4.48 | 10.00 | 4.48 | 1.68 | 4.43 | 4.56 | 2.93 |


| JAN 91 | 0.82 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.33 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FEB | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.15 |
| MAR | 3.60 | 0.30 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 1.02 | 0.40 | 1.05 | 1.31 |
| A/M | 2.82 | 7.78 | 2.50 | 0.00 | 2.46 | 0.00 | 2.59 | 2.84 |
| JUN | 6.26 | 3.22 | 16.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 4.37 | 6.24 |
| JUI | 28.82 | 3.15 | 71.97 | 1.12 | 1.10 | 0.00 | 17.69 | 28.79 |
| AUG | 33.66 | 0.54 | 4.93 | 0.05 | 10.96 | 0.03 | 8.36 | 13.10 |
| SEP | 13.92 | 9.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.57 | 4.50 | 5.92 |
| OCT | 21.98 | 7.09 | 4.80 | 0.00 | 5.36 | 0.00 | 6.54 | 8.11 |
| NOV | 0.00 | 9.12 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 1.80 | 3.61 |
| DEC | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.13 |

Belowground total

| MAR'90 | 55.30 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| APR | 133.01 |  |
| MAY | 27.90 |  |
| JUN | 133.63 |  |
| JUL | 51.76 |  |
| AUG | 107.59 |  |
| SEP | 70.16 | 1 |
| OCT | 127.66 | 10 |
| NOV | 24.10 |  |
| DEC | 48.22 |  |
| JAN'91 | 58.50 |  |
| FEB | 64.67 |  |
| MAR | 136.89 |  |
| A/M | 117.57 |  |
| JUN | 159.79 |  |
| JUL | 55.23 |  |
| AUG | 67.87 |  |
| SEP | 27.28 |  |
| OCT | 89.07 |  |
| NOV | 9.95 |  |
| DEC | 27.30 |  |

265.28
156.90
50.72
81.28
60.51
57.29
119.27
108.47
41.38
22.22
70.15
88.88
168.87
80.39
135.07
6.22
18.06
18.74
36.56
58.20
19.33

| 136.62 | 166.68 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 68.76 | 254.84 |
| 43.78 | 108.38 |
| 106.30 | 121.22 |
| 107.52 | 118.47 |
| 50.05 | 150.86 |
| 22.35 | 51.48 |
| 68.89 | 31.69 |
| 94.91 | 72.95 |
| 27.45 | 63.45 |
| 53.54 | 54.84 |
| 101.51 | 72.80 |
| 75.86 | 134.76 |
| 115.44 | 108.44 |
| 130.35 | 124.52 |
| 154.83 | 34.05 |
| 9.63 | 15.58 |
| 14.24 | 0.00 |
| 55.73 | 8.86 |
| 10.15 | 15.54 |
| 0.30 | 15.76 |

470.53
120.78
217.16
156.34
90.49
233.69
188.32
51.92
25.95
78.40
157.29
46.17
200.35
88.40
114.34
98.35
67.38
1.82
11.90
6.53
19.10

| 132.68 | 204.52 | 146.92 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 108.33 | 140.44 | 63.20 |
| 226.22 | 112.36 | 88.99 |
| 97.00 | 115.96 | 26.93 |
| 86.96 | 85.95 | 25.92 |
| 23.93 | 103.90 | 78.14 |
| 196.06 | 107.94 | 72.52 |
| 158.69 | 91.22 | 48.51 |
| 183.25 | 73.76 | 60.39 |
| 103.10 | 57.14 | 30.93 |
| 71.59 | 77.65 | 39.76 |
| 231.08 | 100.85 | 66.62 |
| 92.24 | 134.83 | 46.36 |
| 115.73 | 104.33 | 15.96 |
| 176.57 | 140.27 | 23.43 |
| 75.41 | 70.68 | 52.16 |
| 28.51 | 34.51 | 26.37 |
| 0.98 | 20.53 | 11.31 |
| 9.70 | 35.30 | 32.29 |
| 30.10 | 21.75 | 19.71 |
| 15.07 | 16.14 | 8.90 |

Amphicarpum muhlenbergianum - Aboveground live

| MAR'90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| APR | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MAY | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.47 | 0.25 | 0.60 |
| JUN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.42 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.58 |
| JUL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AUG | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SEP | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OCT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 75.09 | 12.51 | 30.65 |
| NOV | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 47.98 | 8.00 | 19.59 |
| DEC | 0.00 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.26 |
| JAN'91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.80 | 1.13 | 2.78 |
| FEB | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.46 | 1.47 | 3.43 |
| MAR | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.51 | 0.77 | 1.21 | 2.61 |
| A/M | 0.00 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.20 | 1.60 | 0.58 | 0.70 |
| JUN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.99 | 2.50 | 6.12 |
| JUL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.53 | 32.56 | 70.06 | 17.86 | 28.55 |
| AUG | 0.00 | 8.11 | 12.86 | 2.70 | 54.08 | 3.49 | 13.54 | 20.37 |
| SEP | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.32 | 9.98 | 2.35 | 4.74 | 3.23 | 3.75 |
| OCT | 0.00 | 16.10 | 8.37 | 17.60 | 2.38 | 18.00 | 10.41 | 7.98 |
| NOV | 5.12 | 3.20 | 43.84 | 32.00 | 13.28 | 128.00 | 37.57 | 47.06 |
| DEC | 0.00 | 7.52 | 10.40 | 5.60 | 1.92 | 6.72 | 5.36 | 3.81 |

Belowground total

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MAR'90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| APR | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MAY | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| JUN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| JUL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AUG | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SEP | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| OCT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 104.61 | 17.43 | 42.71 |
| NOV | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 66.66 | 11.11 | 27.21 |
| DEC | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.99 | 0.50 | 1.22 |
| JAN'91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.75 | 2.46 | 6.02 |
| FEB | 1.39 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.03 | 6.93 | 16.23 |
| MAR | 0.00 | 10.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18.46 | 13.63 | 7.02 | 8.14 |
| A/M | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.12 | 0.17 |
| JUN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| JUL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.98 | 29.06 | 76.78 | 18.30 | 30.81 |
| AUG | 0.00 | 5.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 62.50 | 0.00 | 11.34 | 25.16 |
| SEP | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.18 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.73 | 0.89 |
| OCT | 1.02 | 32.38 | 39.90 | 20.48 | 15.49 | 41.49 | 25.13 | 15.71 |
| NOV | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| DEC | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## APPENDIX $F$ <br> RESULTS OF STATISTICAL ANALYSES

AG LIVE BIO-NYM ODO 1990-91
16:08 Wednesday, July 8, 1992
Analysis of Variance procedure
Class Level Information


Dependent Variable: SUBPLOT


Analysis of Variance Procedure
Student-Newman-Reuls test for variable: SUBPLOT
NOTE: This test controls the type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null hypotheses.

Alpham 0.05 df= 104 MSE= 11.67863
WARNING: Cell sizes are not equal.
Hammonic Mean of cell sizes= 5.943396

| Number of Means | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Critical Range | 3.9311884 | 4.7136691 | 5.176272 | 5.5036051 | 5.7559095 |
| Number of Means | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Critical Range | 5.9607741 | 6.1326704 | 6.2805123 | 6.4101753 | 6.5252387 |
| Number of Means | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Critical Range | 6.6287363 | 6.7227002 | 6.8086764 | 6.8878687 | 6.9612351 |
| Number of Means | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| Critical Range | 7.0295513 | 7.0936352 | 7.1536012 | 7.2101447 | 7.2636318 |

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

| SNK | Grouping | Mean | N | MONTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{A} \end{aligned}$ | 7.403 | 6 | MAY90 |
| B | B A | 4.457 | 6 | JUN90 |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 3.618 | 6 | APR90 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 1.832 | 6 | SEP90 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 1.040 | 5 | MAR90 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.107 | 6 | MAR91 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.083 | 6 | JAN91 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.000 | 6 | A/M91 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.000 | 6 | JUL90 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.000 | 6 | JUL91 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.000 | 6 | AUG90 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.000 | 6 | JUN91 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.000 | 6 | DEC90 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.000 | 6 | DEC91 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.000 | 6 | FEB91 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.000 | 6 | NOV90 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.000 | 6 | NOV91 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.000 | 6 | OCT90 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.000 | 6 | OCT91 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.000 | 6 | AUG91 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.000 | 6 | SEP91 |

BG BIO-NYM ODO 1990-91
16:08 Wednesday, July 8, 1992
Analysis of Variance Procedure
Class Level Information

```
Class Levels Values
MONTH 21 A/M91 APR90 AUG90 AUG91 DEC90 DEC91 FEB91 JAN91 JUL90
    JUL91 JUN90 JUN91 MAR90 MAR91 MAY90 NOV90 NOV91 OCT90
    OCT91 SEP90 SEP91
    Number of observations in data set = 125
NOTE: Due to missing values, only }124\mathrm{ observations can be used in this
        analysis.
```

Dependent Variable: SUBPLOT

| Source | DF | Sum of Squares | Mean quare | F |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model | 20 | 6015142.806 | 300757.140 |  | 1.55 | 0.0810 |
| Error | 103 | 20003353.008 | 194207.311 |  |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 123 | 26018495.815 |  |  |  |  |
|  | R-Square | $\begin{array}{r} \text { C.V } \\ 156.3514 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Root MSE } \\ & 440.6896 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Mean 858468 |
| Dependent Variable: SUBPLOT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source | DF | Anova SS | Mean Square | F | Value | Pr $>$ F |
| MONTH | 20 | 6015142.806 | 300757.140 |  | 1.55 | 0.0810 |

Student-Newman-Keuls test for variable: SUBPLOT
NOTE: This test controls the type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null hypotheses.

Alpha= 0.05 df= 103 MSE= 194207.3
WARNING: Cell sizes are not equal.
Harmonic Mean of cell sizes= 5.88785

| Number of Means | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Critical Range | 509.38866 | 610.79559 | 670.75097 | 713.17719 | 745.88029 |
| Number of Means | 7 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Critical Range | 772.43413 | 794.71552 | 813.87938 | 830.68635 | 845.60223 |
| Number of Means | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Critical Range | 859.01886 | 871.19968 | 882.34512 | 892.61123 | 902.12216 |
| Number of Means | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| Critical Range | 910.97848 | 919.28503 | 927.05929 | 934.38983 | 941.32413 |

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

| SNK | Grouping | Mean | N | MONTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | 931.0 | 6 | MAY90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 689.3 | 6 | JAN91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 487.0 | 6 | MAR91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 462.1 | 6 | AUG91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 435.4 | 6 | NOV91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 345.5 | 6 | FEB91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 337.2 | 6 | SEP90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 295.5 | 6 | NOV90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 268.9 | 6 | DEC91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 253.6 | 6 | JUL91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 232.0 | 6 | OCT91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 212.8 | 6 | JUN90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 161.0 | 5 | MAR90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 154.2 | 5 | APR90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 140.4 | 6 | OCT90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 118.1 | 6 | JUL90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 111.7 | 6 | AUG90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 96.6 | 6 | SEP91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 77.0 | 6 | A/M91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 58.4 | 6 | DEC90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 10.3 | 6 | JUN91 |

Class Levels Values
MONTH 21 A/M91 APR90 AUG90 AUG91 DEC90 DEC91 FEB91 JAN91 JUL90 JUL91 JUN90 JUN91 MAR90 MAR91 MAY90 NOV90 NOV91 OCT90 OCT91 SEP90 SEP91
Number of observations in data set $=126$
NOTE: Due to missing values, only 125 observations can be used in this analysis.

Dependent Variable: SUBPLOT

| Source | DF | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F | Value | - $\mathrm{Pr}^{\text {> }}$ > F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model | 20 | 2274.614415 | 113.730721 |  | 1.72 | 20.0418 |
| Error | 104 | 6888.589950 | 66.236442 |  |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 124 | 9163.204365 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-Square } \\ & 0.248234 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { C.V. } \\ 180.0156 \end{array}$ | Root MSE $8.138577$ |  |  | subplot Mean $4.52104000$ |
| Dependent Variable: SUBPLOT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source | DF | Anova SS | Mean Square | F | Value | e Pr > F |
| MONTH | 20 | 2274.614415 | 113.730721 |  | 1.72 | 20.0418 |

Analysis of Variance Procedure
Student-Newman-Keuls test for variable: SUBPLOT
NOTE: This test controls the type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null hypotheses.

Alpha= $0.05 \quad \mathrm{df}=104 \quad \mathrm{MSE}=66.23644$
WARNING: Cell gizes are not equal.
Harmonic Mean of cell sizes= 5.943396

| Number of Means | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Critical Range | 9.3621674 | 11.225654 | 12.327347 | 13.106895 | 13.707761 |  |
| Number of Means | 7 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Critical Range | 14.195648 | 14.605021 | 14.957108 | 15.265902 | 15.539926 |  |
| Number of Means | 12 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Critical Range | 15.786407 | 16.010183 | 16.214936 | 16.403534 | 16.578256 |  |
| Number of Means | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |  |
| Critical Range | 16.740952 | 16.893569 | 17.036378 | 17.171037 | 17.298417 |  |

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

| SNK | Grouping | Mean | N | MONTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{A} \\ & \mathbf{A} \end{aligned}$ | 17.693 | 6 | JUL91 |
| B | A | 9.850 | 6 | AUG90 |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 8.583 | 6 | JUN90 |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 8.362 | 6 | AUG91 |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 7.725 | 6. | SEP90 |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 6.538 | 6 | OCT91 |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 6.188 | 6 | JUL90 |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 4.562 | 6 | DEC90 |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 4.497 | 6 | SEP91 |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 4.392 | 6 | NOV90 |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 4.375 | 6 | JuN91 |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 4.272 | 6 | OCT90 |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 2.593 | 6 | A/M91 |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 1.803 | 6 | NOV91 |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 1.302 | 6 | MAY90 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 1.047 | 6 | MAR91 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.243 | 6 | JAN91 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.083 | 6 | FEB91 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.080 | 6 | DEC91 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.000 | 6 | APR90 |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0.000 | 5 | MAR90 |



Alpha= $0.05 \quad \mathrm{df}=104 \quad$ MSE $=3216.362$
WARNING: Cell sizes are not equal. Harmonic Mean of cell sizes= 5.943396

| Number of Means | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Critical Range | 65.239464 | 78.22501 | 85.902067 | 91.334277 | 95.521358 |  |
| Number of Means | 7 | 8 | 10 | 11 |  |  |
| Critical Range | 98.921159 | 101.77384 | 104.22733 | 106.37913 | 108.28865 |  |
| Number of Means | 12 | 13 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 16 |
| Critical Range | 110.00623 | 111.56559 | 112.9924 | 114.30662 | 115.52416 |  |
| Number of Means | 17 | 18 | 20 | 21 |  |  |
| Critical Range | 116.65789 | 117.72139 | 118.71655 | 119.65491 | 120.54254 |  |

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

| SNK Grouping |  |  | Mean | N | MONTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | 212.08 | 5 | MAR90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |  |
| B | A |  | 140.44 | 6 | APR90 |
| B | A |  |  |  |  |
| B | A |  | 140.11 | 6 | JUN91 |
| B | A |  |  |  |  |
| B | A | C | 134.83 | 6 | MAR91 |
| B |  | C |  |  |  |
| B | D | C | 115.96 | 6 | JUN90 |
| B | D | C |  |  |  |
| B | D | C | 112.36 | 6 | MAY90 |
| B | D | C |  |  |  |
| B | D | C | 107.94 | 6 | SEP90 |
| B | D | C |  |  |  |
| B | D | C | 104.33 | 6 | A/M91 |
| B | D | C |  |  |  |
| B | D | C | 103.90 | 6 | AUG90 |
| B | D | C |  |  |  |
| B | D | C | 100.85 | 6 | FEB91 |
| B | D | c |  |  |  |
| B | D | C | 91.22 | 6 | OCT90 |
| B | D | C |  |  |  |
| B | D | C | 85.95 | 6 | JUL90 |
| B | D | C |  |  |  |
| B | D | C | 77.65 | 6 | JAN91 |
| B | D | C |  |  |  |
| B | D | C | 73.76 | 6 | NOV90 |
| B | D | C |  |  |  |
| B | D | c | 70.68 | 6 | JUL91 |
| B | D | C |  |  |  |
| B | D | C | 57.14 | 6 | DEC90 |
| B | D | C |  |  |  |
| B | D | $\stackrel{\text { c }}{ }$ | 35.30 | 6 | OCT91 |
| B | D | C |  |  |  |
| B | D | C | 34.50 | 6 | AUG91 |
|  | D | c |  |  |  |
|  | D | C | 21.75 | 6 | Nov91 |
|  | D |  |  |  |  |
|  | D |  | 16.14 | 6 | DEC91 |
|  | D |  |  |  |  |
|  | D |  | 10.51 | 6 | SEP91 |



Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

| SNK Grouping | Mean | N | MONTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3.163 | 6 | MAY90 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 3.000 | 6 | JUL90 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 2.592 | 5 | MAR90 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 2.187 | 6 | JUL91 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 1.485 | 6 | AUG90 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 0.773 | 6 | OCT91 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 0.653 | 6 | Nov91 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 0.643 | 6 | SEP91 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 0.637 | 6 | OCT90 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 0.572 | 6 | NOV90 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 0.540 | 6 | DEC90 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 0.512 | 6 | JUN91 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 0.510 | 6 | SEP90 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 0.487 | 6 | APR90 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 0.478 | 6 | AUG91 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 0.467 | 6 | JuN90 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 0.433 | 6 | A/M91 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 0.430 | 6 | JAN91 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 0.117 | 6 | FEB91 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 0.107 | 6 | DEC91 |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 0.053 | 6 | MAR91 |

BG BIO-ELE ELO 1990
18:24 Wednesday, July 8, 1992 Analysis of Variance Procedure

Class Level Information


Student-Newman-Keuls test for variable: SUBPLOT
NOTE: This test controls the type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null hypotheses.

Alpha= $0.05 \quad \mathrm{df}=104 \quad \mathrm{MSE}=393.891$
WARNING: Cell sizes are not equal.
Harmonic Mean of cell sizes= 5.943396

| Number of Means | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Critical Range | 22.830527 | 27.374814 | 30.061398 | 31.962398 | 33.427665 |
| Number of Means | 7 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 11 |
| Critical Range | 34.617424 | 35.615719 | 36.474316 | 37.227339 | 37.895574 |
| Number of Means | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Critical Range | 38.496641 | 39.042339 | 39.541649 | 40.001562 | 40.42764 |
| Number of Means | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| Critical Range | 40.824389 | 41.196559 | 41.544813 | 41.873192 | 42.18382 |

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.


AG LIVE BIO-AMP MUH 1990-91
16:08 Wednesday, July 8, 1992
Analysis of Variance Procedure Class Level Information

Class Levels Values
MONTH 21 A/M91 APR90 AUG90 AUG91 DEC90 DEC91 FEB91 JAN91 JUL90 JUL91 JUN90 JUN91 MAR90 MAR91 MAY90 NOV90 NOV91 OCT90 OCT91 SEP90 SEP91
Number of observations in data set $=126$
NOTE: Due to missing values, only 125 observations can be used in this analysis.

Dependent Variable: SUBPLOT

| Source | DF | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F | Value | Pr > F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model | 20 | 9888.869339 | 494.443467 |  | 2.09 | 0.0088 |
| Error | 104 | 24626.666650 | 236.794872 |  |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 124 | 34515.535989 |  |  |  |  |
|  | R-Square | C.V. | Root MSE |  |  | OT Mean |
|  | 0.286505 | 276.4350 | 15.38814 |  |  | 6664000 |
| Dependent Variable: SUBPLOT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source | DF | Anova SS | Mean Square | F | Value | Pr > F |
| MONTH | 20 | 9888.869339 | 494.443467 |  | 2.09 | 0.0088 |

Student-Newman-Keuls test for variable: SUBPLOT
NOTE: This test controls the type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null hypotheses.

Alpha= 0.05 df $=104$ MSE $=236.7949$
WARNING: Cell sizes are not equal.
Harmonic Mean of cell sizes $=5.943396$

| Number of Means | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Critical Range | 17.701662 | 21.225078 | 23.308122 | 24.782064 | 25.918159 |
| Number of Means | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Critical Range | 26.84064 | 27.614668 | 28.280382 | 28.864239 | 29.382355 |
| Number of Means | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Critical Range | 29.848392 | 30.2715 | 30.65864 | 31.015234 | 31.345594 |
| Number of Means | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| Critical Range | 31.653213 | 31.941775 | 32.211795 | 32.466403 | 32.707249 |

## Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SNK Grouping

A
$\mathbf{B}$
$\mathbf{B}$
$\mathbf{B}$

$\mathbf{B}$ B | B |
| :--- |
| B | B | B |
| :--- |
| B | B B B B | B |
| :--- |
| B | B B

B
B B B B B B
B
B B B B
B B B B
B B
B B
B B B
B
B

Mean N MONTH

### 37.573 <br> 6 NOV91

17.858
13.540
12.515
10.408
7.997
5.360
3.232
2.498
1.472
1.213
1.133
0.583
0.245
0.237
0.107
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000

6 JUL91
6 AUG91
6 OCT90
6 - OCT91
6 NOV90
6 DEC91
6 SEP91
6 JUN91
6 FEB91
6 MAR91
6 JAN91
6 A/M91
6 MAY90
6 JUN90
6 DEC90
6 JUL90
6 APR90
6 AUG90
6 SEP90
5 MAR90


> Alpha= 0.05 df= 104 MSE $=228.9216$
> WARNING: Cell sizes are not equal.
> Harmonic Mean of cell sizes= 5.943396

| Number of Means | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Critical Range | 17.404891 | 20.869236 | 22.917357 | 24.366588 | 25.483637 |
| Number of Means | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Critical Range | 26.390652 | 27.151703 | 27.806256 | 28.380324 | 28.889755 |
| Number of Means | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Critical Range | 29.347979 | 29.763993 | 30.144643 | 30.495258 | 30.820079 |
| Number of Means | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| Critical Range | 31.122541 | 31.406266 | 31.671758 | 31.922098 | 32.158906 |

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

| SNK | Grouping | Mean | N | MONTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | 25.127 | 6 | OCT91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 18.303 | 6 | JUL91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 17.435 | 6 | OCT90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 11.345 | 6 | AUG91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 11.110 | 6 | NOV90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 7.018 | 6 | MAR91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 6.925 | 6 | FEB91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 2.458 | 6 | JAN91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 0.498 | 6 | DEC90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 0.368 | 6 | SEP91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 0.120 | 6 | A/M91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 0.000 | 6 | JUN90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 0.000 | 6 | JUL90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 0.000 | 6 | DEC91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 0.000 | 6 | MAY90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 0.000 | 6 | JUN91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 0.000 | 6 | NOV91 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 0.000 | 6 | APR90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 0.000 | 6 | AUG90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 0.000 | 6 | SEP90 |
|  | A |  |  |  |
|  | A | 0.000 | 5 | MAR90 |

Class Levels Values MONTH 8 AUG DEC JUL JUN MAY NOV OCT SEP Number of observations in data set $=48$

```
NOTE: Due to missing values, only 46 observations can be used in this
        analysis.
```

Dependent Variable: SUBPLOT

| Source | DF | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | $F$ | Value | - $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model | 7 | 27508.17928 | 3929.73990 |  | 3.84 | 40.0030 |
| Error | 38 | 38850.39171 | 1022.37873 |  |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 45 | 66358.57099 |  |  |  |  |
|  | R-Square | C.V. | Root MSE |  |  | SUBPLOT Mean |
|  | 0.414538 | 54.61228 | 31.97466 |  |  | 58.5484783 |
| Dependent Variable: SUBPLOT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source | DF | Anova SS | Mean Square | F | Value | - $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{P}$ |
| MONTH | 7 | 27508.17928 | 3929.73990 |  | 3.84 | 40.0030 |

Student-Newman-Keuls test for variable: SUBPLOT
NOTE: This test controls the type $I$ experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null hypotheses.

Alpha= 0.05 df= 38 MSE= 1022.379
WARNING: Cell sizes are not equal.
Harmonic Mean of cell sizes= 5.714286

| Number of Means | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Critical Range | 38.295505 | 46.134319 | 50.818471 | 54.160511 |
| Number of Means | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| Critical Range | 56.748782 | 58.858667 | 60.637028 |  |

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

| SNR | Grouping | Mean | N | MONTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | 92.81 | 6 | JuL |
|  | A |  |  |  |
| B | B A | 83.74 | 6 | SEP |
| B | B A |  |  |  |
| B | B A | 74.99 | 6 | JUN |
| B | B A |  |  |  |
| B | B A | 71.10 | 6 | MAY |
| B | B A |  |  |  |
| B | B A | 45.99 | 6 | AUG |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 34.48 | 5 | OCT |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 29.91 | 6 | NOV |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 25.92 | 5 | DEC |

AVAILABLE NO3-N 1990
18:38 Wednesday, July 8, 1992
Analysis of Variance Procedure
Class Level Information

```
Class Levels Values
MONTH 8 AUG DEC JUL JUN MAY NOV OCT SEP
    Number of observations in data set = 48
```

NOTE: Due to missing values, only 46 observations can be used in this
analysis.

Dependent Variable: SUBPLOT

|  |  | Sum of | Mean | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value } \\ & 22.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P_{r}>F \\ & 0.0001 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model | F | 66577.34466 | 9511.04924 |  |  |  |
| Error | 38 | 16408.19848 | 431.79470 |  |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 45 | 82985.54314 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-Square } \\ & 0.802276 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C.V. } \\ 47.83031 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Root MSE } \\ & 20.77967 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | SUBPLOT Mean 43.4445652 |
| Dependent Variable: | : SUBPLOT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source | DF | Anova SS | Mean Square | F | Value | 3 $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{P}$ |
| MONTH | 7 | 66577.34466 | 9511.04924 |  | 22.03 | 30.0001 |

Analysis of Variance Procedure
Student-Newman-Keuls test for variable: SUBPLOT
NOTE: This test controls the type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null hypotheses.

Alpha= 0.05 df= 38 MSE $=431.7947$
WARNING: Cell sizes are not equal.
Harmonic Mean of cell sizes= 5.714286

| Number of Means | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Critical Range | 24.887458 | 29.981742 | 33.025876 | 35.197799 |
| Number of Means | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| Critical Range | 36.879862 | 38.251034 | 39.406754 |  |

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SNK Grouping

|  | A |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | B |
|  | B |
| C | B |
| C |  |
| C | D |
| C | D |
| c | D |
|  | D |
|  | D |
|  | D |
|  | D |
|  |  |

Mean $\quad \mathrm{N}$ MONTH
123.90
72.06
54.70
36.65
27.99
20.30
11.01
0.26

6 DEC
6 NOV
5 OCI
6 AUG
5 SEP
6 JUN
6 JUL
6 MAY

AVAILABLE NH4+NO3-N 1990
18:38 Wednesday, July 8, 1992
Analysis of Variance Procedure
Class Level Information
Class Levels Values
MONTH 8 AUG DEC JUL JUN MAY NOV OCT SEP
Number of observations in data set $=48$
NOTE: Due to missing values, only 44 observations can be used in this analysis.


Analysis of Variance Procedure
Student-Newman-Keuls test for variable: SUBPLOT
NOTE: This test controls the type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null hypotheses.

Alpha= $0.05 \mathrm{df}=36$ MSE= 1299.807
WARNING: Cell sizes are not equal.
Harmonic Mean of cell sizeg= 5.393258

| Number of Means | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Critical Range | 44.527399 | 53.664218 | 59.12908 | 63.029518 |
| Number of Means | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| Critical Range | 66.052698 | 68.520029 | 70.59516 |  |

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

| SNK Grouping |  | Mean | N | MONTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | 148.51 | 5 | DEC |
|  | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 113.14 | 5 | SEP |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 103.82 | 6 | JUL |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 101.96 | 6 | Nov |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 95.29 | 6 | JUN |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 93.38 | 4 | OCT |
| B | A |  |  |  |
| B | A | 82.63 | 6 | AUG |
| B |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 71.35 | 6 | MAY |

Class Levels Values
MONTH 8 AUG DEC JUL JUN MAY NOV OCT SEP Number of observations in data set $=48$
NOTE: Due to missing values, only 44 observations can be used in this analysis.

Dependent Variable: SUBPLOT


Alpha= $0.05 \quad \mathrm{df}=36 \mathrm{MSE}=7.99679$
WARNING: Cell sizes are not equal.
Harmonic Mean of cell sizes= 5.333333

| Number of Means | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Critical Range | 3.5121417 | 4.2328171 | 4.6638634 | 4.9715142 |
| Number of Means | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| Critical Range | 5.2099705 | 5.4045836 | 5.5682616 |  |

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SNK Grouping

A
B
B
B
B

| $B$ |
| :--- |
| $B$ |

$B$
$B$
$B$
$B$
B
B

| B |
| :--- |
| B |

B

Mean
18.147
2.162
1.148
0.938
0.585
0.577
0.283
0.245

N MONTH
6 MAY
6 JUN
6 SEP
6 OCT
6 AUG
6 DEC
4 NOV
4 JUL

Class Levels Values MONTH $\quad 8$ AUG DEC JUL JUN MAY NOV OCT SEP Number of observations in data set $=48$
NOTE: Due to missing values, only 42 observations can be used in this analysis.

Dependent Variable: SUBPLOT


Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

SNK Grouping

A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

| Mean | N | MONTH |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 21.843 | 6 | OCT |
| 21.355 | 6 | AUG |
| 20.573 | 6 | NOV |
| 20.338 | 6 | JUL |
| 19.867 | 6 | DEC |
| 19.605 | 6 | JUN |
| 16.873 | 6 | MAY |

BG TISSUE N 1990
Analysis of Variance Procedure
Class Level Information
Class Levels Values
MONTH 8 AUG DEC JUL JUN MAY NOV OCT SEP

Number of observations in data set $=48$
Dependent Variable: SUBPLOT

|  |  | Sum of | Mean |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | DF | Squares | Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| Error | 7 40 | 117.1846479 288.1295833 | 16.7406640 | 2.32 |  |
| Corrected Total | 47 | 405.3142313 |  | . |  |
|  | R-Square | c.v. | Root MSE |  | OT Mean |
|  | 0.289120 | 20.37394 | 2.683885 |  | 1731250 |
| Dependent Variable: subplot |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source | DF | Anova SS | Mean Square | F-Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| MONTH |  | 117.1846479 | 16.7406640 | 2.32 | 0.0435 |

Student-Newman-Keuls test for variable: SUBPLOT
NOTE: This test controls the type $I$ experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null hypotheses.

Alpham $0.05 \mathrm{df}=40$ MSE $=7.20324$

| Number of Means | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Critical Range | 3.1318433 | 3.7714964 | 4.1533949 | 4.4258151 |
| Number of Means | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| Critical Range | 4.6365999 | 4.8084091 | 4.9532357 |  |

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.
Analysis of Variance Procedure

| SNK Grouping | Mean | N | MONTH |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| A | 15.072 | 6 | JUL |
| A | 14.362 | 6 | JUN |
| A |  |  |  |
| A | 14.273 | 6 | OCT |
| A | 13.087 | 6 | AUG |
| A | 11.888 | 6 | MAY |
| A | 11.397 | 6 | SEP |
| A | 10.587 | 6 | DEC |

Class
MONTH

Levels
8

Values
AUG DEC JUL JUN MAY NOV OCT SEP

Number of observations in data set $=48$
NOTE: Due to missing values, only 42 observations can be used in this analysis.

Dependent Variable: SUBPLOT

| Source | DF | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F Value | $\mathrm{Pr}>\mathrm{F}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model | 6 | 0.11214762 | 0.01869127 | Fralue | ${ }_{0}^{\text {Pr }} 13 \mathrm{~F}$ |
| Error | 35 | 0.36950000 | 0.01055714 |  |  |
| Corrected Total | 41 | 0.48164762 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-Square } \\ & 0.232842 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C.V. } \\ 23.10179 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Root MSE } \\ & 0.102748 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ot Mean } \\ & 4476190 \end{aligned}$ |


| Dependent Variable: SUBPLOT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Source | DF | Anova SS | Mean Square | Falue | Pr $\gg F$ |
| MONTH | 6 | 0.11214762 | 0.01869127 | 1.77 | 0.1340 |

Student-Newman-Keuls test for variable: SUBPLOT
NOTE: This teat controls the type $I$ experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null hypotheses.

Alpha= $0.05 \quad \mathrm{df}=35 \mathrm{MSE}=0.010557$
$\begin{array}{lcccccc}\text { Number of Means } & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7\end{array}$
Critical Range 0.12043170 .14517660 .15998420 .17055530 .17875190 .1854407
Means with the same letter are not significantly different.
Analysis of Variance Procedure
SNK Grouping
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

BG TISSUE P 1990
Analysis of Variance Procedure
Class Level Information
Class Levels Values

MONTH 8 AUG DEC JUL JUN MAY NOV OCT SEP

$$
\text { Number of observations in data set }=48
$$

NOTE: Due to missing values, only 47 observations can be used in this
analysis.

Student-Newman-Keuls test for variable: SUBPLOT
NOTE: This test controls the type I experimentwise error rate under the complete null hypothesis but not under partial null hypotheses.

Alpha= $0.05 \quad \mathrm{df}=39 \quad \mathrm{MSE}=0.002574$
WARNING: Cell sizes are not equal.
Harmonic Mean of cell sizes= 5.853659

| Number of Means | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Critical Range | 0.059989 | 0.0722545 | 0.0795805 | 0.0848069 |  |
|  |  |  | 7 | 8 |  |
| Number of Means | 6 | 7 | 0.0949303 |  |  |

Analysis of Variance Procedure
Means with the same letter are not significantly different. SNK Grouping

| Mean | N | MONTH |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0.2117 | 6 | DEC |
| 0.2017 | 6 | OCT |
| 0.1833 | 6 | NOU |
| 0.1600 | 6 | SEP |
| 0.1483 | 6 | JUN |
| 0.1333 | 6 | MAY |
| 0.1300 | 6 | JUL |
| 0.1120 | 5 | AUG |

## APPENDIX G <br> WATER EXTRACTABLE NH4-N, NO3-N AND SRP

| Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \mathrm{cm} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { dry } \end{gathered}$ | Sample WWT 9 | Sample DWT 9 | H2O extr. ml |  | centra NO3 mg/L | tion SRP mg/L | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hater e) } \\ \text { NH4 } \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \end{gathered}$ | tractab NO3 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { le N/P } \\ & \text { SRP } \\ & \text { mg/kg } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAY 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0-10 | 0.17 | 3.00 | 0.50 | 12.00 | 1.70 | 0.01 | 0.52 | 39.57 | 0.26 | 12.53 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.18 | 3.00 | 0.54 | 12.00 | 1.82 | 0.01 | 0.33 | 40.73 | 0.19 | 7.37 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.19 | 3.00 | 0.58 | 12.00 | 1.49 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 31.28 | 0.19 | 3.15 |
| S.D. | 0-10 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 11.01 | 0.12 | 3.44 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 6.03 | 0.07 | 3.05 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 3.12 | 0.12 | 1.97 |
| JUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0-10 | 0.16 | 3.00 | 0.48 | 12.00 | 1.68 | 0.83 | 0.06 | 41.47 | 20.30 | 1.46 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.16 | 3.00 | 0.49 | 12.00 | 1.65 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 40.61 | 1.57 | 1.91 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.16 | 3.00 | 0.48 | 12.00 | 1.59 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 39.52 | 0.52 | 3.47 |
| S.D. | 0-10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.62 | 0.04 | 5.92 | 14.95 | 0.95 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 5.79 | 1.64 | 1.17 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 4.75 | 0.16 | 0.23 |
| JUL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0-10 | 0.15 | 3.00 | 0.44 | 12.00 | 1.67 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 45.45 | 11.01 | 0.00 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.15 | 3.00 | 0.44 | 12.00 | 1.41 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 38.35 | 0.62 | 0.80 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.15 | 3.00 | 0.44 | 12.00 | 1.29 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 35.52 | 1.66 | 1.47 |
| S.D. | 0-10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 10.10 | 11.49 | 0.00 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 3.53 | 0.10 | 0.62 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 3.51 | 2.78 | 0.22 |
| AUG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0-10 | 0.13 | 3.00 | 0.40 | 12.00 | 0.90 | 1.22 | 0.00 | 26.69 | 36.64 | 0.01 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.14 | 3.00 | 0.41 | 12.00 | 1.22 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 36.50 | 1.33 | 2.39 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.14 | 3.00 | 0.41 | 12.00 | 1.26 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 38.13 | 1.12 | 1.60 |
| S.D. | 0-10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 11.36 | 10.12 | 0.02 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 12.30 | 0.29 | 0.52 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 10.01 | 0.12 | 0.59 |
| SEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0-10 | 0.13 | 3.00 | 0.40 | 12.00 | 1.59 | 0.77 | 0.02 | 46.56 | 27.99 | 0.48 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.13 | 3.00 | 0.39 | 12.00 | 1.83 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 56.47 | 1.45 | 2.08 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.12 | 3.00 | 0.37 | 12.00 | 1.53 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 49.15 | 0.87 | 1.86 |
| S.D. | 0-10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 1.23 | 0.66 | 0.02 | 34.18 | 21.21 | 0.67 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 19.68 | 0.72 | 0.95 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 16.99 | 0.65 | 1.12 |
| OCT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0-10 | 0.14 | 3.00 | 0.41 | 12.00 | 0.87 | 1.54 | 0.02 | 25.64 | 54.70 | 0.65 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.13 | 3.00 | 0.39 | 12.00 | 1.03 | 0.94 | 0.02 | 31.18 | 34.63 | 0.73 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.13 | 3.00 | 0.39 | 12.00 | 1.09 | 0.75 | 0.03 | 31.89 | 24.32 | 0.81 |


| S.D. | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 1.28 | 0.02 | 14.71 | 38.05 | 0.65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10-20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.68 | 0.02 | 25.19 | 21.72 | 0.68 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 0.84 | 0.03 | 27.40 | 27.54 | 1.05 |
| nov |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0-10 | 0.14 | 3.00 | 0.43 | 12.00 | 0.72 | 2.59 | 0.00 | 20.29 | 72.06 | 0.04 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.13 | 3.00 | 0.40 | 12.00 | 0.80 | 0.47 | 0.06 | 23.96 | 16.93 | 1.64 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.14 | 3.00 | 0.42 | 12.00 | 1.33 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 37.93 | 5.51 | 2.18 |
| S.D. | 0-10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 1.03 | 0.00 | 5.36 | 28.73 | 0.06 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.03 | 15.06 | 9.85 | 0.92 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.24 | 0.03 | 8.63 | 7.32 | 1.10 |
| DEC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0-10 | 0.15 | 3.00 | 0.45 | 12.00 | 0.57 | 4.67 | 0.01 | 17.81 | 123.90 | 0.31 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.14 | 3.00 | 0.41 | 12.00 | 0.18 | 1.46 | 0.06 | 7.62 | 42.82 | 1.88 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.14 | 3.00 | 0.41 | 12.00 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.04 | 20.95 | 23.67 | 1.35 |
| S.0. | 0-10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 1.15 | 0.02 | 9.12 | 27.02 | 0.43 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.38 | 0.02 | 4.38 | 12.29 | 0.62 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.58 | 0.03 | 15.48 | 17.21 | 1.03 |

## APPENDIX H <br> POTASSIUM CHLORIDE EXTRACTABLE NH4-N AND SRP

| Site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & \text { cm } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\%}{d r y}$ | Sample WWT g | Sample DWT g | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KCl } \\ & \text { extr. } \end{aligned}$ $\mathrm{ml}$ | AA re NH4 mg/L | alts <br> SRP mg/L | KCl ex NH4 mg/L | ctable SRP mg/kg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0-10 | 0.17 | 3.00 | 0.50 | 20.00 | 0.81 | 0.14 | 31.53 | 5.62 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.18 | 3.00 | 0.54 | 20.00 | 1.13 | 0.15 | 41.89 | 5.52 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.19 | 3.00 | 0.58 | 20.00 | 1.11 | 0.06 | 38.44 | 2.22 |
| S.D. | 0-10 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 5.23 | 11.01 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 4.96 | 6.03 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 4.49 | 3.12 |
| JUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0-10 | 0.16 | 3.00 | 0.48 | 20.06 | 0.81 | 0.02 | 33.52 | 0.71 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.16 | 3.00 | 0.49 | 20.06 | 1.15 | 0.02 | 47.19 | 0.82 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.16 | 3.00 | 0.48 | 20.07 | 1.15 | 0.01 | 48.02 | 0.42 |
| S.D. | 0-10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 5.03 | 0.75 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.03 | 8.71 | 1.01 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 11.31 | 0.24 |
| JUL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0-10 | 0.15 | 3.00 | 0.44 | 20.13 | 1.04 | 0.00 | 47.36 | 0.16 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.15 | 3.00 | 0.44 | 20.00 | 1.02 | 0.00 | 46.14 | 0.15 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.15 | 3.00 | 0.44 | 20.02 | 0.97 | 0.02 | 44.24 | 0.75 |
| S.D. | 0-10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.52 | 0.01 | 22.98 | 0.28 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 6.09 | 0.17 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 4.95 | 1.48 |
| AUG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0-10 | 0.13 | 3.00 | 0.40 | 20.03 | 0.39 | 0.01 | 19.30 | 0.58 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.14 | 3.00 | 0.41 | 20.00 | 0.91 | 0.03 | 45.45 | 1.30 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.14 | 3.00 | 0.41 | 20.10 | 1.05 | 0.01 | 52.73 | 0.41 |
| S.D. | 0-10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 11.34 | 0.25 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 14.24 | 1.24 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 9.82 | 0.36 |
| SEP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0-10 | 0.13 | 3.00 | 0.40 | 20.29 | 0.77 | 0.01 | 37.18 | 0.67 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.13 | 3.00 | 0.39 | 20.09 | 1.10 | 0.02 | 57.32 | 0.76 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.12 | 3.00 | 0.37 | 20.06 | 1.10 | 0.01 | 59.04 | 0.47 |
| S.D. | 0-10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.33 | 0.92 | 0.01 | 42.46 | 0.31 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.34 | 0.01 | 20.34 | 0.33 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.31 | 0.01 | 16.85 | 0.33 |


| OCT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 0-10 | 0.14 | 3.00 | 0.41 | 20.00 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 4.99 | 0.28 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.13 | 3.00 | 0.39 | 20.00 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 16.48 | 0.02 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.13 | 3.00 | 0.39 | 20.00 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 47.08 | 0.04 |
| S.D. | 0-10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 2.82 | 0.12 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 17.33 | 0.03 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 21.61 | 0.11 |
| Nov |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0-10 | 0.14 | 3.00 | 0.43 | 20.00 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 9.61 | 0.15 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.13 | 3.00 | 0.40 | 20.00 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 15.33 | 0.09 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.14 | 3.00 | 0.42 | 20.00 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 36.11 | 0.12 |
| S.D. | 0-10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 2.26 | 0.17 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 15.76 | 0.23 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 13.92 | 0.21 |
| DEC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0-10 | 0.15 | 3.00 | 0.45 | 20.00 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 7.40 | 0.27 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.14 | 3.00 | 0.41 | 20.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 3.23 | 0.06 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.14 | 3.00 | 0.41 | 20.00 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 19.31 | 0.02 |
| S.D. | 0-10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 2.72 | 0.25 |
|  | 10-20 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 2.68 | 0.12 |
|  | 20-30 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 0.00 | 24.82 | 0.05 |

APPENDIX :
plant tissue concentration and biomass n and p

| Part | Parameter | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AG Live | N mg/g | 16.9 | 19.6 | 20.3 | 21.4 | 22.8 | 21.8 | 20.6 | 19.9 |
|  | Bio g/m2 | 16.7 | 14.0 | 10.3 | 26.8 | 17.5 | 37.6 | 58.4 | 22.8 |
|  | Tot $\mathrm{Nmg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ | 281.3 | 275.1 | 209.2 | 571.9 | 399.1 | 822.1 | 1202.4 | 453.2 |
| ag dead | N mg/g | 15.4 | 15.5 | 14.2 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 16.0 | 15.7 | 14.2 |
|  | Bio g/m2 | 20.3 | 18.6 | 14.7 | 24.2 | 13.8 | 21.5 | 24.9 | 30.6 |
|  | Tot $\mathrm{Nmg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ | 312.3 | 289.1 | 208.0 | 412.4 | 235.2 | 344.5 | 391.8 | 432.8 |
| BG $0-10$ | $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ | 13.9 | 14.4 | 15.1 | 14.1 | 11.4 | 14.3 | 11.7 | 10.6 |
|  | Bio g/m2 | 1080.8 | 542.0 | 386.1 | 403.9 | 443.0 | 271.8 | 459.3 | 170.9 |
|  | Tot $\mathrm{Nmg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ | 15010.9 | 7783.9 | 5818.2 | 5689.3 | 5047.9 | 3880.1 | 5382.6 | 1808.9 |
| BG 10-20 | $\mathrm{Nmg} / \mathrm{g}$ | 7.6 | 10.4 | 7.1 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 6.8 |
|  | Bio $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m} 2$ | 328.0 | 160.8 | 76.5 | 74.6 | 83.9 | 71.0 | 115.6 | 57.5 |
|  | Tot $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m2}$ | 2502.1 | 1666.3 | 539.8 | 616.7 | 649.7 | 423.6 | 784.7 | 393.5 |
| BG 20-30 | $\mathrm{Nmg} / \mathrm{g}$ | 6.5 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.7 |
|  | Bio g/m2 | 101.4 | 72.0 | 40.1 | 34.4 | 36.1 | 28.6 | 27.2 | 55.6 |
|  | Tot $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m2}$ | 657.7 | 507.8 | 285.2 | 278.0 | 286.8 | 182.9 | 169.2 | 371.2 |
| BG | $\mathrm{Nmg} / \mathrm{g}$ | 9.3 | 10.6 | 9.8 | 10.1 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 8.2 | 8.0 |
|  | Bio $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m} 2$ | 1510.2 | 774.8 | 502.6 | 512.9 | 562.9 | 371.4 | 602.0 | 284.0 |
| total | Tot $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ | 18170.8 | 9958.1 | 6643.3 | 6584.0 | 5984.4 | 4486.6 | 6336.5 | 2573.7 |
| AG | $\mathrm{P} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
|  | Bio $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m} 2$ | 16.7 | 14.0 | 10.3 | 26.8 | 17.5 | 37.6 | 58.4 | 22.8 |
|  | Tot P mg/m2 | 8.1 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 9.9 | 10.1 | 19.2 | 22.2 | 10.7 |
| AG Dead | P mg/g | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
|  | Bio g/m2 | 20.3 | 18.6 | 14.7 | 24.2 | 13.8 | 21.5 | 24.9 | 30.6 |
|  | Tot $P \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 4.2 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 4.9 | 3.3 | 7.0 | 5.1 | 6.7 |
| BG 0 -10 | P mg/g | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
|  | Bio $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m} 2$ | 1080.8 | 542.0 | 386.1 | 403.9 | 443.0 | 271.8 | 459.3 | 170.9 |
|  | Tot $P$ mg/m2 | 144.4 | 80.8 | 50.3 | 45.3 | 71.1 | 55.0 | 83.3 | 36.1 |
| BG 10-20 | P mg/g | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  | Bio $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m} 2$ | 328.0 | 160.8 | 76.5 | 74.6 | 83.9 | 71.0 | 115.6 | 57.5 |
|  | Tot $P \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 23.7 | 12.3 | 4.2 | 6.1 | 10.7 | 9.1 | 17.1 | 7.0 |
| 8G 20-30 | P mg/g | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
|  | Bio $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m} 2$ | 101.4 | 72.0 | 40.1 | 34.4 | 36.1 | 28.6 | 27.2 | 55.6 |
|  | Tot P mg/m2 | 7.6 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 8.8 |
| BG avg | P mg/g | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| total | Bio $9 / \mathrm{m} 2$ | 1510.2 | 774.8 | 502.6 | 512.9 | 562.9 | 371.4 | 602.0 | 284.0 |
| total | Tot P mg/m2 | 175.8 | 98.0 | 57.4 | 54.6 | 86.5 | 66.0 | 103.1 | 51.9 |

APPENDIX J
SOIL AVAIIABLE $N$ AND $P$ VS PLANT BIOMASS $N$ AND P
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